Appendix A Field Observation Notes





Memo

RE: WV-51 Feasibility Study Site Visit Field NotesTo: FileFrom: Dustin Gohs, PEDate: February 25, 2021

Attendees: HEPMPO – Matt Mullenax Charles Town – Daryl Hennessy, Todd Wilt CM – Ali Sadeghian, Dustin Gohs

The field visit study area consists of the following locations:

- WV-51 from the Norfolk Southern railroad tracks as the west terminus to West Street as the east terminus. For the purpose of these field notes, this section will be broken up into the following sub-sections:
 - Section 1 From the railroad tracks to the intersection with Summit Point Road/W. Washington Street, WV-51 is known as MLK Jr. Boulevard here
 - Section 2 From the intersection of Summit Point Road/W. Washington Street to the intersection with West Street, WV-51 is known as W. Washington Street here
 - Section 3 Summit Point Road/W. Washington Street from the Norfolk Southern railroad tracks as the west terminus to MLK Jr. Boulevard as the east terminus



Figure 1 - WV-51 Project Site Overview Map

General Notes

- Daryl Hennessy mentioned it would be nice to have a rough count of trees being removed and trees to be planted
- All railroad crossings in the study area will remain undisturbed and all work will remain outside of the railroad right-of-way (R/W)



Section 1

Existing Conditions

- R/W Width = 40'
- Lane Width = 10'±
- Existing sidewalk on the north side but used for on-street parking, no pedestrian access
 Significant drainage issues and ponding on the existing sidewalk
- Minimal on-street parking on the south side with dedicated parking areas at a few houses
- Utility poles on both sides of the road within 5' of the edge of pavement
 - Investigate ability/cost of putting utilities underground with project
- Little to no stormwater management
 - One catch basin on either side of the road at the east side of Zion Baptist Church
 - $\circ \quad \text{Manholes along the north side of the road} \\$
 - One catch basin on the north side with a curb opening and solid metal covering in the sidewalk between Davenport Street and Eagle Avenue
 - Completely filled with mud and debris and as a result did not appear to actually be collecting any stormwater
 - No stormwater management on the south side west of Zion Baptist Church; all water sheet flows off of roadway to the south
- West of Davenport Street
 - Houses are at roughly the same elevation as the road
- East of Davenport Street
 - Houses on the north side of MLK Jr. Boulevard are at an elevation several feet above the road with retaining walls located at the back of the sidewalk (presumably near the existing R/W line). Several stairways for porch access encroach into the sidewalk.
 - Houses on the south side of MLK Jr. Boulevard are a couple feet below the road
- East of Morgan Street
 - Concrete retaining wall on the south side of MLK Jr. Boulevard 4-5' off the edge line
- Historical elementary school and church not to be disturbed
- Right turning movement from MLK Jr. Boulevard to W. Washington Street is not a restricted movement. However, the turning radius is nearly impossible for any vehicle to make without crossing the double yellow centerline of W. Washington Street.
- For anyone not familiar with the intersection, approaching on the MLK Jr. Boulevard leg can be confusing due to the skew of the intersection and the allowable continuous right turning movement for the southbound W. Washington Street traffic.



Section 2

Existing Conditions

- R/W Width = 60'
- Lane Width = 11'±
- Existing sidewalk on the north side varying in width
 - 9'± width east of Water Street from back of curb to building faces
 - \circ 6'± with 3'± west of Water Street
- It was mentioned that a left turning phase would be preferrable from westbound W. Washington Street to southbound S. West Street. Matt Mullenax will send Miovision data for this intersection.
- The gas station located at the southeast corner of the W. Washington Street/West St. intersection currently has an excessive, constant drop curb that extends all the way around the corner of the intersection along both streets. This could potentially be reduced to improve pedestrian and vehicular safety at this corner.
- Existing sidewalk on the south side varying from 6'± to 9'± to Water Street. There are no pedestrian facilities on the south side, west of Water Street.
- Matt Mullenax stated there is a City owned alley that continues on the north side of W. Washington Street across from S. Water Street. This is where he would like a mid-block crossing with a new path connecting to the existing path within Evitt's Run Park (See Figure 2).
- The existing hand pump and stone wall surrounding it will need to remain in place and should not be disturbed as a result of this project. This feature could potentially be incorporated into a landscaped area that ties this feature together with the new mid-block crossing. It was stated that even though this area has room for on-street parking, it is rarely used due to the location of pump and stone wall feature.
- The Evitt's Run Park area of W. Washington Street is in a vertical curve with very minimal stormwater management features, so ponding is currently an issue on both sides of W. Washington Street.



Figure 2 – Mid-Block Crossing

- There is an existing Evitt's Run culvert running beneath W. Washington Street that appears to be in good condition and there was no evidence or discussion of the culvert needing to be replaced.
- Utility poles and a fire hydrant are located behind the on-street parking on the south side of W. Washington Street.
- On-street parking
 - The north side of W. Washington Street is curbed with areas of on-street parking (some of which has striped parking spaces).
 - The south side of W. Washington Street has shoulders that are used for on-street parking with rough asphalt curbs at the edge on the shoulder.
- Several buildings on the north side have stairs or porches/stoops that appear to encroach into the R/W and reduce sidewalk width for pedestrians.



- Drainage along this entire section is lacking. Only two catch basins were observed along this entire section, one on each side of the road near the sag at Evitt's Run.
- At Johnson Street, an **existing storm pipe** from a closed sewer system to the north outlets at the intersection with W. Washington Street and sends water into W. Washington St. and the adjacent sidewalk to surface flow down to the vertical sag at Evitt's Run (See Figure 3). This pipe would need to be tied into a proposed, underground storm sewer system along W. Washington Street.
- Sight distance may be an issue between Johnson Street and the "Y" intersection.



Figure 3 – Existing Storm Pipe

- There is a vertical crest curve in this area which may be contributing to crashes at the "Y' intersection.
- Since continuous right turns are currently allowed at the stop sign, drivers not familiar with the area may be stopping at the stop sign, catching local drivers off guard.
- The stone wall on the inside of the horizontal curve at the "Y" intersection also impedes sight distance. It was mentioned that there is some pedestrian traffic through this intersection and crossing WV-51 when the church has services on Sunday mornings and Wednesday evenings.
- Except for the hand pump and surrounding stone wall, no other items through this section of the corridor were thought to be "off limits" from a historical standpoint. Further research may be needed to make a final determination.

Section 3

Existing Conditions

- R/W Width = 60'
- Lane Width = 10'±
- No pedestrian facilities
- No closed storm sewer, water sheet flows off the edge of the road
- There is an existing culvert just west of Zion Baptist Church
- There is an existing culvert running parallel to W. Washington Street with associated stone wall features directly across from the parking lot of Zion Baptist Church (See Figure 4). This may come up as a feature of concern during the stakeholder meetings.





Appendix B Count Data, **Growth Rate** Communications, and Traffic Volumes





Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 1

Columbus, Ohio, United States 43220 614-459-2050 x 356 charles.popovich@burgessniple.com

Turning Movement Data

		M	LK			Washi	ngton St			Wash	ington St		
		South	bound			Wes	tbound			Eas	tbound		
Start Time	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 AM	0	6	0	6	11	3	0	14	1	0	0	1	21
12:15 AM	0	5	0	5	12	1	0	13	2	0	0	2	20
12:30 AM	0	2	0	2	9	3	0	12	1	0	0	1	15
12:45 AM	0	5	0	5	11	2	0	13	1	0	0	1	19
Hourly Total	0	18	0	18	43	9	0	52	5	0	0	5	75
1:00 AM	0	6	0	6	6	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	13
1:15 AM	0	3	0	3	8	2	0	10	3	0	0	3	16
1:30 AM	0	5	0	5	6	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	13
1:45 AM	0	5	0	5	6	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	12
Hourly Total	0	19	0	19	26	5	0	31	4	0	0	4	54
2:00 AM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2:15 AM	0	7	0	7	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	10
2:30 AM	0	9	0	9	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	13
2:45 AM	0	6	0	6	3	2	0	5	3	0	0	3	14
Hourly Total	0	22	0	22	12	2	0	14	4	0	0	4	40
3:00 AM	0	12	0	12	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	15
3:15 AM	0	13	0	13	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	2	18
3:30 AM	0	19	0	19	1	2	0	3	4	0	0	4	26
3:45 AM	0	24	0	24	5	2	0	7	2	0	0	2	33
Hourly Total	0	68	0	68	10	5	0	15	9	0	0	9	92
4:00 AM	0	29	0	29	2	3	0	5	9	0	0	9	43
4:15 AM	0	40	0	40	7	3	0	10	15	0	0	15	65
4:30 AM	0	51	0	51	5	1	0	6	10	0	0	10	67
4:45 AM	0	60	0	60	7	2	0	9	11	0	0	11	80
Hourly Total	0	180	0	180	21	9	0	30	45	0	0	45	255
5:00 AM	0	54	0	54	4	4	0	8	14	1	0	15	77
5:15 AM	0	66	0	66	14	9	0	23	11	1	0	12	101
5:30 AM	0	72	0	72	9	3	0	12	15	0	0	15	99
5:45 AM	0	75	0	75	10	5	0	15	17	0	0	17	107
Hourly Total	0	267	0	267	37	21	0	58	57	2	0	59	384
6:00 AM	0	67	0	67	24	6	0	30	13	0	0	13	110
6:15 AM	0	71	0	71	22	3	0	25	21	0	0	21	117
6:30 AM	0	90	0	90	17	8	0	25	15	1	0	16	131
6:45 AM	0	101	0	101	29	12	0	41	25	0	0	25	167
Hourly Total	0	329	0	329	92	29	0	121	74	1	0	75	525
7:00 AM	0	84	0	84	23	16	0	39	36	0	0	36	159
7:15 AM	0	100	0	100	25	10	0	35	33	0	0	33	168
7:30 AM	1	118	0	119	57	14	0	71	28	0	0	28	218

7:45 AM	1	120	0	121	40	14	0	54	44	0	0	44	219
Hourly Total	2	422	0	424	145	54	0	199	141	0	0	141	764
8:00 AM	0	96	0	96	33	19	0	52	29	1	0	30	178
8:15 AM	1	92	0	93	38	18	0	56	34	0	0	34	183
8:30 AM	0	96	0	96	41	14	0	55	32	0	0	32	183
8:45 AM	0	85	0	85	43	14	0	57	39	0	0	39	181
Hourly Total	1	369	0	370	155	65	0	220	134	1	0	135	725
9:00 AM	0	85	0	85	43	14	0	57	23	1	0	24	166
9:15 AM	2	70	0	72	36	15	0	51	28	0	0	28	151
9:30 AM	0	49	0	49	45	8	0	53	28	0	0	28	130
9:45 AM	0	63	0	63	46	24	0	70	30	1	0	31	164
Hourly Total	2	267	0	269	170	61	0	231	109	2	0	111	611
10:00 AM	1	55	0	56	45	17	0	62	26	1	0	27	145
10:15 AM	0	56	0	56	46	15	0	61	35	0	0	35	152
10:30 AM	0	77	0	77	56	20	0	76	27	1	0	28	181
10:45 AM	0	70	0	70	53	21	0	74	30	0	0	30	174
Hourly Total	1	258	0	259	200	73	0	273	118	2	0	120	652
11:00 AM	0	57	0	57	60	25	0	85	24	0	0	24	166
11:15 AM	0	72	0	72	54	26	0	80	18	0	0	18	170
11:30 AM	0	61	0	61	60	32	0	92	29	0	0	29	182
11:45 AM	0	71	0	71	67	24	0	91	25	0	0	25	187
Hourly Total	0	261	0	261	241	107	0	348	96	0	0	96	705
12:00 PM	1	74	0	75	57	25	0	82	26	1	0	27	184
12:15 PM	0	71	0	71	75	28	0	103	25	0	0	25	199
12:30 PM	0	74	0	74	62	28	0	90	33	1	0	34	198
12:45 PM	1	90	0	91	56	28	0	84	27	0	0	27	202
Hourly Total	2	309	0	311	250	109	0	359	111	2	0	113	783
1:00 PM	1	52	0	53	63	45	0	108	26	0	0	26	187
1:15 PM	0	50	0	50	77	40	0	117	18	0	0	18	185
1:30 PM	0	76	0	76	68	25	0	93	25	1	0	26	195
1:45 PM	0	64	0	64	66	24	1	91	28	0	0	28	183
Hourly Total	1	242	0	243	274	134	1	409	97	1	0	98	750
2:00 PM	1	59	0	60	77	21	0	98	30	0	0	30	188
2:15 PM	1	56	0	57	76	31	0	107	24	0	0	24	188
2:30 PM	1	49	0	50	88	22	0	110	31	0	0	31	191
2:45 PM	1	53	0	54	71	30	0	101	25	0	0	25	180
Hourly Total	4	217	0	221	312	104	0	416	110	0	0	110	747
3:00 PM	0	55	0	55	96	29	0	125	29	1	0	30	210
3:15 PM	0	66	0	66	93	33	0	126	25	0	0	25	217
3:30 PM	0	58	0	58	102	32	0	134	31	0	0	31	223
3:45 PM	0	65	0	65	78	34	0	112	30	1	0	31	208
Hourly Total	0	244	0	244	369	128	0	497	115	2	0	117	858
4:00 PM	1	59	0	60	131	54	0	185	26	0	0	26	271
4:15 PM	0	85	0	85	113	33	0	146	21	0	0	21	252
4:30 PM	0	67	0	67	137	49	0	186	25	0	0	25	278
4:45 PM	1	65	0	66	139	38	0	177	30	0	0	30	273
Hourly Total	2	276	0	278	520	174	0	694	102	0	0	102	1074
5:00 PM	0	70	0	70	152	37	0	189	38	1	0	39	298
5:15 PM	0	79	0	79	159	39	0	198	36	1	0	37	314
5:30 PM	1	80	0	81	129	44	0	173	34	1	0	35	289
5:45 PM	1	84	0	85	108	47	0	155	24	0	0	24	264
Hourly Total	2	313	0	315	548	167	0	715	132	3	0	135	1165

6:00 PM	2	65	0	67	99	45	0	144	24	0	0	24	235
6:15 PM	1	60	0	61	99	34	0	133	24	1	0	25	219
6:30 PM	0	63	0	63	79	26	0	105	26	0	0	26	194
6:45 PM	1	63	0	64	93	33	0	126	29	1	0	30	220
Hourly Total	4	251	0	255	370	138	0	508	103	2	0	105	868
7:00 PM	0	37	0	37	77	34	0	111	20	0	0	20	168
7:15 PM	1	37	0	38	74	44	0	118	24	1	0	25	181
7:30 PM	0	52	0	52	96	32	0	128	25	2	0	27	207
7:45 PM	0	50	0	50	65	31	0	96	17	1	0	18	164
Hourly Total	1	176	0	177	312	141	0	453	86	4	0	90	720
8:00 PM	0	46	0	46	77	25	0	102	15	0	0	15	163
8:15 PM	0	48	0	48	72	33	0	105	7	0	0	7	160
8:30 PM	0	41	0	41	42	25	0	67	13	1	0	14	122
8:45 PM	0	38	0	38	55	22	0	77	9	0	0	9	124
Hourly Total	0	173	0	173	246	105	0	351	44	1	0	45	569
9:00 PM	0	21	0	21	55	27	0	82	10	0	0	10	113
9:15 PM	0	20	0	20	56	24	0	80	14	1	0	15	115
9:30 PM	0	14	0	14	53	19	0	72	3	0	0	3	89
9:45 PM	0	23	0	23	38	18	0	56	9	0	0	9	88
Hourly Total	0	78	0	78	202	88	0	290	36	1	0	37	405
10:00 PM	0	19	0	19	25	8	0	33	6	0	0	6	58
10:15 PM	0	23	0	23	29	8	0	37	2	0	0	2	62
10:30 PM	0	9	0	9	29	8	0	37	4	0	0	4	50
10:45 PM	0	5	0	5	18	6	0	24	6	0	0	6	35
Hourly Total	0	56	0	56	101	30	0	131	18	0	0	18	205
11:00 PM	0	10	0	10	24	10	0	34	2	0	0	2	46
11:15 PM	0	7	0	7	24	7	0	31	3	0	0	3	41
11:30 PM	0	9	0	9	18	1	0	19	1	0	0	1	29
11:45 PM	0	6	0	6	15	6	0	21	1	0	0	1	28
Hourly Total	0	32	0	32	81	24	0	105	7	0	0	7	144
Grand Total	22	4847	0	4869	4737	1782	1	6520	1757	24	0	1781	13170
Approach %	0.5	99.5	0.0	-	72.7	27.3	0.0	-	98.7	1.3	0.0	-	-
Total %	0.2	36.8	0.0	37.0	36.0	13.5	0.0	49.5	13.3	0.2	0.0	13.5	-
Motorcycles	0	29	0	29	21	10	0	31	7	1	0	8	68
% Motorcycles	0.0	0.6	-	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.4	4.2	-	0.4	0.5
Cars & Light Goods	21	4638	0	4659	4548	1742	1	6291	1717	22	0	1739	12689
% Cars & Light Goods	95.5	95.7	-	95.7	96.0	97.8	100.0	96.5	97.7	91.7	-	97.6	96.3
Buses	0	7	0	7	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	11
% Buses	0.0	0.1	-	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.1
Single-Unit Trucks	1	138	0	139	124	25	0	149	24	1	0	25	313
% Single-Unit Trucks	4.5	2.8	-	2.9	2.6	1.4	0.0	2.3	1.4	4.2	-	1.4	2.4
Articulated Trucks	0	35	0	35	40	5	0	45	9	0	0	9	89
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.7	-	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.0	-	0.5	0.7



Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 4







Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 5

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:30 AM)

		Μ	ILK	-		Washi	ngton St			Washi	ngton St		
		South	nbound			West	bound			East	bound		
Start Time	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
7:30 AM	1	118	0	119	57	14	0	71	28	0	0	28	218
7:45 AM	1	120	0	121	40	14	0	54	44	0	0	44	219
8:00 AM	0	96	0	96	33	19	0	52	29	1	0	30	178
8:15 AM	1	92	0	93	38	18	0	56	34	0	0	34	183
Total	3	426	0	429	168	65	0	233	135	1	0	136	798
Approach %	0.7	99.3	0.0	-	72.1	27.9	0.0	-	99.3	0.7	0.0	-	-
Total %	0.4	53.4	0.0	53.8	21.1	8.1	0.0	29.2	16.9	0.1	0.0	17.0	-
PHF	0.750	0.888	0.000	0.886	0.737	0.855	0.000	0.820	0.767	0.250	0.000	0.773	0.911
Motorcycles	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	4
% Motorcycles	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	2.2	0.0	-	2.2	0.5
Cars & Light Goods	3	407	0	410	158	63	0	221	126	1	0	127	758
% Cars & Light Goods	100.0	95.5	-	95.6	94.0	96.9	-	94.8	93.3	100.0	-	93.4	95.0
Buses	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% Buses	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.1
Single-Unit Trucks	0	13	0	13	7	1	0	8	6	0	0	6	27
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.0	3.1	-	3.0	4.2	1.5	-	3.4	4.4	0.0	-	4.4	3.4
Articulated Trucks	0	4	0	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	8
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.9	-	0.9	1.8	1.5	-	1.7	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	1.0



Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 6



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:30 AM)



Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 7

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM)

		Μ	ILK	-		Washi	ngton St	· · · ·		Washi	ngton St		
		South	nbound			West	tbound			East	bound		
Start Time	Right	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	App. Total	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
4:45 PM	1	65	0	66	139	38	0	177	30	0	0	30	273
5:00 PM	0	70	0	70	152	37	0	189	38	1	0	39	298
5:15 PM	0	79	0	79	159	39	0	198	36	1	0	37	314
5:30 PM	1	80	0	81	129	44	0	173	34	1	0	35	289
Total	2	294	0	296	579	158	0	737	138	3	0	141	1174
Approach %	0.7	99.3	0.0	-	78.6	21.4	0.0	-	97.9	2.1	0.0	-	-
Total %	0.2	25.0	0.0	25.2	49.3	13.5	0.0	62.8	11.8	0.3	0.0	12.0	-
PHF	0.500	0.919	0.000	0.914	0.910	0.898	0.000	0.931	0.908	0.750	0.000	0.904	0.935
Motorcycles	0	2	0	2	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	8
% Motorcycles	0.0	0.7	-	0.7	0.7	1.3	-	0.8	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.7
Cars & Light Goods	2	288	0	290	565	156	0	721	134	3	0	137	1148
% Cars & Light Goods	100.0	98.0	-	98.0	97.6	98.7	-	97.8	97.1	100.0	-	97.2	97.8
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Single-Unit Trucks	0	2	0	2	9	0	0	9	2	0	0	2	13
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.0	0.7	-	0.7	1.6	0.0	-	1.2	1.4	0.0	-	1.4	1.1
Articulated Trucks	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	5
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.7	-	0.7	0.2	0.0	-	0.1	1.4	0.0	-	1.4	0.4



Count Name: MLK & Washington Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 8



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:45 PM)



Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 1

Columbus, Ohio, United States 43220 614-459-2050 x 356 charles.popovich@burgessniple.com

Turning Movement Data

			West Sr				1	Washington S	St				West St					Washington	St		
0 . .			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound	i				Eastbound	I		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 AM	0	1	2	0	3	0	8	1	0	9	0	0	5	0	5	2	4	1	0	7	24
12:15 AM	0	1	0	0	1	1	8	1	0	10	2	0	2	0	4	3	4	0	0	7	22
12:30 AM	0	1	1	0	2	0	14	0	0	14	1	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	3	21
12:45 AM	0	1	0	0	1	1	8	1	0	10	0	0	2	0	2	2	3	1	0	6	19
Hourly Total	0	4	3	0	7	2	38	3	0	43	3	0	10	0	13	8	13	2	0	23	86
1:00 AM	1	1	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	7	14
1:15 AM	0	2	0	0	2	1	9	1	0	11	0	1	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	6	21
1:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	5	14
1:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	5	13
Hourly Total	1	3	0	0	4	2	28	2	0	32	1	1	1	0	3	7	14	2	0	23	62
2:00 AM	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
2:15 AM	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	0	0	8	14
2:30 AM	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	3	6	0	0	9	14
2:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	6	2	0	1	0	3	3	6	0	0	9	18
Hourly Total	2	1	0	0	3	0	10	3	0	13	4	1	2	0	7	9	17	0	0	26	49
3:00 AM	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	5	7	1	0	13	20
3:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	7	9	0	0	16	20
3:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	0	8	2	1	0	0	3	5	17	0	0	22	33
3:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	23	0	0	27	33
Hourly Total	1	1	0	0	2	1	15	4	0	20	3	2	1	0	6	21	56	1	0	78	106
4:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	3	11	27	0	0	38	44
4:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	7	0	1	2	0	3	17	38	0	0	55	65
4:30 AM	1	3	2	0	6	0	4	0	0	4	1	0	4	0	5	19	43	0	0	62	77
4:45 AM	1	2	1	0	4	1	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	23	48	0	0	71	82
Hourly Total	2	5	3	0	10	1	18	2	0	21	3	1	7	0	11	70	156	0	0	226	268
5:00 AM	4	3	2	0	9	1	4	2	0	7	4	0	0	0	4	23	43	1	0	67	87
5:15 AM	2	7	0	0	9	1	21	0	0	22	2	0	3	0	5	19	58	0	0	77	113
5:30 AM	1	8	1	0	10	0	12	2	0	14	4	0	1	0	5	25	54	1	0	80	109
5:45 AM	0	2	0	0	2	0	8	4	0	12	3	1	5	0	9	19	67	1	0	87	110
Hourly Total	7	20	3	0	30	2	45	8	0	55	13	1	9	0	23	86	222	3	0	311	419
6:00 AM	4	8	2	0	14	1	14	3	0	18	5	1	12	0	18	23	59	0	0	82	132
6:15 AM	1	7	2	0	10	2	17	7	0	26	2	3	7	0	12	16	70	4	0	90	138
6:30 AM	5	10	0	0	15	0	14	1	0	15	5	4	4	0	13	23	80	0	0	103	146
6:45 AM	8	4	1	0	13	0	32	5	0	37	8	1	5	0	14	28	92	1	0	121	185
Hourly Total	18	29	5	0	52	3	77	16	0	96	20	9	28	0	57	90	301	5	0	396	601
7:00 AM	7	12	1	0	20	3	20	4	0	27	8	2	9	0	19	21	86	1	0	108	174
7:15 AM	1	6	2	0	9	1	23	3	0	27	1	7	8	0	16	37	87	4	0	128	180
7:30 AM	4	10	3	0	17	2	49	7	0	58	6	16	16	0	38	38	90	3	0	131	244

				-												-		-			
7:45 AM	6	15	2	0	23	1	36	5	0	42	10	13	10	0	33	46	96	5	0	147	245
Hourly Total	18	43	8	0	69	7	128	19	0	154	25	38	43	0	106	142	359	13	0	514	843
8:00 AM	6	7	3	0	16	1	27	16	0	44	11	7	15	0	33	27	84	4	0	115	208
8:15 AM	7	13	5	0	25	1	31	17	0	49	14	18	14	0	46	31	84	3	0	118	238
8:30 AM	4	11	3	0	18	1	33	12	0	46	23	12	16	0	51	29	84	2	0	115	230
8:45 AM	8	16	3	0	27	2	38	10	0	50	18	16	9	0	43	35	63	7	0	105	225
Hourly Total	25	47	14	0	86	5	129	55	0	189	66	53	54	0	173	122	315	16	0	453	901
9:00 AM	8	7	2	0	17	2	39	14	0	55	13	12	9	0	34	22	74	4	0	100	206
9:15 AM	3	13	1	0	17	0	36	13	0	49	15	10	13	0	38	22	63	. 1	0	86	190
9:30 AM	6	14	1	0	21	2	35	14	0	51	19	10	12	0	41	17	48	2	0	67	180
9:45 AM	9	11	3	0	23	2	50	14	0	66	21	12	9	0	42	23	61	1	0	85	216
Hourly Total	26	45	7	0	78	6	160	55	0	221	68	44	43	0	155	84	246	8	0	338	792
10:00 AM	6	 9	1	0	16	1	39	11	0	51	16	7	16	0	39	10	62	1	0	73	179
10:15 AM	9	7	3	0	19	3	41	18	0	62	5	9	11	0	25	24	55	4	0	83	189
10:30 AM	7	11	4		22	2	52	9	0	63	16	11	17	0		23	71	3	0	97	226
10:45 AM	5	16	2	0	22	2	53	10	0	7/	18	1/	18	0	50	10	75	2	0	96	2/3
Hourly Total	27	42	10	0	2.5	0	195	57	0	250	55	14	62	0	159	76	262	10	0	240	027
	21	43	10		00	0	100	10	0	200		41	02	0	 	10	203		0		001
11:00 AM	14	10	0	0	25	3	48	19	0	70	25	13	10	0		12	62	4	0	/5	224
11:15 AM	10	10	4		30	4	50	19	0	78	20	14	14	0	48	14	70	4	0		238
11:30 AM	11	17	2			2	59	14		/5	19	18	18	0	55	14	70	4		88	248
11:45 AM	14	16	2	0	32	3	65	27	0	95	21	15	12	0	48	18	/4	3	0	95	270
Hourly I otal	49	60	8	0	117	12	227	79	0	318	85	60	60	0	205	58	270	12	0	340	980
12:00 PM	8	21	3	0	32	1	53	13	0	67	21	15	23	0	59	12	72	4	0	88	246
12:15 PM	18	20	3	0	41	2	56	27	0	85	29	5	25	0	59	18	71	5	0	94	279
12:30 PM	16	18	2	0	36	4	49	16	0	69	21	14	18	0	53	14	82	2	0	98	256
12:45 PM	7	19	3	0	29	4	61	23	0	88	18	10	21	0	49	23	87	4	0	114	280
Hourly Total	49	78	11	0	138	11	219	79	0	309	89	44	87	0	220	67	312	15	0	394	1061
1:00 PM	13	13	5	0	31	2	66	22	0	90	20	13	26	0	59	18	55	2	0	75	255
1:15 PM	12	9	1	0	22	5	75	25	0	105	17	8	22	0	47	19	46	2	0	67	241
1:30 PM	6	15	0	0	21	1	60	21	0	82	23	13	22	0	58	13	73	4	0	90	251
1:45 PM	13	16	3	0	32	5	65	24	0	94	22	9	17	0	48	21	75	1	0	97	271
Hourly Total	44	53	9	0	106	13	266	92	0	371	82	43	87	0	212	71	249	9	0	329	1018
2:00 PM	15	19	1	0	35	2	53	28	0	83	23	12	20	0	55	15	72	2	0	89	262
2:15 PM	15	13	1	0	29	5	74	15	0	94	18	9	17	0	44	20	51	3	0	74	241
2:30 PM	10	24	1	0	35	5	66	22	0	93	18	10	22	0	50	11	60	2	0	73	251
2:45 PM	13	21	3	0	37	1	72	20	0	93	23	16	26	0	65	10	65	1	0	76	271
Hourly Total	53	77	6	0	136	13	265	85	0	363	82	47	85	0	214	56	248	8	0	312	1025
3:00 PM	14	11	2	0	27	4	72	15	0	91	27	13	25	0	65	15	67	1	0	83	266
3:15 PM	19	17	2	0	38	4	84	18	0	106	23	15	26	0	64	16	68	0	0	84	292
3:30 PM	10	24	1	0	35	2	91	16	0	109	17	18	26	0	61	19	52	3	0	74	279
3:45 PM	8	19	2	0	29	3	81	15	0	99	20	13	27	0	60	22	75	2	0	99	287
Hourly Total	51	71	7	0	129	13	328	64	0	405	87	59	104	0	250	72	262	6	0	340	1124
4:00 PM	19	18	4	0	41	2	120	15	0	137	21	8	34	0	63	14	65	3	0	82	323
4:15 PM	11	17	6	0	34	7	95	20	0	122	22	18	33	0	73	24	76	2	0	102	331
4:30 PM	26	23	2	0	51	2	110	18	0	130	27	6	42	0	75	21	55	1	0	77	333
4:45 PM	15	18	2	0	35	4	129	11	0	144	26	22	33	0	81	11	83	1	0	95	355
Hourly Total	71	76	14	0	161	15	454	64	0	533	96	54	142	0	292	70	279	7	0	356	1342
5:00 PM	30	26	1	0	57	3	112	20	0	135	17	15	36	0	68	19	80	4	0	103	363
5:15 PM	17	28	2	0	47	4	128	15	0	147	16	12	42	0	70	24	82	3	0	109	373
5:30 PM	15	36	3	0	54	4	116	26	0	146	17	14	45	0	76	21	84	4	0	109	385
5:45 PM	11	10	1	0	22	2	113	29	0	144	26	15	29	0	70	20	77	1	0	98	334
Hourly Total	73	100	7	0	180	13	469	90	0	572	76	56	152	0	284	84	323	12	0	419	1455
				. ·																	

6:00 PM	17	12	5	0	34	2	84	16	0	102	23	9	37	0	69	10	69	4	0	83	288
6:15 PM	16	19	1	0	36	3	82	21	0	106	22	13	23	0	58	11	71	0	0	82	282
6:30 PM	9	8	1	0	18	3	66	12	0	81	12	11	24	0	47	12	70	4	0	86	232
6:45 PM	13	16	3	0	32	3	82	14	0	99	15	8	24	0	47	14	65	6	0	85	263
Hourly Total	55	55	10	0	120	11	314	63	0	388	72	41	108	0	221	47	275	14	0	336	1065
7:00 PM	11	18	2	0	31	1	73	9	0	83	17	13	24	0	54	15	40	1	0	56	224
7:15 PM	20	8	2	0	30	0	78	21	0	99	14	15	19	0	48	15	48	3	0	66	243
7:30 PM	15	10	1	0	26	1	79	19	0	99	9	8	29	0	46	12	56	5	0	73	244
7:45 PM	4	8	4	0	16	3	66	18	0	87	11	10	19	0	40	12	50	0	0	62	205
Hourly Total	50	44	9	0	103	5	296	67	0	368	51	46	91	0	188	54	194	9	0	257	916
8:00 PM	13	11	1	0	25	1	73	14	0	88	10	8	16	0	34	7	47	1	0	55	202
8:15 PM	4	9	1	0	14	3	68	12	0	83	9	4	20	0	33	13	39	3	0	55	185
8:30 PM	1	19	1	0	21	1	50	14	0	65	9	6	12	0	27	16	36	1	0	53	166
8:45 PM	6	18	2	0	26	6	54	9	0	69	9	9	15	0	33	10	33	2	0	45	173
Hourly Total	24	57	5	0	86	11	245	49	0	305	37	27	63	0	127	46	155	7	0	208	726
9:00 PM	10	8	3	0	21	2	58	8	0	68	4	9	13	0	26	8	22	1	0	31	146
9:15 PM	6	3	1	0	10	1	55	9	0	65	6	4	17	0	27	4	29	0	0	33	135
9:30 PM	5	4	1	0	10	3	46	5	0	54	5	2	14	0	21	3	13	0	0	16	101
9:45 PM	5	4	1	0	10	3	33	5	0	41	7	5	17	0	29	9	18	2	0	29	109
Hourly Total	26	19	6	0	51	9	192	27	0	228	22	20	61	0	103	24	82	3	0	109	491
10:00 PM	4	2	0	0	6	2	29	9	0	40	4	3	3	0	10	2	20	0	0	22	78
10:15 PM	1	1	2	0	4	2	25	5	0	32	2	2	7	0	11	8	16	1	0	25	72
10:30 PM	0	2	1	0	3	3	35	5	0	43	4	3	2	0	9	2	12	0	0	14	69
10:45 PM	0	3	0	0	3	2	17	3	0	22	2	5	5	0	12	1	6	2	0	9	46
Hourly Total	5	8	3	0	16	9	106	22	0	137	12	13	17	0	42	13	54	3	0	70	265
11:00 PM	2	0	0	0	2	1	20	2	0	23	1	0	11	0	12	0	12	0	0	12	49
11:15 PM	3	1	1	0	5	1	23	4	0	28	4	1	3	0	8	0	9	0	0	9	50
11:30 PM	1	0	0	0	1	0	15	3	0	18	1	1	5	0	7	2	7	1	0	10	36
11:45 PM	0	1	0	0	1	1	18	1	0	20	3	1	2	0	6	3	4	0	0	7	34
Hourly Total	6	2	1	0	9	3	76	10	0	89	9	3	21	0	33	5	32	1	0	38	169
Grand Total	683	941	149	0	1773	175	4290	1015	0	5480	1061	704	1338	0	3103	1382	4697	166	0	6245	16601
Approach %	38.5	53.1	8.4	0.0	-	3.2	78.3	18.5	0.0	-	34.2	22.7	43.1	0.0	-	22.1	75.2	2.7	0.0	-	-
Total %	4.1	5.7	0.9	0.0	10.7	1.1	25.8	6.1	0.0	33.0	6.4	4.2	8.1	0.0	18.7	8.3	28.3	1.0	0.0	37.6	-
Motorcycles	2	0	0	0	2	0	24	3	0	27	2	3	3	0	8	5	32	0	0	37	74
% Motorcycles	0.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.3	-	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	-	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.0	-	0.6	0.4
Cars & Light Goods	669	928	145	0	1742	172	4113	972	0	5257	1029	691	1305	0	3025	1331	4514	164	0	6009	16033
% Cars & Light Goods	98.0	98.6	97.3	-	98.3	98.3	95.9	95.8	-	95.9	97.0	98.2	97.5	-	97.5	96.3	96.1	98.8	-	96.2	96.6
Buses	1	1	0	0	2	0	3	13	0	16	18	1	0	0	19	0	5	1	0	6	43
% Buses	0.1	0.1	0.0	-	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.3	-	0.3	1.7	0.1	0.0	-	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.6	-	0.1	0.3
Single-Unit Trucks	10	11	3	0	24	3	117	20	0	140	10	9	23	0	42	37	117	1	0	155	361
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.5	1.2	2.0	-	1.4	1.7	2.7	2.0	-	2.6	0.9	1.3	1.7	-	1.4	2.7	2.5	0.6	-	2.5	2.2
Articulated Trucks	1	1	1	0	3	0	33	7	0	40	2	0	7	0	9	9	29	0	0	38	90
% Articulated Trucks	0.1	0.1	0.7	-	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.7	-	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.5	-	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.0	-	0.6	0.5



Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 4







Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 5

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

			West Sr				Ň	Vashington S	St			•	West St	,			1	Nashington S	St		
Chart Time			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound					Eastbound			
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	14	11	0	0	25	3	48	19	0	70	25	13	16	0	54	12	62	1	0	75	224
11:15 AM	10	16	4	0	30	4	55	19	0	78	20	14	14	0	48	14	64	4	0	82	238
11:30 AM	11	17	2	0	30	2	59	14	0	75	19	18	18	0	55	14	70	4	0	88	248
11:45 AM	14	16	2	0	32	3	65	27	0	95	21	15	12	0	48	18	74	3	0	95	270
Total	49	60	8	0	117	12	227	79	0	318	85	60	60	0	205	58	270	12	0	340	980
Approach %	41.9	51.3	6.8	0.0	-	3.8	71.4	24.8	0.0	-	41.5	29.3	29.3	0.0	-	17.1	79.4	3.5	0.0	-	-
Total %	5.0	6.1	0.8	0.0	11.9	1.2	23.2	8.1	0.0	32.4	8.7	6.1	6.1	0.0	20.9	5.9	27.6	1.2	0.0	34.7	-
PHF	0.875	0.882	0.500	0.000	0.914	0.750	0.873	0.731	0.000	0.837	0.850	0.833	0.833	0.000	0.932	0.806	0.912	0.750	0.000	0.895	0.907
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	5
% Motorcycles	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	-	0.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	-	0.5	1.7	0.7	0.0	-	0.9	0.5
Cars & Light Goods	47	59	8	0	114	11	214	79	0	304	81	59	58	0	198	55	256	12	0	323	939
% Cars & Light Goods	95.9	98.3	100.0	-	97.4	91.7	94.3	100.0	-	95.6	95.3	98.3	96.7	-	96.6	94.8	94.8	100.0	-	95.0	95.8
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Buses	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	-	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.2
Single-Unit Trucks	2	1	0	0	3	1	10	0	0	11	1	1	2	0	4	2	11	0	0	13	31
% Single-Unit Trucks	4.1	1.7	0.0	-	2.6	8.3	4.4	0.0	-	3.5	1.2	1.7	3.3	-	2.0	3.4	4.1	0.0	-	3.8	3.2
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	-	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	-	0.3	0.3



Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 6



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 7

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:45 PM)

			West Sr				Ň	vashington S	St				West St	,				Washington S	St		
Chart Time			Southbound					Westbound					Northbound					Eastbound			
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	App. Total	Int. Total
4:45 PM	15	18	2	0	35	4	129	11	0	144	26	22	33	0	81	11	83	1	0	95	355
5:00 PM	30	26	1	0	57	3	112	20	0	135	17	15	36	0	68	19	80	4	0	103	363
5:15 PM	17	28	2	0	47	4	128	15	0	147	16	12	42	0	70	24	82	3	0	109	373
5:30 PM	15	36	3	0	54	4	116	26	0	146	17	14	45	0	76	21	84	4	0	109	385
Total	77	108	8	0	193	15	485	72	0	572	76	63	156	0	295	75	329	12	0	416	1476
Approach %	39.9	56.0	4.1	0.0	-	2.6	84.8	12.6	0.0	-	25.8	21.4	52.9	0.0	-	18.0	79.1	2.9	0.0	-	-
Total %	5.2	7.3	0.5	0.0	13.1	1.0	32.9	4.9	0.0	38.8	5.1	4.3	10.6	0.0	20.0	5.1	22.3	0.8	0.0	28.2	-
PHF	0.642	0.750	0.667	0.000	0.846	0.938	0.940	0.692	0.000	0.973	0.731	0.716	0.867	0.000	0.910	0.781	0.979	0.750	0.000	0.954	0.958
Motorcycles	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	9
% Motorcycles	1.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.5	0.0	0.8	1.4	-	0.9	0.0	1.6	0.0	-	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	-	0.5	0.6
Cars & Light Goods	74	108	8	0	190	15	475	68	0	558	74	62	155	0	291	74	322	12	0	408	1447
% Cars & Light Goods	96.1	100.0	100.0	-	98.4	100.0	97.9	94.4	-	97.6	97.4	98.4	99.4	-	98.6	98.7	97.9	100.0	-	98.1	98.0
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Buses	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	-	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.1
Single-Unit Trucks	2	0	0	0	2	0	6	2	0	8	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	3	14
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.6	0.0	0.0	-	1.0	0.0	1.2	2.8	-	1.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	-	0.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	-	0.7	0.9
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	4
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	-	0.3	1.3	0.6	0.0	-	0.7	0.3



Count Name: Washington & West Site Code: Start Date: 06/12/2019 Page No: 8



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:45 PM)

MLK & Washington Bike/Ped - TMC

Wed Jun 12, 2019

Full Length (12 AM-1 AM, 1 AM-2 AM, 2 AM-3 AM, 3 AM-4 AM, 4 AM-5 AM, 5 AM-6 AM, 6 AM-7 AM, 7 AM-8 AM, 8 AM-9 AM, 9 AM-10 AM, 10 AM-11 AM, 11 AM-12 PM, 12 PM-1 PM, 1 PM-2 PM, 2 PM-3 PM, 3 PM-4 PM, 4 PM-5 PM, 5 PM-6 PM, 6 PM-7 PM, 7 PM-8 PM, 8 PM-9 PM, 9 PM-10 PM, 10 PM-11 PM, 11 PM-12 AM (+1)) All Classes (Vehicles, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

MLK Jr Blvd

Southbound

Washington St

Westbound

All Movements

Leg

Dire ction

ID: 787751, Location: 39.286453, -77.867659

Time	Арр	Ped*	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Int
2019-06-12 12:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Columbus, OH, 43220, US

Washington St

Eastbound

5085 Reed Rd,

Leg	MLK Jr Blvd	Washington	St	Washington St	
Direction	Southbound	Westbound		Eastbound	
Time	App Ped*	U	App Ped*	App Ped*	Int
10:15AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
10:30AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
10:45AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
11:00 AM	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
11.00AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
11:15AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
11:30AM	0 5	0	0 0	0 0	0
11:45AM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 5	0	0 0	0 0	0
12:00PM	0 5	0	0 0	0 0	0
12:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
12:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
12:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 5	0	0 0	0 0	0
1:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
1:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
1·30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
1.45 DM	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
I.43 FW	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
Houriy I otal	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
2:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
2:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
2:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
2:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
3:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
3:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
3:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
3:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
4:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
4.001 W	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
4.20DM	0	0	0 0	0	0
4:50PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
4:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
5:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
5:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
5:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
5:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
6:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
6:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
6:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
6:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
7:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
7.15 DM	0 0	0	0 0	0	0
7.10PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
/:50PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
7:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
8:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
8:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
8:30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
8:45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
9:00PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
9:15PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
9·30PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
9·45PM	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0
10:00PM	U U U	U U	v 0	U 0	1 U

Leg	MLK Jr Blvd		Washingto	on St		Washington St		
Direction	Southbound		Westboun	d		Eastbound		
Time	Арр	Ped*	U	Арр	Pe d*	Арр	Ped*	Int
10:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019-06-13 12:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Approach	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-
% Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ve hic le s	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0
% Vehicles	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	10	-	-	0	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	0	-	-	0	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. U: U-Turn

MLK & Washington Bike/Ped - TMC

Wed Jun 12, 2019 Full Length (12 AM-1 AM, 1 AM-2 AM, 2 AM-3 AM, 3 AM-4 AM, 4 AM-5 AM, 5 AM-6 AM, 6 AM-7 AM, 7 AM-8 AM, 8 AM-9 AM, 9 AM-10 AM, 10 AM-11 AM, 11 AM-12 PM, 12 PM-1 PM, 1 PM-2 PM, 2 PM-3 PM, 3 PM-4 PM, 4 PM-5 PM, 5 PM-6 PM, 6 PM-7 PM, 7 PM-8 PM, 8 PM-9 PM, 9 PM-10 PM, 10 PM-11 PM, 11 PM-12 AM (+1)) All Classes (Vehicles, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 787751, Location: 39.286453, -77.867659



Niple 5085 Reed Rd, Columbus, OH, 43220, US



[N] MLK Jr Blvd

West & Washington - Bike/Ped - TMC

Wed Jun 12, 2019

Full Length (12 AM-1 AM, 1 AM-2 AM, 2 AM-3 AM, 3 AM-4 AM, 4 AM-5 AM, 5 AM-6 AM, 6 AM-7 AM, 7 AM-8 AM, 8 AM-9 AM, 9 AM-10 AM, 10 AM-11 AM, 11 AM-12 PM, 12 PM-1 PM, 1 PM-2 PM, 2 PM-3 PM, 3 PM-4 PM, 4 PM-5 PM, 5 PM-6 PM, 6 PM-7 PM, 7 PM-8 PM, 8 PM-9 PM, 9 PM-10 PM, 10 PM-11 PM, 11 PM-12 AM (+1))

All Classes (Vehicles, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

ID: 787750, Location: 39.287801, -77.863643



Columbus, OH, 43220, US

Leg	West St		Washington St			West St		Washington St		
Direction	Southbound		Westbou	ınd		Northbound		Eastbound		
Time	Арр	Ped*	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Int
2019-06-12 12:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45AM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
5:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
6:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6:15AM	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
6:30AM	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
6:45AM	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	9	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
7:00AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
7:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:30AM	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	3	0
8:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
8:15AM	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:45AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
9·00 AM	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9·15 AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9·30AM	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9·45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:00 AM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0

Leg	West St		Washing	ton St		West St		Washington St		
Direction	Southbound		Westbou	nd		Northbound		Eastbound		
Time	Арр	Ped*	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Ped*	Int
10:15AM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
10:30AM	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
10:45AM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly I otal	0	1	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
11:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
11:15AM	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
11:45 AM	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
Hourly Total	0	6	0	0	6	0	1	0	5	0
12:00PM	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
12:001 M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30PM	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0
12:45PM	0	1	0	0	- 1	0	0	0	- 0	0
Hourly Total	0	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	0
1:00PM	0	0	0	0	- 1	0	1	0	0	0
1:15PM	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
1:30PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
1:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Hourly Total	0	3	0	0	8	0	3	0	2	0
2:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:30PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
2:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
3:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
3:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
3:30PM	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
3:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0
4:00PM	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
4:15PM	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
4:30PM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
4:45PM	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Hourly Total	0	2	0	0	9	0	0	0	2	0
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	0
5:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	4	0
6:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
6:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
6:30PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Uourly Tatal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
7.10PM	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
7:30PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
7:45PM	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	0	0	6	0	3	0	2	0
8:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	- 2	0
8:15PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
8:30PM	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
8:45PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0
Hourly Total	0	2	0	0	11	0	3	0	5	0
9:00PM	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
9:15PM	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
9:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
9:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	2	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	0
10:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Leg	West St		Washington St			West St		Washington St		
Dire ction	Southbound		Westbou	ınd		Northboun	d	Eastbound		
Time	Арр	Ped*	U	Арр	Ped*	Арр	Pe d*	Арр	Ped*	Int
10:15PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
10:30PM	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
10:45PM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Hourly Total	0	6	0	0	3	0	1	0	3	0
11:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30PM	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45PM	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019-06-13 12:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	52	0	0	93	0	18	0	39	0
% Approach	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vehicles	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
% Vehicles	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pedestrians	-	51	-	-	85	-	17	-	36	
% Pedestrians	-	98.1%	-	-	91.4%	-	94.4%	-	92.3%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	1	-	-	8	-	1	-	3	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	1.9%	-	-	8.6%	-	5.6%	-	7.7%	-

^{*}Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. U: U-Turn

West & Washington - Bike/Ped - TMC

[W] Washington St

Wed Jun 12, 2019 Full Length (12 AM-1 AM, 1 AM-2 AM, 2 AM-3 AM, 3 AM-4 AM, 4 AM-5 AM, 5 AM-6 AM, 6 AM-7 AM, 7 AM-8 AM, 8 AM-9 AM, 9 AM-10 AM, 10 AM-11 AM, 11 AM-12 PM, 12 PM-1 PM, 1 PM-2 PM, 2 PM-3 PM, 3 PM-4 PM, 4 PM-5 PM, 5 PM-6 PM, 6 PM-7 PM, 7 PM-8 PM, 8 PM-9 PM, 9 PM-10 PM, 10 PM-11 PM, 11 PM-12 AM (+1)) All Classes (Vehicles, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 787750, Location: 39.287801, -77.863643



5085 Reed Rd, Columbus, OH, 43220, US

48

45



[N] West St



Chelsea Cousins

From:Gina BalsamoSent:Wednesday, March 3, 2021 11:24 AMTo:Skeen, Jeremy P; mmullenax@washco-md.netCc:Johnson, Leland W; Chelsea CousinsSubject:RE: [External] RE: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?

Jeremy,

Thank you for the quick information!

We will use a linear annual growth rate of 1.5238% for WV-51 and 1.3632% for CR-13. I assume these are linear annual growth rates?

We will assume the growth rates cover planned growth in the area, and specific trip generation from the residential development is not needed in addition to this. Let me know if you think otherwise.

Thanks,

Gina Balsamo, PE

Carpenter Marty Transportation 614.656.2429

From: Skeen, Jeremy P <Jeremy.P.Skeen@wv.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 11:04 AM
To: mmullenax@washco-md.net; Gina Balsamo <gbalsamo@cmtran.com>
Cc: Johnson, Leland W <Leland.W.Johnson@wv.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?

Hello,

The growth rate I have calculated for WV51 Middleway Pike: 1.5238% This growth rate was calculated using MPO data on the segment of the road closest to Charles Town.

The growth rate I have calculated for CR13 Summit Point Road: 1.3632% This was calculated using station 193064 on CR13.

If you have anymore questions, feel free to ask.

Thanks, Jeremy

From: Johnson, Leland W <Leland.W.Johnson@wv.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 10:31 AM
To: Skeen, Jeremy P <Jeremy.P.Skeen@wv.gov>
Subject: FW: [External] RE: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?

Jeremy,

Please handle this request. If the growth rate is showing negative values or growth below 0.5%, instead of the typical minimum growth rate of 0.25%, you can go ahead and confirm the 0.5% growth rate to be reasonable.

Leland

From: Mullenax, Matt <<u>mmullenax@washco-md.net</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 10:26 AM
To: Gina Balsamo <<u>gbalsamo@cmtran.com</u>>; Patrick Park <<u>ppark@cmtran.com</u>>; Ali Sadeghian
<<u>asadeghian@cmtran.com</u>>; Dustin Gohs <<u>dgohs@cmtran.com</u>>; Ali Sadeghian
<<u>csadeghian@cmtran.com</u>>; Dustin Gohs <<u>dgohs@cmtran.com</u>>
Cc: Carr, Brian E <<u>Brian.E.Carr@wv.gov</u>>; Donohue, Kevin <<u>kdonohue@washco-md.net</u>>; Meadows, Donald R
<<u>Donald.R.Meadows@wv.gov</u>>; Johnson, Leland W <<u>Leland.W.Johnson@wv.gov</u>>
Subject: [External] RE: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify sender.

Hi Gina,

I am cc'ing Don Meadows and Leland Johnson regarding the residential development and growth rates in Charles Town, Jefferson County.

Don/Leland – DOH-Planning and HEPMPO are working on a corridor study along WV51 on the west end of Charles Town.

There are two subdivisions along CR13/Summit Point Road that tie in (Huntfield and Village at Foxfield). Don, I'm guessing Huntfield submitted a TIS years ago for the section along Augustine...do you happen to have a digital copy? Or any insights if the TIS covered the whole development and would need to be redone for future sections?

Leland – Gina w/ Carpenter Marty lists a 0.5% growth rate based on historic traffic counts...is that a fairly reasonable estimate or would you recommend a change?

Thank you for any assistance/insights you can provide.

- Matt

From: Gina Balsamo <gbalsamo@cmtran.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 9:58 AM
To: Mullenax, Matt <<u>mmullenax@washco-md.net</u>>; Patrick Park <<u>ppark@cmtran.com</u>>; Ali Sadeghian
<<u>asadeghian@cmtran.com</u>>; Dustin Gohs <<u>dgohs@cmtran.com</u>>
Cc: Carr, Brian E <<u>Brian.E.Carr@wv.gov</u>>; Donohue, Kevin <<u>kdonohue@washco-md.net</u>>
Subject: RE: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?

WARNING!! This message originated from an **External Source**. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Any claims of being a County official or employee should be disregarded.

Thank you for sharing this. Do you know if there was a traffic impact study completed for this development? If so, can you please send along?

We had previously looked into growth rates to use for our Design Year traffic analysis. Historic traffic counts show negative growth in the area. So we assumed a 0.5% linear annual growth rate to be conservative.

Now that I see this development site plan, I am wondering if our assumptions are still appropriate. We could do trip generation for the development site and add the trips to the network if you think that is most appropriate. If you want to go that route, additional details on the site development may be helpful.

Let me know what you think. Feel free to give me a call to discuss, if needed.

Thanks,

Gina Balsamo, PE

Carpenter Marty Transportation 614.656.2429

From: Mullenax, Matt <<u>mmullenax@washco-md.net</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 8:24 AM
To: Patrick Park <<u>ppark@cmtran.com</u>>; Gina Balsamo <<u>gbalsamo@cmtran.com</u>>; Ali Sadeghian
<<u>asadeghian@cmtran.com</u>>; Dustin Gohs <<u>dgohs@cmtran.com</u>>; Ali Sadeghian
<<u>Cc: Carr, Brian E <<u>Brian.E.Carr@wv.gov</u>>; Donohue, Kevin <<u>kdonohue@washco-md.net</u>>
Subject: FW: Huntfield and Village at Foxfield - CR13 entrances?</u>

Good morning CM team,

Attached in the upper left-hand corner is an overall layout of the proposed Huntfield development plan, including access points to Summit Point.

This is a large development that may not be fully built out for another 10 years but was referenced during our field review.

Thanks, Matt

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations



WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations



Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2019 AM Peak Hour Count Data (7:30-8:30) Λ N N. West Street 5 168 143 23 45 13 WV-51 WV-51 Л 65 45 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 426 🗖 15 Boulevard) ſſ Street) 1 135 55 54 41 3 354 🗖 142 CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations
WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations



Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2019 AM Alternative 2 Β1 Λ Ν N. West Street 5 168 143 23 45 13 WV-51 WV-51 Π 65 45 **4**227 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 536 🗖 426 🗖 15 Boulevard) ((1 Street) 3 1 135 55 54 41 354 🗖 142 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER MARTY transportation 2019 AM Alternative 3 C1 Λ Ν N. West Street 5 **1**69 143 23 45 13 WV-51 WV-51 Π 65 45 233 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 429) (15 Boulevard) 1 Street) 0 1 561 55 54 41 354 🗖 142 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 AM Peak Hour Count Data (7:30-8:30) Λ N N. West Street 219 187 24 47 14 WV-51 WV-51 85 59 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 556 🗖 20 Boulevard) 1 ſſ Street) 1 172 58 57 43 4 462 🗖 185 CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations



Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 AM Alternative 2 B2 Λ Ν N. West Street 7 219 187 24 47 14 WV-51 WV-51 Π 85 59 **4** 287 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 698 🗖 556 🗖 20 (Boulevard) Street) 1 172 58 57 43 4 462 🗖 185 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER MARTY transportation 2039 AM Alternative 3 C2 Λ Ν N. West Street 7 220 187 24 47 14 WV-51 WV-51 85 Π 59 304 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 560) (20 Boulevard) Street) 0 1 728 58 57 43 462 🗖 185 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2019 PM Peak Hour Count Data (4:45-5:45) Λ N N. West Street 15 579 485 77 108 8 WV-51 WV-51 158 72 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 294 🗖 12 Boulevard) ((ľ Street) 3 138 156 63 76 2 329 🗖 75 CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study Traffic Volume Calculations



Traffic Volume Calculations Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2019 ΡM Alternative 2 В3 Λ Ν N. West Street 15 579 485 77 108 8 WV-51 WV-51 Л 158 72 728 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 424 🗖 294 🗖 12 (T Boulevard) \mathcal{D} ſ Street) 2 3 138 156 63 76 329 🗖 75 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study

Traffic Volume Calculations Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2019 ΡM Alternative 3 C3 Λ Ν N. West Street 15 582 485 77 108 8 WV-51 WV-51 158 Л 72 737 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 296 1 (12 Boulevard) \mathcal{D} Ι ſ Street) 0 3 432 156 63 76 329 🗖 75 -New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 PM Peak Hour Count Data (7:30-8:30) Λ N N. West Street 20 755 633 81 113 8 WV-51 WV-51 Л 206 94 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 384 🗖 16 Boulevard) ((ľ Street) 4 176 164 66 80 3 429 🗖 98 CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 PM Alternative 1 A3 Λ N N. West Street 755 20 206 633 81 113 8 WV-51 WV-51 Ļ 94 0 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 16 Boulevard) 0 T ((Street) 4 176 164 66 80 0 384 🗖 429 🗖 3 98 CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

Traffic Volume Calculations Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 ΡM Alternative 2 В3 Λ Ν N. West Street 20 755 633 81 113 8 WV-51 WV-51 206 94 920 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 552 🗖 384 🗖 16 (Boulevard) D Ι ſ Street) 3 4 176 164 66 80 429 🗖 98 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study

Traffic Volume Calculations Year Period Scenario Plate CARPENTER_ MARTY transportation 2039 ΡM Alternative 3 C3 Λ Ν N. West Street 20 759 633 81 113 8 WV-51 WV-51 206 94 **4**961 (M.L.K. Jr. (W. Washington 387 16 16 Boulevard) D Ι ſ Street) 0 4 560 164 66 80 429 🗖 98 🛯 New Road CR-13 (W. Washington S. West Street Street)

WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study

WV-51 & NS Train Count Data

Date: April 29, 2021 Time period observed: 7am - 11am Data collected by: RyAN Mose

	Train Crossing #1
Time gates went down:	7:32Am
Time gates went up:	7:33.AM
Approximate number of queued WB vehicles or approximate location of back of queue	3
General observations (e.g., drivers turning around, erratic vehicle movements, queue impacts)	EAST BOUND QUEUE - 6

	Train Crossing #2
Time gates went down:	0
	9:37an
Time gates went up:	9:38 Am
Approximate number of queued WB	
vehicles or approximate location of back of queue	3
General observations (e.g., drivers turning around, erratic vehicle	6 - EAST BOUND , N QUEUE
movements, queue impacts)	

	Train Crossing #3
Time gates went down:	
Time gates went up:	
- Sales Went up.	
Approximate number of queued WB	
vehicles or approximate location of back	
orqueue	
General observations (e.g., drivers	
turning around, erratic vehicle	
movements, queue impacts)	

6612 Singletree Drive Columbus, Ohio 43229 • 614.656.2424 • www.cmtran.com



WV-51 & NS Train Count Data

Date: April 29, 2021 (Thursday) Time period observed: 2:00-6:00pm Data collected by: Matt Mullenax, HEPMPO

NB

train

58 Tain

١١Ø

CWS

Train Crossing #1				
Time gates went down:	2:17pm			
Time gates went up:	2:20pm			
Approximate number of queued WB vehicles or approximate location of back of queue	24			
General observations (e.g., drivers turning around, erratic vehicle movements, queue impacts)	EB took much longer to clear ; preserve of school (3 min vs. 1.5 min) buses cloud down; it	nimed		

in Arrechm, Saw of Leost one car hum left onto Eagle Ave

	Train Crossing #2
Time gates went down:	3:16 pm
Time gates went up:	3:20 pm
Approximate number of queued WB vehicles or approximate location of back of queue	28
General observations (e.g., drivers turning around, erratic vehicle movements, queue impacts)	from side mirror looked like talkic backed to intersection

Train Crossing #3 Time gates went down: 4:05 pm -light rain Time gates went up: 4:08 pm Approximate number of queued SB tain 41 WB vehicles or approximate location of back of queue 86 General observations (e.g., drivers - Someone timed around in drivenny 11065 Milleny Pike turning around, erratic vehicle Chis ather gabe went up and turnel around movements, queue impacts)

- one WB ar trying turn left to a residentic added to accele cleansy time

6612 Singletree Drive Columbus, Ohio 43229 • 614.656.2424 • www.cmtran.com

Appendix C Corridor Planning References



EITY OF CHARLES TOWN COMPREHENSIVE PLAN Future Roadway Network Improvements



Proposed Transportation Improvement

New Road
 Local Road
 Complete Streets Improvements

Additional Map Elements

- ∧ // State Road
- 🗸 Local Road
- ✓ Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)
- ✓ City Boundary

New Roundabout

Improvement Description

- Safety improvements to existing roadways
- 2 New boulevard to connect WV-51 to US-340 to alleviate commercial vehicle congestion in Old Town Charles Town
- 3 New roadway connections between existing neighborhood developments and regional arterials to expand the grid network
- 4 New boulevard through the proposed medical arts campus
- 5 Local roadway enhancements to complete the grid network
- 6 Complete streets improvements on S. George Street from S. Samuel Street to Crosswinds Drive



EXAMPLES TOWN COMPREHENSIVE PLAN Future Bicycle and Pedestrian Network Improvements



Proposed Transportation Improvement

- Mew Bicycle & Pedestrian Path
- ✓ Complete Streets Improvements

Additional Map Elements

State Road
 Local Road
 Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)

 $\wedge\!\!\!/$ City Boundary

Improvement Description

- Evitts Run Trail Connection to the West End as envisioned in the West End Master Plan
- 2 New connections to existing networks in Ranson and the Route 9 Bike Trail
- 3 Cattail Run Trail to expand recreational access to natural resources
- Evitts Run Trail connecting Evitts Run Park and Willingham Knolls Park
- 5 Augustine Avenue multi-use path

6 Complete streets improvements on S. George Street from S. Samuel Street to Crosswinds Drive

0	0.5	1	2
			Miles
Map cr	eated by the City	∕ of Charles Town	
Depart	ment of Commu	nity Development 2/1/2018	N N



Transportation Study



Prepared By: Michael Baker Jr., Inc. **Baker**

Prepared For: City of Charles Town, WV Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle MPO

4/23/2014

Map ID	Roadway / Location	Description	Developer Funded	Identified in LRTP	In Other Studies*	Estimated Cost (\$2013)
12	WV 51 Reconstruction	New 2-lane alignment from W. Washington Street to Berkeley County that includes intersection improvements.		x		\$9.3M
13	West Washington Street Improvements	Intersection improvements along West Washington Street from WV 51 to South George Street. Includes intersection redesign for WV 51/Summit Point Road/West Washington Street (potential roundabout) and pedestrian improvements along		X	(1)	\$0.8M
14	Lawrence St. CSX Rail Crossing	Signal upgrade or elimination of rail crossing for safety purposes.		х	(1)	\$0.4M
15	Church St. CSX Rail Crossing	Elimination of rail crossing for safety purposes.		х	(1)	\$0.3M
16	Jefferson Ave. / Washington St.	Intersection improvements to address future congestion.		х	(1)	\$4.3M
17	North-South Roadway Connection	New roadway to provide a north-south connection from US 340 to Keyes Ferry Road.		х	(2)	\$2.5M
18	Keyes Ferry / Southerly Lane	Intersection improvements including possible intersection signalization to address potential future traffic volume increases due to Hospital and new roadway connections.				\$0.3M
19	North-South Roadway Connection	New roadway to provide a north-south connection between Keyes Ferry Road and Somerset Blvd.		х	(2)	\$1.8M
20	Currie Lane Extension	Extend Currie Lane (possibly as 4-lane roadway) from Leetown Pike to WV 51		х	(1)	\$17.8M
21	Beltline Extension	Extend Beltline Avenue from Curie Lane to possible junction with 5 th Avenue or Sun Road. Requires multiple rail crossings.		x	(1)	\$20.1M
22	US 340 Widening	Extension of turn lanes on US 340 between WV 9 interchange and Jefferson Terrace Road		х	(2)	\$4.9M
23	US 340 Frontage Road	East-West frontage road on northern side of US 340 from Jefferson Terrace Road to Halltown Road.		X	(2)	\$9.8M
24	US 340/ Country Club Road	Construct interchange at US 340 and Country Club Road. Interchange may be located west of current intersection requiring roadway reconfiguration.		х	(2)	\$30.6M

Exhibit 24: Transportation Projects (continued)

(Project MAP ID does not indicate Priority Order)

 intersection requiring roadway reconfiguration.
 (C)

 * (1) Ranson - Charles Town Transportation Development Fee Study

(2) East Gateway Study



Regional Traffic Safety Study

Prepared for: Hagerstown / Eastern Panhandle MPO June 25, 2019

Prepared by: Michael Baker International

Michael Baker

Map ID*	Corridor Name	Corridor Starting Point	Corridor Ending Point	Location	County	# of Crashes
1	Route 45 (Apple Harvest Drive)	Advent Drive	New York Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	399
2	US 11 (Winchester Avenue)	Loraine Avenue	Raleigh Street	Martinsburg	Berkeley	237
3	Route 51	Railroad Tracks	N. Seminary Street	Charles Town	Jefferson	144
4	Route 9 (Edwin Miller Blvd)	I-81	Meridian Parkway	Martinsburg	Berkeley	141
5	Flowing Spring Road	Jefferson High School	Duffields Marc Train Station	Shenandoah Junction	Jefferson	138
6	Route 9	Railroad Tracks	US 340	Charles Town	Jefferson	132
7	US 340	Candlewood Drive	Route 9	Charles Town	Jefferson	132
8	US 11 (Williamsport Pike to Edwin Miller Boulevard)	Hinton Court	Union Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	113
9	Route 51 (Gerrardstown Road)	Bentley Drive	Sader Drive	Inwood	Berkeley	111
10	I-81 NB	Exit 12 (Apple Harvest Drive)	Exit 13 (King Street)	Martinsburg	Berkeley	108
11	Route 9 (Hedgesville Road)	US Postal Service	Ben Speck Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley	102
12	I-81 NB	Mile 23	Mile 24	Falling Waters	Berkeley	97
13	Route 9 (Hedgesville Rd/Edwin Miller Blvd)	Welltown Road	I-81	Martinsburg	Berkeley	97
14	I-81 NB	Exit 13 (King Street)	Exit 14 (Dry Run Road)	Martinsburg	Berkeley	92
15	Mission Road	Shannondale Springs Chapel	Speaks Lane	Shannondale	Jefferson	91
16	Route 9 (Edwin Miller Blvd)	ALDI	Raleigh Street/Williamsport Pike	Martinsburg	Berkeley	87
17	US 340	Route 9	Mile 10	Charles Town	Jefferson	80
18	I-81 NB	Exit 16 (Route 9)	Mile 17	Martinsburg	Berkeley	78
19	Leetown Road	Marshall Street	Electrical Substation	Middleway	Jefferson	75
20	Summit Point Road	Lloyd Road	McCormack Lane	Charles Town	Jefferson	73

Table 5: West Virginia Priority Corridors – Total Crashes (2013-2017)

* Bold Map ID [RED] means Corridor overlaps with a TIP/LRTP project

Map ID*	Corridor Name	Corridor Starting Point	Corridor Ending Point	Location	County
1	Summit Point Road	McCormack Lane	Lloyd Road	Charles Town	Jefferson
2	US 11 (Winchester Avenue)	Loraine Ave	Raleigh Street	Martinsburg	Berkeley
3	Back Creek Valley Road	Messenger Farm Lane	Sleepy Creek Road	Jones Spring	Berkeley
4	Leetown Road	Electrical Substation	Marshall Street	Charles Town	Jefferson
5	Flowing Spring Road	Jefferson High School	Duffields Marc Train Station	Shenandoah Junction	Jefferson
6	Chestnut Hill Road	Mountain View Drive	Grove Springs Lane	Silver Grove	Jefferson
7	Paynes Ford Road	Compassion Drive	Sulphur Spring Branch	Martinsburg	Berkeley
8	Van Metre Drive	Charles Town Road	Short Road	Kearneysville	Berkeley
9	Ridge Road	Edgewood School Road	The Barns at York Hill	Shepherdstown	Jefferson
10	Grapevine Road	Route 9 Charles Town Road	McDaniel Lane	Martinsburg	Berkeley
11	Wiltshire Road	Jefferson County Health Department	Johnstown Road	Charles Town	Jefferson
12	Mission Road	Shannondale Springs Chapel	Speaks Lane	Shannondale	Jefferson
13	Butts Mill Road	Mauve Road	Baxter Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley
14	Route 51	Seminary Street	Railroad Tracks	Charles Town	Jefferson
15	Hammonds Mill Road	Little Georgetown Road	Vineyard Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley
16	Apple Harvest Drive	Advent Drive	New York Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley
17	Cattail Run Road	Route 9	Keyes Ferry Road	Mechanicstown	Jefferson
18	Eagle School Road	Edwin Mill Blvd/Queens Street	Belview Drive	Martinsburg	Berkeley
19	Allensville Road	Gough Run	Beards Crossing Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley
20	Mildred Street	E. 10th Avenue	Wescott Drive	Ranson	Jefferson

Table 9: West Virginia Priority Corridors – Total Crash Rate (2013-2017)

* Bold Map ID [RED] means Corridor overlaps with a TIP/LRTP project

Map ID*	Corridor Name	Corridor Starting Point	Corridor Ending Point	Location	County
1	Route 45 (Apple Harvest Drive)	Advent Drive	New York Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley
2	US 11 (Winchester Avenue)	Loraine Ave	Raleigh Street	Martinsburg	Berkeley
3	Route 9 (Edwin Miller Blvd)	I-81	Meridian Parkway	Martinsburg	Berkeley
4	US 340 NB	Candlewood Drive	Route 9	Charles Town	Jefferson
5	Flowing Spring Road	Jefferson High School	Duffields Marc Train Station	Shenandoah Junction	Jefferson
6	Route 51	Railroad Tracks	N. Seminary Street	Charles Town	Jefferson
7	Route 9	Railroad Tracks	US 340	Charles Town	Jefferson
8	Route 9 (Edwin Miller Boulevard)	ALDI Raleigh Street/Williamsport Pike		Martinsburg	Berkeley
9	Mission Road	Shannondale Springs Chapel	Speaks Lane	Shannondale	Jefferson
10	US 340	Route 9	Mile 10	Charles Town	Jefferson
11	Route 51 (Gerradstown Road)	Bentley Drive Sader Drive		Inwood	Berkeley
12	Route 9 (Hedgesville Road)	Welltown Road	I-81 Martinsburg		Berkeley
13	Summit Point Road	McCormack Lane	Lloyd Road	Charles Town	Jefferson
14	Route 9 (Hedgesville Road)	US Postal Service	Ben Speck Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley
15	I-81 NB	Exit 13 (King Street)	Exit 14 (Dry Run Road)	Martinsburg	Berkeley
16	Chestnut Hill Road	Mountain View Drive	Grove Springs Lane	Silver Grove	Jefferson
17	Hammonds Mill Road	Little Georgetown Road	Vineyard Road	Hedgesville	Berkeley
18	Grapevine Road	Route 9 Charles Town Road	McDaniel Lane	Martinsburg	Berkeley
19	I-81 NB	Mile 23	Mile 24	Falling Waters	Berkeley
20	US 11	Bunker Hill United Methodist	Mill Creek Intermediate	Bunker Hill	Berkeley

Table 13: West Virginia Priority Corridors – Fatalities and Injuries (2013-2017)

* Bold Map ID [RED] means Corridor overlaps with a TIP/LRTP project

Map ID	Intersection	Location	County	Crash Count
1	William L Wilson Freeway at US 9	Charles Town	Jefferson	116
2	Apple Harvest Drive at Foxcroft Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	55
3	Apple Harvest Drive at Winchester Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	46
4	Washington Street at Flowing Springs Road	Charles Town	Jefferson	33
5	Edwin Miller Boulevard at Warm Springs Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	27
6	Edwin Miller Boulevard at Williamsport Pike	Martinsburg	Berkeley	26
7	Apple Harvest Drive at New York Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	26
8	Williamsport Pike at Meadow Lane	Martinsburg	Berkeley	24
9	US 340 at Patrick Henry Way	Charles Town	Jefferson	21
10	Queen Street at Woodbury Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	20
11	Middleway Pike at Leetown Road	Kearneysville	Jefferson	19
12	Washington Street at Jefferson Avenue	Charles Town	Jefferson	18
13	Edwin Miller Boulevard at Jennings Drive	Martinsburg	Berkeley	12
14	US 340 at Marlow Road	Charles Town	Jefferson	12
15	Queen Street at Moler Avenue	Martinsburg	Berkeley	12
16	Summit Point Road/WV51/W Washington Street at MLK Jr Boulevard	Charles Town	Jefferson	12
17	Winchester Avenue at Henshaw Road	Bunker Hill	Berkeley	11
18	Washington Street at Mildred Street	Charles Town	Jefferson	10
19	Hedgesville Road at Rock Cliff Drive	Martinsburg	Berkeley	10
20	US 340 at Shepherdstown Pike	Charles Town	Jefferson	9

Table 20: West Virginia Crash Intersections

* Bold Map ID [RED] means Corridor overlaps with a TIP/LRTP project

** Further evaluation needed to determine intersection crash rates using approach traffic volumes for each cross-street



City of Charles Tourn Walkability and Connectivity Study

August 2016



Figure 2: Sidewalk Width Conditions within the Priority Study Area



Figure 3: Sidewalk Conditions within the Priority Study Area

Overall Condition Assessment

While both sidewalk width and condition are independently important, overlaying the two assessments provides a better picture of overall condition. Based on the good, fair, poor assessment criteria, there are nine potential combined conditions. Each of these condition assessment combinations has been assigned a relative priority based on the overall condition. Higher priority ratings indicate worse overall condition and more deserving of timely investment. Sidewalk segments that are narrow with poor physical conditions received a higher priority rating, while segments that are in good and fair condition with appropriate width are not rated as highly.

Priority	Width Assessment	Condition Assessment
1	Poor	Poor
2	Fair	Poor
3	Poor	Fair
4	Good	Poor
5	Poor	Good
6	Fair	Fair
7	Good	Fair
8	Fair	Good
9	Good	Good

The majority of the City's sidewalks in the downtown center are in good condition. However, when making sidewalk investments, Charles Town must weigh each of the assessment priorities identified above against areas that have no sidewalks at all. In respect to pedestrian connectivity, the lack of a sidewalk will be more important to address than most of the priority sidewalks based on condition. **Figure 4** depicts sidewalk conditions ratings based on the field assessment and identifies sidewalk gaps in the study area network.

Priority Sidewalk Investment Area

Based on the combined condition ranking, the City of Charles Town should identify priority sidewalk segments for incremental improvements. Probable costs for such improvements, as well as the legal and policy roles and responsibilities are identified in later sections. Using the combined condition rating, there are several specific areas that should be considered for priority investment:

- 1. Sidewalk gaps in the immediate downtown area filling gaps in sidewalks in a mostly-complete network is the most important improvement that can be made. Sidewalk gaps inhibit mobility and stop pedestrians from travelling further along a path. They can also be unsafe if a pedestrian chooses to continue on and are inherently not ADA compliance.
- 2. Repair and/or replace sidewalks with the worst overall condition Based on the condition ranking, eight (8) segments have been identified for prioritized improvements. The segments are not identified in any particular order, and should be further prioritized by City officials and local stakeholders.

Figure 5, shown on page 14, displays the priority sidewalk investment area based on the above criteria.



Figure 4: Sidewalk Conditions Assessment Rating & Sidewalk Gaps in Downtown

Sidewalk Inventory and Assessment





ENVISION JEFFERSON 2035 Comprehensive Plan



Jefferson County, West Virginia


	Highway Problem Areas in Jefferson County							
Number	Route/Road	Location	Problem					
1	Scrabble Road	1/4 mile West of Sheperherd Grade Road	90 Degree turn					
2	WV 45	Intersection with WV 480, WV 45 and WV 230	Road width through historic area limits turn movements					
3	River Road	Near Potomac Ridge Lane	Prone to flooding					
4	Trough Road	One mile east of WV 230	90 Degree turn					
5	Ridge Road	Intersection with Gardners Lane	Poor intersection angle causing poor visibility					
6	Intersection of WV 230 and Flowing Springs Road	Intersection of WV 230, Flowing Springs Road, Gardners Lane and Trough Road	High number of access and turning movements, visibility constraints					
7	Trough Road	1/2 mile north of Engle Molers	Two 90 degree turns					
8	WV 230	1 mile south of Flowing Springs Rd	S Curve					
9	Flowing Springs Road	Approximately 1 south of WV 230 intersection	Curve					
10	Engle Molers Road	1/4 mile south of Uvilla	Two 90 degree turns					
11	Luther Jones Road	Intersection with Wiltshire Road and Old Charlestown Road	Limited stacking area at light due to tracks. Development is expected to take place in this area over next two decades					
12	Flowing Springs Road	Approximately 700 feet north of Shenandoah Junction Road	Two 90 degree turns					
13	Bakerton Road	Bakerton Road Tunne	Sharp Curve, Poor Sight Distance, One Lane Tunnel					
14	Daniel Road	Intersection with Flowing Springs Road just north of Old Country Club Road	Poor intersection angle causing poor visibility					
15	Sun Road	Intersection with WV 9 West	No merge lane on Route 9					
16	Cattail Run Road	Intersection with Marlow RD and Cattail RD	90 Degree turn					
17	Cattail Run Road	At intersection with WV 115	Poor sight distance pulling onto WV 115					
18	Bloomery Road	1,000 north of WV 115	Within 100 Year Floodplain, periodic flooding					
19	Wilt Road	From WV 115 at to dead end	Within 100 Year Floodplain, periodic flooding					
20	John Rissler Road	From WV 115 at Bloomery to dead end	Within 100 Year Floodplain, periodic flooding					
21	Bloomery Road	2,500 South of Rt. 9 tunnel	90 Degree turn					
22	Bloomery Road	1,250 north of WV 115	90 Degree turn					
23	US 340 and Chestnut Hill Road	Intersection with Chestnut Hill Road	Inadequate Turning Area onto Chestnut Hill Road; Poor intersection angle causing poor visability, steep slope					
24	WV 115	At Chestnut Hill	Poor intersection angle causing poor visibility					
25	WV 115	At Mission Road	Multiple Accident Location Long dead-end road with only 1 point of ingress/egress					
26	Augustine Ave	Intersection with US 340	Adjacent intersection with Hyuett Road may lead to stacking during peak hours.					
27	Meyerstown Road	One mile east of US 340	Two 90 degree turns					
28	Meyerstown Road	1/2 mile east of US 340	90 degree turn					
29	Summit Point Road	Intersection with WV 51 (Washington St)	Poor intersection angle causing poor visibility, intersection at capacity					
30	Summit Point Road	Near Lindsay Drive	90 Degree turn					
31	Summit Point Road	Intersection with Lloyd Road	90 Degree Turn					
32	Summit Point Road	At intersection with Leetown Road and Summit Point Road	Poor intersection angle causing poor visibility					
33	Summit Point Road	In vicinity of Summit Point Raceway	90 Degree turn					
34	WV 51	Intersection with Earl and Darke Lane	Misalingment of intersection					
35	WV 51	Intersection with Childs Road	Poor sight distance turning onto WV 51					
36	Old Middleway Road	Intersection with WV 51	Poor sight distance and intersection angle					
37	Paynes Ford Road	3,500 feet west of Leetown Road	Two 90 degree turns					



HEPMPO Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle Metropolitan Planning Organization



Page C21 of 32

Table 9-10: Jefferson County Fiscally Constrained Projects

Phase	Project ID	Facility	Recommendation	2017 Cost	YOE Cost	Local Priority	Initial Prioritiz. Score	Benefit Cost Score
	J105.0	WV 9 / Fairfax Blvd.	Intersection Improvements	\$.3M	\$.4M	HIGH	9.2	34.2
	J308.0	Huyett Rd. / Augustine Ave.	Intersection improvements	\$.4M	\$.6M	HIGH	5.1	12.7
	J104.1	US 340 / Country Club Rd Phase 1	Restriping / Turn Lanes	\$.8M	\$1.2M	HIGH	8.1	10.0
	J305.0	CR 34 / Washington St.	Intersection improvements	\$1.2M	\$1.8M	HIGH	6.7	5.6
	J312.0	Washington St.	Traffic Safety and Pedestrian Mobility Improvements	\$.9M	\$1.4M	HIGH	5.1	5.4
	J107.0	WV 115	Access management improvements	\$.9M	\$1.4M	HIGH	4.4	4.7
PHASE 1: Post TIP Short Term	J301.0	5th Ave. / Route 9 / Flowing Springs Rd.	Intersection Improvements	\$3.0M	\$4.4M	HIGH	7.6	2.6
(2023-2030)	J310.0	Mildred St. / Old Leetown Pk. / 16th Ave.	Travel lane alignment and turn lane improvements	\$3.3M	\$5.0M	HIGH	8.4	2.5
	J309.0	Mildred St.	Complete Street Corridor	\$3.4M	\$5.0M	HIGH	7.2	2.1
	J101.0	US 340	Extension of turn lanes	\$5.8M	\$8.7M	HIGH	11.7	2.0
	J402.0	New Frontage Road	US 340 frontage road	\$.4M	\$.7M	LOW	2.5	5.7
	J208.0	Flowing Springs Rd. / Country Club Rd.	Intersection Improvements	\$2.0M	\$3.0M	LOW	5.7	2.9
	J404.0	New Roadway	New two lane roadway	\$1.9M	\$2.8M	LOW	2.3	1.2
	J405.2	Rockwool Blvd Phase 2	New two lane roadway	\$4.7M	\$7.0M	MEDIUM	2.5	0.5



CHARLES TOWN WEST END MASTER PLAN AND BROWNFIELDS REUSE STRATEGY

CITY OF CHARLES TOWN CHARLES TOWN, WV APRIL 2015



Page C23 of 32

REDEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & PARK MASTER PLAN PROJECT KEY

[TRANSPORTATION ELEMENTS]



REDEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN

Transportation Phasing - DRAFT

2/18/2015			
Map Key #	Priority	Project	Description
T1	near term	W. Washington Street and Martin Luther King Blvd. roundabout	 Requires ROW acquisition, traffic feasibility study, and historical impact assessment. Development of a 3-leg roundabout to receive a fourth roundabout leg at a later date. Priority pedestrian access and safety upgrades and roadway design that reduces design speed of road to 25 mph.
Τ2	near term	W. Washington Street Streetscape	 Streetscape improvement project that prioritizes and promotes pedestrian access and safety upgrades. These upgrades include installing sidewalks on both sides of Washington street where feasible and widening existing sidewalks; removal of S. Mt. Parvo vehicular access; clearly defined and striped on-street parking areas; ADA accessibility upgrades, reduced travel lane width to promote reduced vehicle speed, on-street stormwater management infrastructure and locate street trees where feasible. Establish mid-block crossing at Evitts Run park to promote park connectivity and improve trail access.
Т3	near term	Martin Luther King Blvd. Sidewalk improvements and safety upgrades	 Address poor pedestrian safety conditions along Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard from the Norfolk Southern at-grade railroad crossing to the intersection of W. Washington Street. Traffic calming techniques should be implemented including narrowing of travel lane widths landscape bump-outs and tight street tree spacing. Priority should be placed on installation of sidewalks where feasible. Pedestrian sidewalks should be generous in width promoting a walkable community that includes safety features, ADA accessibility and techniques that prioritize a pedestrian connection to the retail corridor along W. Washington Street.
Τ4	near term	Hilldale Shopping Center/ Park Service road	 The Hilldale Shopping Center/park service road provides a strong linkage between the first phase of Evitts Run Greenway/Supertane park improvement project phase and the recommended redevelopment/revitalization scenario for Hilldale Shopping Center. The Hilldale Shopping Center/park service road also can provide a secondary road connection that would balance and distribute traffic volumes coming to and from the Hilldale Shopping Center.
Т5	mid term	Hilldale Shopping Center Development Connector to W. Avis Street	• Much in the same way as the Hilldale Shopping Center/park service road the Hilldale Shopping Center/W. Avis Street connector that can provide an additional secondary road connection to further balance and distribute traffic volumes coming to and from the Hilldale Shopping Center.
T6	near term	Augustine Streetscape improvements Segment A	 Augustine Avenue segment A focuses on streetscape improvements that upgrades and expands pedestrian connections from West Street to the Evitts Run Bridge. The streetscape project should focus on sidewalk improvements that strengthens pedestrian connections from Fisherman Hall to the Senior housing facility and the Hilldale Shopping Center. The streetscape improvements should include street trees, opportunities for seating, clearly defined pedestrian crossings that meet ADA accessibility requirements and interpretative design themes.



Pavement Condition Assessment

November 25, 2020 Revised February 19, 2021



Page C26 of 32

APPENDIX A – NETWORK PRIORITY RATING

UPI	Street Name	Network Priority Ranking	PCI	Length	Width	Area	Road Classification	Roadway Material	Pavement Repair Type	Improvement Funding
1	Ball Circle	4	95.9	549	21.0	11,529	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	700
3	Corbin Circle	4	97.5	1,684 597	21.0	18,524 12,537	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	2,300 700 1.100
5	Haversack Circle Hessy Street	8 13.75	93.5 73.0	729	30.0	8,019	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	2,300
8	North Mt. Parvo Ave	4 13.75	75.0	322 317	13.0	4,186	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	1,600
10	4th Circle	4	86.0	206	36.0	7,416	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	900
12 13	4th Street Alla Willa Drive	4 13.75	90.0 84.8	343 597	38.0 38.0	13,034 22,686	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	2,800
14 15	Ann Street Bailey Brooks Way	13.75 13.75	71.0	375 480	14.0 22.0	5,250 10,560	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	1,500 3,000
16 17	Barksdale Court Barksdale Drive	4 8	88.3 93.0	317 3,627	29.5 29.5	9,352 106,997	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,200 5,900
18 19	Barley Lane Battlefield Drive	13.75 4	85.0 99.0	628 1.320	20.5	12,874 35,640	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Repair	1,600
20	Baylor Street Beckwith Street	4	94.8 88.8	259	17.0	4,403	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	300
22	Bell Place Reheaders Drive	4	93.0	385	15.0	5,775	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Browentitive Maintenance	400
24	Blakeley Place	4	81.5	665	40.0	26,600	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	3,300
25	Braddock Street	4 13.75	99.5	1,302	27.0	51,759	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	2,900
27	Branble Drive Brooke Street	23.5	66.0	935	36.0	33,660	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Light Rehabilitation	37,100
30	Bullskin Street Burberry Lane	4 4	100.0 99.5	2,049	30.0	40,980 46,890	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	2,600
31 32	Burnlea Road Butler Street	4 4	100.0 97.0	1,003 1,246	22.0 37.0	22,066 46,102	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	2,600
33 34	Calmes Street Campbell Drive	4 8	100.0 92.8	1,943 2,619	21.0 28.0	40,803 73,332	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	4,100
35 36	Candlewood Drive Caperton Drive	8 4	100.0 96.0	4,092 639	26.0 38.0	106,392 24,282	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0 1,400
37 38	Casorsa Drive Center Street	4 4	100.0 89.3	1,304 676	29.0 28.0	37,816 18,928	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0 2,300
39 40	Chadwick Drive Cherry Tree Drive	23.5 13.75	99.9 63.0	1,262 1,531	27.0 20.0	34,074 30,620	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Light Rehabilitation	1,900 33,700
41 42	Cold Storage Road Colonial Drive	8 4	72.5 96.0	2,730	14.0	38,220 37.773	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Renair	10,600
43	Colston Drive	4 4	98.0	1,156	27.0	31,212	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,800
45	Court Street	4 13.75	77.8	333	43.0	14,319	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	4,000
40	Craighill Drive	4	94.3	2,424	22.0	70,296	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	3,900
48	Crawford Way Creamery Place	4 13.75	95.8 78.5	343 554	25.0 23.0	8,575 12,742	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	500 3,600
50 51	Cresent Drive Crosswinds Court	8 4	86.5 100.0	1,822 60	40.0 28.0	72,880 1,680	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	8,900 0
52 53	Crosswinds Drive Davenport Street	8 4	87.0 91.0	5,386 407	25.0 23.0	134,650 9,361	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	16,300 600
54 55	Davis Street Deerbrook Drive	4 4	100.0	2,249	27.0 28.0	60,723 15,820	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0
56 57	Doseff Court East Forrest Avenue	4 27.5	100.0 57.0	90 1,304	25.0 21.0	2,250 27,384	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Light Rehabilitation	0 45,200
58 59	East Wall Street Eagle Avenue	13.75 17.75	78.9 81.0	1,082 1,605	11.5 19.0	12,443 30,495	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	3,500 3,700
60 61	East Academy Street East Avis Street	4 13.75	90.6 79.0	1,510 1.515	16.0 15.0	24,160 22,725	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	1,400
62 63	East Congress Street	17.75	74.8	1,531	42.0	64,302 62,250	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Repair	17,700
64	East Liberty Street	8	87.5	2,186	43.0	93,998	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	11,400
66	Edaw Drive	13.75	83.0	523	29.0	15,167	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	1,900
68	Featherstone Drive	8	99.0	723	29.0	20,967	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	1,200
70	Flag Court	4	100.0	232	29.0	6,728	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
72	Grassy Meadows Road	4	92.0	438	29.0	12,702	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	700
73	Graywood Lane Green Street	4 13.75	83.3	380	12.0	4,560	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	600
75	Hickory Tree Court Higgs Boulevard	4 4	100.0 92.5	586	22.0	12,892 20,104	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,200
77 78	Hillside Drive Holly Springs Drive	4 4	94.3 99.6	1,832 855	24.0 28.0	43,968 23,940	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	2,500 1,400
79 80	Holmes Way Hughs Road	4 4	99.5 96.0	327 2,830	25.0 39.0	8,175 110,370	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	500 6,100
81 82	Hunter Street Jenkins Way	4 13.75	91.1 81.5	2,746	28.0 12.5	76,888 8,250	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	4,300
83 84	Lincoln Drive Lord Fairfax Street	13.75 8	79.5 96.7	422 6,721	28.0 17.0	11,816 114,257	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Repair	3,300 6,300
85 86	Maple Avenue Maple Tree Drive	23.5 13.75	68.0 85.0	618 285	19.0 20.0	11,742 5,700	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Light Rehabilitation Preventitive Maintenance	13,000 700
87 88	Mason Street Moore Court	13.75 4	81.0 92.5	1,563 301	13.0 29.0	20,319 8,729	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Repair	2,500 500
89 90	Mordington Avenue Mordington Circle	4 4	100.0 90.0	1,605 153	24.0 20.0	38,520 3,060	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0 200
91 92	Morgan Street Morison Street	13.75 13.75	84.0 81.0	206	10.0	2,060	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	300 7.800
93	Mulberry Tree Street	4 13.75	97.5	718	40.0	28,720	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	1,600
95	North Mildred Street	8 13.75	88.5 84.0	1,320	35.0	46,200	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	5,600
97	North Samuel Street	17.75	75.3	1,320	34.0	44,880	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	12,400
99 100	North Water Street	13.75	85.0	803	19.0	3,420	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	1,900
100	North West Street	13./5 13.75	/8.0 80.8	861 887	32.0	27,552 23,949	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	2,900
102 103	North Charles Street North Lawrence Street	13.75 13.75	72.0 79.0	639 1,341	21.0	13,419 37,548	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Preventitive Maintenance	3,700 10,400
104 105	Northwinds Drive Oden Drive	8 4	97.9 100.0	1,431 602	20.5 38.0	29,336 22,876	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,700
106 107	Okanagan Drive Opera House Way	4 13.75	100.0 84.5	1,357 317	29.0 19.0	39,353 6,023	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Preventitive Maintenance	0 800
108 109	Park Street Payne Street	4 4	88.0 95.8	649 803	18.0 28.0	11,682 22,484	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,500 1,300
110 111	Pentictor Way Perry Drive	4 4	100.0 95.5	1,014 1,199	21.0 29.0	21,294 34,771	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0 2,000
112 113	Prospect Hill Boulebard Revere Drive	8 4	96.0 96.0	6,220 1,278	29.0 32.0	180,380 40,896	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	10,000 2,300
114	Rider Court Rosemont Way	4	94.8	433	17.0	7,361	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	500
116	South Charles Street	4 23.5 13.75	68.9	1,283	32.0	41,056	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Light Rehabilitation	45,200
118	South Water Street	13.75	78.5	623	19.0	11,837	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	3,300
120	Santmyer Way	4	100.0	1,457	20.0	4,394 29,869 20.000	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
121 122	Seaton Lane Shutt Court	4 4	98.0	354	29.0	20,068	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	0
123	Shutter Street Silverleaf Drive	4	89.8 99.9	1,040	14.0	14,560 18,928	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	1,800
125 126	South Church Street South Fritts Way	13.75 4	85.0 91.5	2,640 333	35.0 12.0	92,400 3,996	Neighborhood Street Neighborhood Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance Minor to No Repair	11,200 300
128 129	South Mildred Street South Mount Parvo Avenue	8	87.4 86.0	3,078 348	35.0 10.0	107,730 3,480	Neighborhood Center Street Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt Asphalt	Minor to No Repair Minor to No Repair	13,100 500





UPI	Street Name	Network Priority Ranking	PCI	Length	Width	Area	Road Classification	Roadway Material	Pavement Repair Type	Improvement Funding
130	South Samuel Street	27.5	70.0	4,551	18.0	81,918	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Light Rehabilitation	22,600
131	South Seminary Street	13.75	81.5	2,645	25.0	66,125	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	8,100
132	South West Street	16	94.0	1,214	24.0	29,136	Major Collector Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	1,700
133	Southwinds Drive	4	100.0	655	38.0	24,890	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
134	Spanos Drive	4	93.8	771	38.0	29,298	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	1,700
135	Spring Dale Drive	13.75	84.5	671	29.0	19,459	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	2,400
136	Streeternway Drive	4	87.0	766	29.0	22,214	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	2,700
137	Tornworth Drive	4	100.0	607	29.5	17,907	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
138	Union Ridge Drive	13.75	82.7	1,610	27.0	43,470	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	5,300
139	Valley Place	4	87.0	391	17.0	6,647	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	900
140	Vinton Lane	4	94.5	2,930	11.0	32,230	Neighborhood Street	Gravel	Minor to No Repair	1,800
141	Vista Court	13.75	77.5	438	29.0	12,702	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	3,500
142	West Avis Street	13.75	73.0	1,140	15.0	17,100	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	4,800
143	West Congress Street	4	86.0	1,843	40.0	73,720	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	9,000
144	West Liberty Street	8	85.3	2,381	42.0	100,002	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	12,200
145	West North Street	13.75	82.0	1,151	20.0	23,020	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	2,800
146	Washington Patriots Drive	4	92.8	2,344	25.0	58,608	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	3,300
147	Weirick Row	4	88.6	612	12.0	7,344	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	900
148	Westwinds Court	4	100.0	137	29.0	3,973	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
149	Willow Spring Drive	17.75	71.0	4,308	17.0	73,236	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	20,200
150	Bruce Drive	4	100.0	312	25.0	7,800	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
151	Citizens Way	8	93.8	1,954	30.0	58,620	Neighborhood Center Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	3,300
152	Dodson Drive	4	98.5	148	25.0	3,700	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	300
153	Dunlap Drive	4	99.9	1,014	25.0	25,350	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	1,400
154	East Washington Street	65.5	27.8	8,163	35.0	285,705	Primary Street	Asphalt	Rehabilitation	1,188,000
155	Eldon Drive	4	99.5	803	25.0	20,075	Neighborhood Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	1,200
156	Martin Luther King Jr Avenue	29.75	81.0	892	24.0	21,408	Primary Street	Asphalt	Preventitive Maintenance	2,600
157	North George Street	20	93.0	1,278	30.0	38,340	Primary Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	2,200
158	South George Street	20	100.0	6,912	30.0	207,360	Primary Street	Asphalt	Minor to No Repair	0
159	West Washington Street	39.5	66.0	3.622	20.0	72 440	Primary Street	Asphalt	Light Rehabilitation	79.700

APPENDIX B – PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX

CHARLES TOWN, WEST VIRGINIA PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX

UPI:	156		Length:	892'		Width:	24'
	Low	/	Mec	lium	Hi	gh	Tatal
	% Defect	PCI	% Defect	PCI	% Defect	PCI	lotal
Fatigue (Alligator) Cracking	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Potholes	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Edge Cracking	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Longitudinal Cracking	1.22%	2.5	0.25%	1.0	0.00%	0.0	3.5
Transverse Cracking	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Slippage Cracking	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Block Cracking	2.19%	2.5	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	2.5
Rutting	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Patching	1.06%	4.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	4.0
Bleeding	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Depression	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Shoving	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Corrugation	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Weathering/Raveling	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Bumps and Sags	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
RailRoad Crossing	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Shoulder Dropoff	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Reflective Cracking	0.00%	0.0	2.12%	12.0	0.00%	0.0	12.0





Pavement Condition Index 81.0 Description of Condition Index Satisfactory

Satisfactory 81.0

CHARLES TOWN, WEST VIRGINIA PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX

UP	l: 159		Length:	3622'		Width:	20'
	Low	v	Med	ium	Hi	gh	T ()
	% Defect	PCI	% Defect	PCI	% Defect	PCI	lotal
Fatigue (Alligator) Cracking	1.13%	11.0	0.01%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	11.0
Potholes	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Edge Cracking	0.09%	0.0	0.10%	4.0	0.00%	0.0	4.0
Longitudinal Cracking	0.64%	1.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	1.0
Transverse Cracking	0.16%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Slippage Cracking	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Block Cracking	3.74%	3.5	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	3.5
Rutting	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Patching	3.08%	7.0	3.29%	17.0	0.00%	0.0	24.0
Bleeding	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Depression	0.21%	4.0	0.94%	8.5	0.00%	0.0	12.5
Shoving	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Corrugation	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Weathering/Raveling	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Bumps and Sags	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
RailRoad Crossing	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Shoulder Dropoff	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.00%	0.0	0.0
Reflective Cracking	0.00%	0.0	1.34%	8.0	0.20%	2.0	10.0





Pavement Condition Index Description of Condition Index

Fair Fair <mark>66.0</mark>

66.0

Appendix D Stakeholder and Public Meeting Information





😻 Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle 🛯 🗙	116f69_8607f65635fa4170820faa		- 0 ×		
← → C ☆ (a) c666713d-dd04-4e6d-b967-64f6e43533a8.filesusr.com/ugd/116f69_8607f65635fa4170820faa8fcd7c5ffb.pdf					
Internet Explorer 🚺 Reg Traffic Safe	ty St 😝 HEPMPO Facebook 🏾 🍸 H	MPO.net 💊 HEPMPO TIPVUE 🖸 HEPMPO - YouTube 🧧 Oracle PO Approval 🧧 Oracle Budget Appr 👜 I-81 & I-70 TSMO S	» 📔 🔂 Other bookmarks		
≡ 116f69_8607f65635fa41708	20faa8fcd7c5ffb.pdf	1 / 1 - 100% + 🕃 🕎	± ē :		



The Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle (HEP) MPO in conjunction with the West Virginia Department of Transportation will be hosting a virtual public meeting on Thursday, April 8, 2021 to inform and gather public input on proposed mobility improvements along the WV 51 Corridor. The WV51 study corridor limits are from the intersection with Norfolk Southern Railroad intersection to the west and continuing to the West Street intersection to the east in the City of Charles Town. This project is being undertaken to reduce traffic congestion and improve non-motorized movement through the corridor. This meeting complies with the public involvement requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Visit <u>https://arcg.is/W58Dq</u> for more information.

Den in Acrobat

The public meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. FORMAL PRESENTATIONS WILL BE MADE BY THE CARPENTER MARTY CONSULTING TEAM AT 6:00 PM and 7:00 PM. The public will be afforded the opportunity to ask questions and give written comments on the project throughout the meeting.

You may comment online or in writing. The comment period for this study ends on May 8, 2021.

Those wishing to file written comments may send them to: Mr. Elwood Penn, P.E. Director, Planning Division West Virginia Division of Highways 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, Building 5, Room 740 Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89584430778?pwd=UGlQdTVMNHBEazN0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 Passcode: 107938 One tap mobile +13017158502_80584430778#__*107038# US (Washington DC)









WV 51 Feasibility Study









PUBLIC ZOOM MEETING

The Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle (HEP) MPO in conjunction with the West Virginia Department of Transportation will be hosting a virtual public meeting on Thursday, April 8, 2021 to inform and gather public input on proposed mobility improvements along the WV 51 Study corridor limits are from the intersection

with Norfolk Southern Railroad intersection to the west and continuing to the West Street intersec-

tion to the east in the City of Charles Town. This project is being undertaken to reduce traffic congestion and improve non-motorized movement through the corridor. This meeting complies with the public involvement requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 106 of the National Historic

Preservation Act. Visit HEPMPO/WVDOT website(s) for more information.

Meeting Information

The public meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. FORMAL PRESENTATIONS WILL BE MADE BY THE CARPENTER MARTY CONSULTING TEAM AT 6:00 PM and 7:00 PM.

The public will be afforded the opportunity to ask questions and give written comments on the project throughout the meeting.

You may comment online or in writing. The comment period for this study ends on May 8, 2021.

Those wishing to file written comments may send them to: Mr. Elwood Penn, P.E.Director, Planning Division West Virginia Division of Highways 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, Building 5, Room 740 Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Join Zoom Meeting : https://us02web.zoom.us/j/ 89584430778?pwd= UGIQdTVMNHBEaz N0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 Passcode: 107938

One tap mobile

+ 13017158592,, 89584430778#,,,, *107938# US (Washington DC)

+ 13126266799,, 89584430778#,,,, *107938# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location

+ 1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+ 1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+ 1 646 558 8656 US (New York)

+ 1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+ 1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

- 1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778

Passcode: 10793

The West Virginia Department of Transportation will, upon request, provide reasonable accommodations including auxiliary aids and services necessary to afford an in-dividual with a disability an equal opportunity to participate in our services, programs and activities. Please contact us at (304) 558-3931. Persons with hearing or speech impairments can reach all state agencies by calling (800) 928-8772 (voice to TDD) or (800) 982-8771

(TDD to voice), toll free.

Certificate of Publication

This is to certify the annexed advertisement

Public Zoom

appeared for <u>ove</u> consecutive days/weeks in The Journal Publishing Company, a newspaper published in the City of Martinsburg, WV in its issue beginning:

1 APN 2021

and ending:

The Journal 207 W. King Street Martinsburg. WV 25401

Fee \$ 90.30

THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA COUNTY OF BERKELEY

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged

before me this by

My commission expires Clas 292013

Notary Public

PAGE 3 Wednesday, February 17, 2021

Improvement

FROM PAGE 1

explained Matt Mullenax, executive director of the Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle Metropolitan Planning Organization, a regional transportation planning agency assisting with the Charles Town improvement project. "As a project, it has come up in plans and so now it's kind of moving to that next stage, which is design."

The project's study area extends about a half-mile along West Washington Street from the railroad tracks crossing Middleway Pike and Summit Point Road to West Street intersection right beside of CVS Pharmacy in the commercial downtown.

"It's actually a corridor study," Mullenax said of the project.

Highway officials recognize that the three-way intersection that feeds traffic from Middleway Pike and Summit Point Road onto West Washington Street is unusual and deserves more study, Mullenax said.

Traffic patterns and accident data at and through the intersection are being reviewed, he said. The design study will help determine whether better signage might be necessary at the intersection or whether a more ambitious solution such as a traffic circle might be appropriate or even feasible.

"A traffic circle may be an option," he said, "but this is kind of the first step in actually moving the project from kind of the planning level to something more concrete."

A HISTORICAL SITE

Mullenax said highway officials are well aware that Zion Baptist Church and the former Free Black School, both local African-American landmarks, stand on a triangle of land next to the intersection.

Enhancing safe pedestrian access to those sites will be looked at during the design study, he said. Project planners are also aware that Charles Town received a \$60,000 federal grant last year to help renovate the school building.

The school building was constructed in 1867-68 from bricks from a federal armory in Harpers Ferry that was destroyed during the Civil War. The school later became a store, commu-

the African-American community. "My hope is that we would be able to complement the work that's already been done and is underway right now," he said.

nity center and church social hall for

Meanwhile, improving sidewalks throughout the West Washington Street corridor, including walking access to nearby Evitts Run Park, will be a prominent project goal, Mullenax said. So will improving on-street parking and managing stormwater through the corridor.

State highway officials have hired a consulting company to prepare the design study for \$65,800, Mullenax said.

The consultant is gathering traffic data and talking with Charles Town officials, Mullenax said. County officials and a few West Washington Street residents also have or will be contacted to share needs and concerns, he said.

Public forums will be scheduled to gather more suggestions from the public and to review any proposed plans for the corridor, Mullenax said. An initial public forum to seek public comments could occur as soon as next month or in April. A second forum where some preliminary ideas of improvements will be discussed might occur as early as May.

As an extension of WV 51/Middleway Pike, West Washington Street through downtown Charles Town is a state road maintained by the West Virginia Department of Highways.

Nevertheless, Charles Town officials are slated to be a "full partner" in the design study and other steps the project will take, Mullenax said. The city could have a significant role in helping to improve sidewalks, possibly extending walkways into neighborhoods off West Washington Street, he said.

The city has offered a sidewalk improvement program with limited funding to help homeowners replace or repair sidewalks in front of their properties.

The Charles Town City Council was scheduled to receive a briefing on the project this past Tuesday night.

Mullenax said it's too soon to estimate when any project improvements might become a tangible reality. It's also too soon to determine how much the overall project might cost, he said.



Shown above is Meuse-Argonne American Cemetery and Memorial, Romaine-sous-Montfaucon, Lorraine, France, where Solomon Johnson is listed on the Tablets of the Missing.

Johnson. Born in Summit Point in 1894, he was the son of Barger and Nancy Robinson Johnson. In response to the Selective Service Act, Solomon Johnson registered in the United States Armed Services on June 5, 1917. He is described as short and slender

with brown eyes. His age is listed as 22 years. His marital status is earmarked as single. Private Johnson was summoned to active duty to serve in the United States Army to support World War I.

Private Johnson was dispatched to Camp Lee in Prince George County, VA, for his basic training. He was assigned to the 369th Infantry Regiment, 93rd Division. The 93rd Division was an all-Black combat Division. On March 14, 1918, he, along with his comrades, boarded the USS Pocahontas at Port Hoboken, NJ, the main point of embarkation for the American Expeditionary Forces headed to battle in Europe. Private Johnson, along with his comrades, disembarked in France.

The 369th Infantry was among the first forces to arrive in France to participate in trench warfare. They were assigned to the 16th and 161st Divisions of the French Army under French command and assigned French wartime gear, including weapons, helmets, belts and pouches, but wore American uniforms. The U.S. officials refused to integrate combat forces. The 369th participated in the occupation of Champagne (April 8-July



4), participated in the Champagne-Marne Operation (July15-18), occupied the Calvaire Subsector (July 23-August 19), occupied the Beausejour Subsector (Sept. 11-15), participated in the Meuse-Argonne Operation (Sept. 26 to Oct. 8) after which rehabilitation efforts began, and participated in the occupation of a Thur subsector, Alsace, (Oct. 17 –

Nov. 11). On December 12, 1918, the regiment was relieved from duty with the French Army. The 369th spent 191 days in combat, longer than any other American unit in World War I. The entire regiment was awarded the Croix de Guerre from France.

On October 2, 1918, Private Solomon Johnson was killed in battle in the Meuse-Argonne Offensive, the largest, deadliest offensive which led to ending World War I. His name is on the Tablets of the Missing at the Meuse-Argonne American Cemetery and Memorial, Romagne-sous-Montfaucon, Lorraine, France.

Sources: World War I Draft Registration Cards; West Virginia Division of Culture and History; U. S. Army WWI Transport Service, Passenger Lists; Order of Battle of the United States Land Forces in the World War, American Expeditionary Forces; The National Archives; World War I Dead, American Expeditionary Forces; and The American Battle Monuments Commission.

Written and submitted by the Marshall-Holley-Mason Auxiliary American Legion Unit 102

Set your sights on the future

Our Ranson, West Virginia manufacturing plant is hiring.

When you join ROCKWOOL, you get a competitive salary, paid time off, 401K matching up to 6%, plus benefits that include health, dental and vision coverage for you and your family. We're also committed to employee growth. That's why we offer professional development programs, and tuition reimbursement for job-related courses. It's well-paying work with real opportunity. Apply today to join our team.

CYAN MAGENTA YELLOW BLACK



Now hiring

Operations, engineering, maintenance, trades and supervisory positions.

rockwooljobs.com/Spirit







20 pages in an easy-to-read single section

95[¢] (+ tax) West Virginia's

oldest newspaper | SINCE 1844 |



Honoring Our own, Page 3



SOME OF WHAT'S INSIDE THIS WEEK

MUNICIPAL ELECTION UPDATE, Page 4 | SOLAR FARM SITED, Page 4 | PREP SPORTS SCHEDULES, Page 19

John Brown's final journey, Page 9



Horse racing, Page 20

CHARLES TOWN Improvement project on West Washington St. takes first steps

By TIM COOK Staff writer

CHARLES TOWN - The first steps toward putting ideas on paper to revamp and possibly reconfigure the western traffic entrance into downtown Charles Town are underway.

Adding a traffic circle to replace the threeway intersection at the western end of West

Washington Street is a major consideration for the state highway project. But improving overall traffic safety and flow in addition to parking and pedestrian accessibility are also goals of the design study kicking off for the two-lane West Washington Street corridor.

"This is probably at the very beginning stage,"

(See IMPROVEMENT Page 3)



Ideas are currently being put to paper for a traffic circle at the three-way intersection where West Washington Street ends in Charles Town. Improving overall traffic safety and flow, in addition to parking and pedestrian accessibility are goals of the design study kicking off for the two-lane West Washington Street corridor.



PANDEMIC UPDATE Is Jefferson County receiving its fair share of vaccines?

The Ranson Police Department recently hired five new police officers. From left are officers Tim Hood, Joshua Portner, Aaron Hutcherson, Arlyn Black and Ty Carroll.

An eye on service

Ranson's newest police officers focus on people

By TIM COOK Staff writer

ANSON – Five new officers have joined the 17-member Ranson Police Department. The police force recruits include two military combat veterans, two former businessmen and a recent university graduate.

Each new officer said he was drawn to the community policing model that Ranson follows to protect and serve the city's more than 5,000 residents. Three have graduated from the West Virginia Police Academy in Charleston, and two are at the academy's intensive training now.

Each new officer said he is looking

forward to getting to know more and more people in the community they only recently began to serve.

PATROLMAN HOOD

Officer Tim Hood, 35, went into the Marine Corps right out of high school. Moving from military service and a policing career was a natural transition, he said.

"For me, serving your country, serving your people, was something that I thought was important and really enjoyed doing," he explained. "So after getting out [of the military] law enforcement was sort of a similar set in a way for me to keep serving the community.' Hood previously worked seven combined years as a police officer for the Virginia communities of Purcellville and Haymarket. He said he made the career jump to Ranson's police force to be closer to home in Jefferson County. He learned the city's police force had a "shining and very good" reputation, he said, for community policing-style law enforcement.

"It sounded like the same type of law enforcement style that I enjoy doing," he said.

Hood said he enjoys police work "for the gratification that you get for helping your fellow man.

"I've learned that I have the heart of a servant, so being in public service and

(See SERVICE Page 18)

By TIM COOK Staff writer

CHARLES TOWN - Gov. Jim Justice has been widely touting West Virginia's ability to efficiently distribute coronavirus vaccines as a praiseworthy feat and a national model to emulate.

As of Tuesday, statewide 252,098 doses of vaccine have been dispensed, about 14 percent of the state's population. That statistic included 144,250 for people who received a second booster shot to receive the fullest protection available against the virus.

(See VACCINES Page 6)

EMERGENCY SERVICES Blue Ridge Fire Company operations under review

By TIM COOK Staff writer

HARPERS FERRY - West Virginia State Fire Marshal officials and Jefferson County fire and rescue leaders are conducting separate safety and operational reviews of the Blue Ridge Mountain Volunteer Fire Company.

"I will tell you that review is underway by multiple agencies within the state of West Virginia," said Allen Keyser, director of the Jefferson County Emergency Services Agency (JCESA), the agency employing about six dozen full- and part-time county emergency rescue workers.

(See REVIEW Page 15)



Honored for General Excellence by the West Virginia Press Association every year since 2012

WVUMedicine WVUIVIEGICINE SHEPHERDSTOWN Medical Office Building

60 Maclaine Way, Off of Rt. 45 West

Primary Care • Specialty Care • Pediatrics • Behavioral Health • Lab Services* • X-Ray* Coming Soon 'Departments of Berkeley Medical Center

Provider appointments may be scheduled online through:

- MyWVUChart.com
- wvumedicine.org
- or, call **304.876.6343.**



GYAN



BLACK

WV-51 Feasibility Study VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

April 8th, 2021 6:00-8:00 PM * Formal presentations at 6:00 and 7:00 PM

MLK JR BLVD

PHONE +1 301 715 8592 US

ZOOM https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89584430778?pwd=UGIQdTVMNHBEazN0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

* Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 | Passcode: 107938

WEBSITE https://arcg.is/W58Dq

WV-51 Feasibility Study
VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

April 8th, 2021 6:00-8:00 PM * Formal presentations at 6:00 and 7:00 PM

MLK JR BLVD PHONE +1 301 715 8592 US

ZOOM

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89584430778?pwd=UGIQdTVMNHBEazN0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

* Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 | Passcode: 107938

WEBSITE https://arcg.is/W58Dq

WV-51 Feasibility Study VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

April 8th, 2021 6:00-8:00 PM * Formal presentations at 6:00 and 7:00 PM

MLK JR BLVD

PHONE +1 301 715 8592 US

ZOOM https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89584430778?pwd=UGIQdTVMNHBEazN0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

* Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 | Passcode: 107938

WEBSITE https://arcg.is/W58Dq

WV-51 Feasibility Study VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

April 8th, 2021 6:00-8:00 PM * Formal presentations at 6:00 and 7:00 PM

MLK JR BLVD PHONE +1 301 715 8592 US

ZOOM https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89584430778?pwd=UGIQdTVMNHBEazN0T0ITaDJzVkdTQT09

* Meeting ID: 895 8443 0778 | Passcode: 107938

Google Earth

WEBSITE https://arcg.is/W58Dq NEWS

PAGE 11 Wednesday, April 14, 2021

MARC TRAIN Blair takes credit for ending commuter service funding

By TIM COOK

Staff writer

CHARLES TOWN - West Virginia's lawmakers passed a \$4.5 billion general fund budget on Saturday to set another year of state government spending starting July 1. And, as previously reported, the budget doesn't include \$3.5 million for the MARC train service in Jefferson and Berkeley counties.

During Senate floor remarks on Saturday before the state budget was adopted, Senate President Craig Blair, R-Berkeley, acknowledged that he personally nixed the MARC funding.

With MARC commuter trains operating virtually empty during the coronavirus pandemic, Blair said giving the state of Maryland money to subsidize three station stops in Jefferson and Berkeley counties was not fiscally practical or responsible.

"I'm actually the guy who's been the architect of getting the budget out in the 60-day session for the last three years," Blair told his Senate colleagues during the final hours session.

Noting that he represents Berkeley County, where the MARC Brunswick Line stops start and ends in Martinsburg, Blair underscored how his personal hand in stopping MARC funding bucked typical political constituency pleas that lawmakers make.

"Isn't that odd that the Senate president is saying take something out of the budget for his area," he said, "when most of the time, you hear people barking, "I need this, I need that for my district. I got to have it. I got to have it."

Public officials from Berkeley County have emphasized the need to continue MARC service to Martinsburg to support the city's and county's economic develop-

I want to help anyway



The MARC train leaves the Harpers Ferry station in the morning.

Blair said he recently obtained MARC ridership figures from West Virginia Transportation Secretary Byrd White that showed few commuters have been using the train service from the stations in Martinsburg, Duffields and Harpers Ferry during the pandemic.

Many commuters in Jefferson County drive to a station in Brunswick, Maryland, where fares are less expensive and more parking is available.

Spending \$3.4 million annually for a service that few people use was not fiscally appropriate, the senator indicated.

Officials with the Maryland Transit Administration, the agency that operates the MARC, recently released ridership figures showing that 21 people a day on average used the service from West Virginia from last April

In 2019, before the coronavirus pandemic, about 255 people rode the MARC daily from the three West Virginia stations.

Even then, some public officials in Jefferson County openly questioned the financial justification for spending \$3.4 million or about \$13,300 annually per average passenger based on prepandemic ridership numbers-to continue operating the MARC in West Virginia.

Delegate John Doyle, a Democrat representing Jefferson County, has been outspoken in support of providing state or federal funding to continue MARC in West Virginia.

Doyle said stopping the MARC now would be shortsighted, especially since he expects the 27,000 highly paid employees coming to Amazon's new headquarters in

greater housing demand throughout the Washington metro area that will only push more people to the Eastern Panhandle to live.

"That's my most serious concern," he said, "is the potential that we would be throwing away for about four, five or six years from now [the potential for population growth] if we don't keep the trains running."

Meanwhile, to draw more commuters onto the MARC, Doyle advocates eventually extending the train service to Berkeley Springs and Hancock, Maryland and West Virginia. "And Maryland should pay for at least part of that-because it would be serving part of Maryland," he said.

Doyle contends that MARC might not be necessary for future growth in the Panhandle if a fourto six-lane highway were avail-

into the Washington metro area. But such highway infrastructure isn't coming to the Panhandle anytime soon, he said.

Doyle said he was certain that a \$2 trillion "infrastructure" legislation proposed by President Biden would pay for various commuter rail services across the country, including the MARC. "Commuter rail around the country is not supported by fares only," but primarily through state and federal funding, he said. "Particularly the Brunswick Line has a much higher than average percentage of its operations funded by fares from the riders."

In 2018, Maryland threatened to cut back or terminate the MARC in Jefferson and Berkeley if West Virginia failed to provide at least \$3.4 million annually to defray the service's operational costs. Afterward, West Virginia transportation officials quietly arranged a five-year funding agreement with Maryland to continue three weekday round trips from Martinsburg to Union Station in Washington, D.C.

But then back in February, White informed county and municipal officials that West Virginia lawmakers had decided to no longer fund the MARC service after June 30. No mention was made of Blair's influence.

Meanwhile, Maryland's transportation officials have not indicated what this might hold for MARC's future in West Virginia.

Brittany Marshall, an MTA spokesperson, has reported that any change in MARC service in West Virginia wouldn't be made until an "equity analysis" of the service's operations funding is completed. The agency would hold a public hearing and gather public comments before implementing any proposed service

changes, she stated.

Independent Fire Company

Support/Admin olunteers are needed to join our team!!!!



I don't want to be a Fire Fighter or EMT!! I would love to volunteer!



EXAMPLE: FUNDRAISER SUPPORT (BINGO, RAFFLES), ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES, ETC,



IFC response is.... YES, WE NEED **PEOPLE LIKE YOU!!** There are a number of administrative/ support responsibilities that need to be accomplished aside from operational (Fire Fighting and EMS) duties.

For additional information or to apply, contact one of the following IFC members: Tara Hough 304-725-2514 THough@IFCWV.org Dave Swan 304-676-8111 DSwan@IFCWV.org George Ware 304-582-1454

Public comments welcomed for improvements to WV 51

FROM STAFF REPORTS

CHARLES TOWN - State highway officials are gathering public ideas and insights until May 8 on how to improve traffic safety and flow along a half-mile section of West Virginia 51, a major traffic artery through Charles Town.

A major focus of the West Virginia 51 roadway project would be to improve the intersection of West Washington Street with Martin Luther King Boulevard.

One of three draft alternative plans calls for possibly adding a traffic circle at the intersection. Another alternative would create two new intersections to divert traffic on Summit Point Road away from the current crossroads of West Washington and Martin Luther King.

In addition to enhancing other intersections, other improvements envisioned include new sidewalks, parking spaces, pedestrian crossings and a bike lane.

Traffic calming "solutions," street lighting and stormwater management changes are also proposed.

The project's study area extends about a half-mile along West Washington Street from the railroad tracks crossing Middleway Pike and Summit Point Road to the West Street intersection in the commercial downtown.

Information and illustrations on the ideas that state highway officials have drafted are posted online at https://arcg.is/W58Dq. Where to send written ideas and suggestions are included on the web page.

ERA Liberty Realty earns Silver Award from Realogy Leads Group FROM SUBMITTED REPORTS

CHARLES TOWN – Today ERA Liberty Realty was announced as one of the select winners of the Silver Award for outstanding performance, which will be presented by Realogy Leads Group at the annual Realogy Advantage Network conference, held virtually this year on March 23-24, 2021.

Realogy Leads Group is a dedicated organization within Realogy Holdings Corp, the largest full-service residential real estate services company in the United States, focused on delivering high-quality, high-converting leads to its affiliated brokers and agents in the Realogy Advantage Network, of which ERA Liberty Realty is a member.

The Silver Award is one of three Excellence Awards presented to premier brokers. Excellence Award recognition is based on perfor-

mance results related to a wide variety of metrics, including customer service, cost management, and effective analysis and marketing of homes.

"ERA Liberty Realty has delivered superior performance over the past year, and we are thrilled to recognize their team for all their accomplishments to exceed their goals." said Robert Way, Senior Vice President of Realogy Leads Group. "Thanks to their hard work and success, they are able to provide trusted advice and important support to our clients and their customers."

"It is an honor to receive the Silver Award, which celebrates our team's achievements over the past year, which was unlike any other. I am proud of all we have accomplished together and want to thank Realogy Leads Group for the recognition," said broker John Kilroy.

CYAN BLACK

WV51 Feasibility Study - Stakeholders

First Name	Last Name	Organization
Sean	Boyle	AutoServ
Jennie	, Brockman	Jefferson Co. Planning Department
Ken	Clohan	WVDOT - District 5
Henry	Davenport	Property Owner
Rashedul	Deepon	Charles Town
Donna	Hardy	WVDOT - Safety
Chet	Hines	Charles Town Councilmember (Ward 1)
Jim	Kratovil	Charles Town Councilmember (Ward 1)
Chris	Kutcher	Charles Town Police
Kevin	McDonald	WVDOT - District 5
Don	Meadows	WVDOT - Traffic
Ray	Patrick	WVDOT - ADA
Matthew	Pennington	Region 9 Planning and Development Council
Julie	Philabaum	Charles Town Parks and Rec
Travis	Ray	WVDOT - District 5
Julia	Rice	Property Owner
Timothy	Robinson	Zion Baptist Church
Matt	Skiles	WVDOT - Traffic
Heather	Sprenger	Charles Town Planning Commission/ Councilmember (Ward 2)
Kristen	Stolipher	Charles Town Utilities
Bob	Trainor	Charles Town Mayor
Daphne	Wahl	Charles Town Now
Jeff	Whitaker	Charles Town Historic Landmarks Commission
Larry	Willingham	Jefferson County Schools - Transportation Department
Todd	Wilt	Charles Town Public Works
Nick	Zaglifa	Charles Town Parks and Rec

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET							
Project:	WV 51 – Feasibility Study Stakeholder Meeting	Meeting Date:	3/4/2021, 9:00 am				
Facilitator:	WVDOH/Carpenter Marty/HEPMPO	Place/Room:	Zoom - Virtual				

Name	Company			
Presentation Beginning at 6:00) pm			
Patrick Park	Carpenter Marty			
Gina Balsamo	Carpenter Marty			
Dustin Gohs	Carpenter Marty			
Ali Sadeghian	Carpenter Marty			
Brian Carr	WVDOH			
Daryl Hennessy	City of Charles Town			
Matt Mullenax	НЕРМРО			
Daphne Wahl				
Mayor Robert M. Trainor	City of Charles Town			
Timothy Robinson				
Chris Kutcher				
Jim Kratovil				
Don Meadows				

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET							
Project:	WV 51 – Feasibility Study Public Meeting	Meeting Date:	4/8/2021				
Facilitator:	WVDOH/Carpenter Marty/HEPMPO	Place/Room:	Zoom - Virtual				

Name	Company	
Presentation Beginning at 6:00 pm		
Patrick Park	Carpenter Marty	
Gina Balsamo	Carpenter Marty	
Dustin Gohs	Carpenter Marty	
Ali Sadeghian	Carpenter Marty	
Brian Carr	WVDOH	
Daryl Hennessy	City of Charles Town	
Matt Mullenax	НЕРМРО	
Daphne Wahl		
Dow Meadows		
Elizabeth Ricketts		
Ken Clohan		
Kevin Tester		
Michael Tolbert		
Michael George		
Perry Su	WVDOH	
Mayor Robert M. Trainor	City of Charles Town	
Seth Rivard		
Todd Coyle		

MEETING	SIGN-IN SHEET		
Project:	WV 51 – Feasibility Study Public Meeting	Meeting Date:	4/8/2021
Facilitator:	WVDOH/Carpenter Marty/HEPMPO	Place/Room:	Zoom - Virtual

Name	Company
Jean Petti	
Julia Rice	
Jennie Brockman	
Timothy Robinson	
KFrench	
Todd	
Duke4	
Henry	
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remo) pm oved for brevity)
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remo Name) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remo Name Jeff Hynes) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remo Name Jeff Hynes Rikki Twyford) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remoNameJeff HynesRikki TwyfordTimothy Robinson) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remoted by the second secon) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remoNameJeff HynesRikki TwyfordTimothy RobinsonChet HinesVirginie Bauer) pm oved for brevity) Company
Presentation Beginning at 7:00 (duplicates from 6:00 PM remoNameJeff HynesRikki TwyfordTimothy RobinsonChet HinesVirginie BauerKratovil) pm oved for brevity) Company

Welcome to our Virtual Public Meeting

- Getting Started
 - The presentation will start soon
 - You may not hear sound until the meeting begins
- Submitting Questions/Comments
 - Click on the "Chat"
 - Type your question/comment in the chat box
 - Send your comment
 - Questions and comments will be addressed at the end of the presentation
- We will be with you soon!











Virtual Public Meeting Format

- PowerPoint presentation at 6:00 PM and 7:00 PM
 - (same presentation at both times)
- Questions can be sent to the presenters using the chat box during the presentations. This can be used throughout the meeting.
- Questions and comments will be read and addressed at the end of the presentation
- The meeting will be recorded and available on the project website for viewing after the meeting
 - https://arcg.is/W58Dq











Virtual Public Meeting Format

- This meeting will be recorded, and all comments are public record
- Please keep comments/concerns relevant to this project and as specific as possible
- When submitting comments/concerns, please keep them respectful. Comments using demeaning, derogatory, or inflammatory language will not be responded to.
- The moderator will receive public comments/concerns in real time as they are submitted and read them aloud during the question-and-answer period at the end of the presentation
- There will be instances in which we will respond to questions in writing following the meeting if there is not adequate time to answer or if information must be gathered to respond.











Comments

CARPENTER

MARTY transportation

- You may comment online
 - <u>http://www.cmtran.com/wv51</u>
 - https://arcg.is/W58Dq
- You may comment in writing (via email or USPS) or phone call
 - Email: Matt Mullenax at mmullenax@hepmpo.net
 - Phone: Matt Mullenax at (240) 313-2081
 - USPS mail (postmarked by May 8, 2021):

Mr. Elwood Penn, IV, PE Director, Planning Division West Virginia Division of Highways Building 5, Room 740 1900 Kanawha Boulevard East Charleston, WV, 25305

• The comment period ends May 8, 2021







CARPE

MARTY transportation

Team Overview



Brian Carr, PE

West Virginia Division of Highways (WVDOH) will be leading and managing the project

Matt Mullenax, GISP

Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle Metropolitan Planning Organization (HEPMPO)



Robert M. Trainor, Mayor City of Charles Town



Gina Balsamo, PE

Engineering and Traffic Analysis Consultant working on the feasibility study



CARPENTER MARTY transportation

WV 51 Feasibility Study Public Meeting 4.8.2021

Page D23 of 72
Project Area Overview





Project Scope Overview





CM is determining the feasibility of:

- Installation of a roundabout, traffic signal, or other alternative at WV-51 & CR-13 intersection
- Vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle safety and mobility improvements
- Sidewalk installation
- ADA accessibility of sidewalks and curb ramps
- Bicycle lane
- Stormwater management
- Midblock crossing at Evitts Run Park
- Lighting
- Landscaping
- Traffic calming solutions
- Existing on-street parking
- Utility relocation
- Right-of-way impacts Page D25 of 72















Summary of Crash Information

- Crash data from 2015-2019 was obtained
- 45 crashes in the study area
- 17 injuries total
- Primary crash types include rear end, sideswipepassing, angle, and fixed object
- One bicycle crash occurred west of Evitts Run Park
- One pedestrian crash occurred east of West Street
- Crash rate on the roadway segment is 2.6x higher than the statewide average based on the segment length and daily traffic volumes

Summary of Crash Information



Summary Traffic Count Data

AM Peak (7:30-8:30)



PM Peak (4:45-5:45)



Collected June 12, 2019



Signal Warrant Analysis

- Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3: Peak Hour



Summary of Alternatives Being Investigated

- Alternatives 1-3
- Places where intersections are shown, different options of stop-control, roundabout, or signal can be considered
- Some recommendations are common with all
- Some other high-level recommendations will be made, such as railroad crossing treatments, lighting, utilities underground, curb ramps, drainage
- These are preliminary
- We want your feedback!

































































Schedule

- February Field Review
- March Stakeholder Meeting
- 4/8/21 Public Meeting
- 4/26/21 Submit Draft Report
- ~5/3/21 Stakeholder Meeting & Report Comments
- 5/8/21 Public Comment Period Ends
- 5/17/21 Submit Final Report



Comments

CARPENTER

MARTY transportation

- You may comment online
 - <u>http://www.cmtran.com/wv51</u>
 - https://arcg.is/W58Dq
- You may comment in writing (via email or USPS) or phone call
 - Email: Matt Mullenax at mmullenax@hepmpo.net
 - Phone: Matt Mullenax at (240) 313-2081
 - USPS mail (postmarked by May 8, 2021):

Mr. Elwood Penn, IV, PE Director, Planning Division West Virginia Division of Highways Building 5, Room 740 1900 Kanawha Boulevard East Charleston, WV, 25305

The comment period ends May 8, 2021









Questions?

- Submit your questions/comments now!
 - Click on the "Chat"
 - Type your question/comment in the chat box
 - Send your comment
- We will start addressing your questions/comments now



Date Received	Format	Sender	Comment
4/8/2021	Email	Jean Petti	First, thank you so much for the careful consideration of options to enhance safety and efficiency at our western gateway. As a councilmember, I have heard concerns from residents regularly about the difficulty parking or walking along MLK Blvd. I support Option 1 (the roundabout) as it seems to best serve to slow the traffic coming into town. Parking and sidewalks are also public needs along that corridor. Bike lanes are less of a priority, in my opinion, as WV-51 is moderately dangerous and we may not want to encourage folks to mingle with traffic on that road.
			Thank you for including us,
			Jean Mr. Mullenax;
4/10/2021	[mail		Presentation was very informative and the only comment that I have at the moment (storm water treatment not defined yet) is in regards to the roundabout. I feel that if the roundabout is not sufficiently large enough then then there will be many accidents there due to the relative closeness of the entrance points of the roundabout. I feel that if the will not show sufficient caution entering the roundabout to allow time enough to determine what other people's intentions are. Most people don't use turn signals properly in roundabouts anyway so accidents are going to happen.
4/10/2021	Email	Odbert C Courtney	Since I live at the base of the hills, across from Evitts Run park I am very much interested in what the storm water treatment changes will be. This area floods easily under heavy rain.
			Thanks for letting us know about the zoom meeting, very much appreciagted.
			Odbert C Courtney
4/11/2021	Email	Hines Ward 1 Councilman	Hey Matt, Darryl said to send you a note if I have a comment about the purposed change to the intersection. One of the things with your maps was the new road connecting 51 to Summit point. The problem the citizens would have living on the Church side on Summit road is they would have to go out 51 turn on the new road then come back towards. Charles Town to get to their homes. Then if they wanted to come to CT they would have to go towards Summit Point turn on the new cross road to 51 to get back into CT. To me that is not helpful to those residents and I'm sure they would be very unhappy with that change. But looking at the oneway on MLK map seemed like a good idea. Thanks Chet
			Gentlemen, I am sending this to you because your names and email addresses are at the back of this slide deck.
			I've searched your website every day since your presentation. I've found no concise description of the problem, the proposed solution or the decision-making process. Does Marty Carpenter Transportation's contract not include enough funding to provide a written document. Even the City's \$70-plus million-dollar boondoggle, the "West End Revitalization Plan" of several years ago included 50 pages of sentences.
			In a few minutes I am heading to the first of what are likely to be several neighborhood meetings on these proposals. This leaves us to discuss the major highway problem only using a jumble of pretty pictures on numerous slides. Does the State now accept PowerPoint slides when deciding to fund millions of dollars of roadwork? Many of the people who will attend were not lucky enough to watch the meeting as they really found it difficult to understand what was the little, non-descript cards that were passed out announcing the public meeting in mailboxes so very close to the day of the meeting.
4/42/2024	E-mail	Michael Tolbert	These proposals are likely to increase the amount and flow of traffic through the rest of one neighborhood, while dropping more traffic straight onto the main street of the City because it will alter/spur the growth/development scheme of the southern part of the County, along two of the County's most dangerous roads. Did anyone in you group read the County's Strategic Plan?
4/12/2021			A written document is also needed for law enforcement and other emergency service personal since these three proposals will significantly alter the movement of their vehicles, that constantly fly out these two roads at all hours. Your study totally ignored two very active two railroad track intersections that would be located less than two blocks away from your proposed circle. Heaven help us if these vehicles get stuck into your new traffic pattens and can't free themselves. Did anyone ask these folks, their opinion, as those two roads are the only way to access large parts of southern Jefferson County.
			Since you did not study the impact of the road clogging train intersections, your analysis uses a faulty premise that many of the reported accidents were caused by the Y intersection, instead of occurring at the Y intersection because the cars had to abruptly stops due to long trains passing through or stopping on the railroad tracks, which they often do, many times a day. This is just one of the many faulty premises that you came up with since your scope of study was so unfathomably narrow to effictive five long blocks.
			Just two weeks ago (I have pictures) one train actually decoupled at the intersection. Half the train blocked one intersection while the other half blocked the other intersection. It took a while for the railroad folks to connect that train back together. Subsequent trains for several days ran much slower, causing longer traffic backups, as railroad crews inspected and tested the tracks.
			So, unless you do not wish to receive true public opinion since your project has already been wired with one of the proposed solutions and you simply needed to satisfy the public meeting requirements, please be professional, respectful and transparent. Post a document on your website that starts with a capitol letter and ends in periods and describes, maybe with a few organized drawings, the three option that you are proposing.
4/14/2021	E-mail	Michael Tolbert	This is why I had reservations concerning being on this workgroup. You folks don't swing out wide enough when didvyour scope and now you a majority of the community has no clue this thing is in the works as there is no summary. The press has no summary to write a story to inform folks of how this may generate more traffic through a middle of the city that has spent so much treasure attempting to be walkable. I'm sorry but the video snippet just don't say transparency for such a massive project. You have walked into a city and about to alter the inside of a existing city with these proposal s and nobody knows about it. That is how Rockwool began and the City's East Liberty Street development revolt began. Can't anyone just write a page-long official summary?

Comments and Questions Received in Chat Box Durning Public Meeting - Held 4/8/2021

From Daphne Wahl to Me: (Privately) 06:29 PM

Q1. Will there be enough room for large trucks heading east to be able to make the right hand turn onto West street with out going into the oncoming lane like they do now.

2. How will the people that live on summit point road after the rail road track by the church get access?

Under example 2 (question above as well) will there be a new entrance for the church?

From Julia Rice to Me: (Privately) 06:32 PM

If private property is included in the changes how is this handled? Would that fall under eminent (sp?) domain and is their compensation?

From Duke4 to Me: (Privately) 06:32 PM

Are there details available on the storm water management aspect of the project?

From Julia Rice to Me: (Privately) 06:32 PM

Both our property and the Davenport property fall in this category.

From Jean Petti to Me: (Privately) 06:32 PM

Are there plans to add bike lanes on 51? If so, that raises them in importance to include. If not, parking may be more valuable to our citizens

From Julia Rice to Me: (Privately) 06:33 PM

typing too fast - would there be compensation for private property used for the changes.

From Duke4 to Me: (Privately) 06:35 PM

Will any existing homes be directly affected by any of the three options? If so, which ones.

From Jean Petti to Me: (Privately) 06:37 PM

Does one Option have an advantage over the others in respect to slowing traffic as it enters town?

From Duke4 to Me: (Privately) 06:43 PM

Thank you!

From Daphne Wahl to Me: (Privately) 06:43 PM

How will you ultimately decide the alternative that will be used

From Duke4 to Me: (Privately) 06:44 PM

Does the project team currently favor one of these alternatives over the others?

From Daphne Wahl to Me: (Privately) 06:46 PM

Is there a concern that with #2 option that you will have a lot of people having to turn around and causing problems since the road has to stay open for the local people to get home on that short length

From Timothy Robinson to Everyone: 07:32 PM

Is there a study done on the train? How often does is run and what are times?

From Jeff Hynes to Me: (Privately) 07:33 PM

I am curious how the various options will manage the large truck traffic that comes through town from this direction? Would the various new turns or the single lane circle accommodate those large vehicles or are there other plans to account for those? sorry. meant to send that to everyone

thank you What will happen to traffic while this Is being built? so to keep asking you but oi do not seem to have the option to message everyone Thank you Will cub cuts be made for private driveways or just public streets?

curb cuts

From Timothy Robinson to Me: (Privately) 07:39 PM

If Project 2 or 3 are picked they will affect the traffic to the church and schoolhouse, what would be a fix?

From Rikki Twyford to Me: (Privately) 07:41 PM

Will there be a citizen outreach effort to poll at least the residents nearby regarding preference of parking vs bike lane and alternatives in general?

From Michael Tolbert to Me: (Privately) 07:42 PM

In the interest or transparency, where will these slides be posted? Where will the written description of the three options posted?

From Jeff Hynes to Me: (Privately) 07:44 PM

i saw mentioned underground utilities and storm water improvements. Can you expand on that. Will existing above ground lines be put below ground? In terms of storm water, would the installed system be set-up to allow side streets to tap in later if the City decides to build improvements?

From Timothy Robinson to Me: (Privately) 07:51 PM

What is the state mandate for the properties alone this route?

From Jeff Hynes to Me: (Privately) 07:58 PM

Patrick mentioned that costs have not considered yet. Are we blind at the moment in regards of cost or do we have any idea of the costs of the various options. What portion of any of this project would be born by the City?

Also, roughly how far away would we be from breaking ground?

thank you

From Michael Tolbert to Me: (Privately) 07:59 PM

For option 2 and 3 how far out in feet past the railroad track travelling west toward Tuscawilla Hills will this new road be built?

UMI	MARY \rightarrow design survey \rightarrow preview & score	LLECT RESPONSES \rightarrow ANALYZE RESULTS \rightarrow PRESENT RESULTS
,	RULES	RESPONDENTS: 3 of 3
,	+ FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW	OUESTION SUMMARIES INSIGHTS AND DATA TRENDS INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES
	No rules applied	
	Rules allow you to FILTER, COMPARE and SHOW results to see trends and patterns.	Respondent #3 🕶
	Learn more »	Economication
		Started: Thursday April 08 20211-29-10 PM
		Last Modified: Thursday, April 08, 2021 1:39:46 PM
		Time Spent: 00:10:35
		Page 1
		Q1
		What are the top three issues with vehicular transportation in the project area?
		Traffic congestion. Pedestrian safety. Needs bike lane/path.
		Q2
		What are the top three issues with pedestrian/bicycle transportation in the project area?
		Uneven sidewalks. Crossing areas. Limited lighting/visibility
		Q3
		What is the primary issue with the west end of the project area?
		sidewalks uneven but worry about impact on private properties and historic church
		Q4
		What is the primary issue with the WV-51 & Summit Point Road intersection?
		people not understanding the right away signage - no pedestrian safety- no bike rider safety (+
		05

SurveyMonkey Analyze - WV 51 - Feasibility Study

What is the primary issue with the east end of the project area?

This area is alright except for the traffic congestion at the West Street stoplight

Q6

What improvements do you believe will improve vehicular travel in the project area?

Adjust traffic light timing.

Q7

What improvements do you believe will improve pedestrian/bicycle travel in the project area?

Bike lane or parallel path. Clearer pedestrian walkways. Even sidewalks

Q8

Please provide additional comments/concerns with the study area.

Improvements are needed but I hope the construction does not negatively impact the historic sites at Harewood School House or th Church which. I urge Carpenter Marty to consult with the local community. Thank you!

ENGLISH

<

About SurveyMonkey • Careers • Developers • Privacy Notice • California Privacy Notice • Email Opt-In • Help • Cookies Notice • Cookies Preferences Copyright © 1999-2021 SurveyMonkey


SPONDENTS: 1 of 1				SA
	QUESTION SUMMARIES	INSIGHTS AND DATA TRENDS	INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES	
COMPLETE			Edit	Delete
Started:	Friday, March 05, 20	21 1:14:24 PM		
Last Modified:	Friday, March 05, 20	21 1:17:49 PM		
Time Spent:	00:03:25			
age 1: Stakeholder S	urvey			
Q1				
What is your name?				
James T. Kratovil				
Q2				
What are the top th	ree issues with vehicular tr	ansportation in the project area?		
sight lines, congestion	n, rear end collisions.			
Q3				
What are the top th	ree issues with pedestrian,	bicycle transportation in the proje	ect area?	
Respondent skipped tl	his question			
Q4				
What is the primary	issue with the west end of	the project area?		
same				

Q3 What are the top three issues with pedes

RESPONDENTS: 1 of 1

Page 1: Stakeholder Survey

Q4

same

Q5

What is the primary issue with the WV-51 & Summit Point Road intersection?

uncertainty, sight lines

Q6

What is the primary issue with the east end of the project area?

traffic flow

Q7

What improvements do you believe will improve vehicular travel in the project area?

roundabout west of railroad tracks

Q8

What improvements do you believe will improve pedestrian/bicycle travel in the project area?

same

Q9

Please provide additional comments/concerns with the study area.

Thanks for the meeting. I thought it was particularly valuable.

							UPGRADE	CREATE SURVE	,	gbalsamo
W١	/ 51 - West Washington Stree	Feasibility Study							'iew Only 💌	\$ 0
SUM	MARY \rightarrow design survey \rightarrow preview & score	ightarrow collect responses $ ightarrow$ analyze results	$S \rightarrow PRESENT RESULTS$							
γ	RULES		RESPONDENTS: 2 of 2							SAVE AS
0	+ FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW									
\checkmark	No rules applied			QUESTION SUMI	MARIES IN	SIGHTS AND DATA TREN		IDIVIDUAL RESPONS		
õ	Rules allow you to FILTER , COMPARE and SHOW results to see trends and patterns.		Respondent #2 💌		Þ					
æ	Learn more »								Edit Del	ete Export
			Started: Last Modified: Time Spent:	Wednesday Wednesday 00:10:28	/, March 24, 202 /, March 24, 202	21 4:51:33 PM 21 5:02:01 PM				
			Page 1: Stakeholder Sur	rvey						
			Q1 What is your name?							
			Chet Hines Q2 What are the top thre	ee issues with ve	ehicular transpo	rtation in the project	area?			
			Amount of 51 traffic. Res	idence parking alo	ong MLK					
			Q3 What are the top thre	ee issues with pe	edestrian/bicyc	e transportation in th	le project area	?		
			Width of roads. Short len	ngth of purposed b	bike trail. Small in	ersection.				
			Q4 What is the primary is	ssue with the w	vest end of the p	roject area?				
			Parking , railroad, side ro	oad access.						
			Q5							
			What is the primary is	ssue with the W	/V-51 & Summit	Point Road intersectio	on?			^
,										

 $\overline{\gamma}$

۲

 \checkmark

ଚ

ಜೆ

Q6 Page D61 of 72

What is the primary issue with the east end of the project area?
Unknown
Q7
What improvements do you believe will improve vehicular travel in the project area?
51 access to bypass.
Q8
What improvements do you believe will improve pedestrian/bicycle travel in the project area?
?
Q9
Please provide additional comments/concerns with the study area.
Done

ENGLISH

About SurveyMonkey • Careers • Developers • Privacy Notice • California Privacy Notice • Email Opt-In • Help • Cookies Notice • Cookies Preferences Copyright © 1999-2021 SurveyMonkey



MATT WARD

502 SOUTH SAMUEL STREET CHARLES TOWN, WV 25414 MATT.WARD@STRATEGIESDC.com / 202.422.2411

May 8, 2021

The Honorable Robert Trainor	Director Elwood Penn, P.E.
Mayor of Charles Town	Planning Division
	West Virginia Division of Highways
Daryl Hennessy	
City Manager of Charles Town	Brian Carr, P.E.
	Regional Planning Unit Leader
Matt Mullenax., GISP	Planning Division
Executive Director	West Virginia Division of Highways
Hagerstown/Eastern Panhandle MPO	
	Gina Balsamo, P.E.
	Carpenter Marty Transportation

Re: Comments on Charles Town, WV 51 Improvement Project

Dear City of Charles Town, WV Division of Highways, and HEPMPO Leadership:

I write to provide comments on the WV 51 Improvement Project being considered in Charles Town. I write as a citizen of Charles Town, and based on my personal involvement in the creation of the Charles Town West End Revitalization Plan, my role in supporting the restoration of the Free Black School historic structure on Route 51, and as someone who served 10 years on the Charles Town City Council and the Charles Town Planning Commission.

THIS IS A WELCOMED INVESTMENT IN NEIGHBORHOOD CONNECTIVITY, SAFETY AND LIVABILITY

First, an overall point to local, regional and state leaders: Thank you very much for investing time, resources and effort into this Route 51 project. We welcome this investment. Charles Town's west end has been lacking public investment for decades; the street and sidewalk infrastructure is highly deteriorated and inadequate to ensure safety and livability; and the "Y Intersection" of Route 51, Summit Point Road and West Washington Street (which some call "Malfunction Junction") is ineffective in moving traffic, mitigating crashes, or promoting safe walking or biking in this neighborhood.

WV DOT, HEPMPO and Charles Town are now considering how to improve West Washington Street from downtown through the Y Intersection and along Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard (Route 51) and Summit Point Road to the west end of Charles Town. All of the alternatives being considered include (and should include) sidewalk improvements, streetscaping, improved crosswalks and intersections, improved on-street parking, bike lanes, undergrounding of overhead utilities, installation of stormwater management infrastructure, and preservation of important historic structures such as Zion Baptist Church, the Free Black School, and neighborhood homes.

Note too that the West End Revitalization Plan sought to create a continuous pedestrian/bicycle path along Evitts Run Creek, stretching from the Happy Retreat community center, through Perry Fields behind the Hilldale Shopping Center, across W. Washington Street to Evitts Run Park, and up through the Skate Park, Boys & Girls Club, and Ranson Civic Center. A key to this vision for a community pedestrian/walking path is the crossing across W. Washington Street between Perry Fields and Evitts Run Park. Thus, this feasibility study's idea of a mid-block crossing on West Washington Street at S. Water Street, is an excellent idea for connectivity, and I strongly encourage you to pursue this mid-block crossing idea.

I imagine at this stage of planning, you have not yet considered the streetscape and street furniture that may be involved in project implementation, and so I would note that it would be important to consider and ensure inclusion of those elements in the project as planning progresses, such as:

- Sidewalk and crosswalk materials/styles which are similar to downtown's streetscape styles;
- Use of the black, wrought iron Victorian-style streetlights which are used downtown;
- Street furniture such as benches, trash receptacles, and bike racks, like the ones downtown;
- Wayfinding signage along the route to identify and provide directions to the historic sites, parks, and other community assets in and around the project area;
- Use of street trees, and use of raingardens and flow-through planters to support stormwater management and beautification.

With respect to the West Washington Street portion of the plan, which is essentially identical in all three alternatives, it seems that there is no bicycle lane (either dedicated or via sharrow) on that portion. While sharrow or dedicated bike lanes are considered for MLK, Jr./Route 51 from the Y Intersection to the west, they essentially terminate at the Y Intersection, and there is no further bicycle facilities going east between that Y intersection and downtown. I recommend that the planning study must consider whether bicycle infrastructure in the form of a dedicated lane or sharrow could be included on W. Washington, at least to the Evitts Run Park / Perry Park area if not all the way to the downtown. Bicycle facilities to the park system's current and the envisioned streamside bicycle path in the West End Revitalization Plan, should be connected to the future Route 51 bicycle infrastructure now being considered in this study.

These kinds of walkability, safety, and beautification investments on West Washington Street, MLK Jr. and Summit Point Road would be a welcome improvement to this neighborhood and our city, and the first major step forward on the West End Revitalization Plan. So again thank you, and please keep moving forward on this project.

INPUT ON BEST ALTERNATIVES

With respect to the three alternatives proposed for handling the inadequate Y Intersection at W. Washington, MLK Jr., and Summit Point, I have the following perspectives and questions:

- Alternative 2 with a Stubbed Off Summit Point Road should not be selected: Alternative

 which disconnects Summit Point Road from the rest of the community for vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle access, doesn't improve the community, and still requires the obtrusive
 "western bypass" to make this limited option even work. I do not believe this alternative
 should be pursued any further.
- 2.) <u>Alternative 1's Roundabout improves the intersection, and is least intrusive to the</u> <u>community:</u> I find this Roundabout alternative to be promising, in that it could improve traffic flow at the Y Intersection without requiring a "western bypass" west of the railroad tracks (more on that below), and is minimally intrusive and disruptive to the existing neighborhood. However, my perspective comes with four comments:
 - a.) As the roundabout will be placed south of the existing Summit Point Road and Zion Church, it will encroach on the historic and beautiful Hill Farm. Of course, any taking of their land will require just compensation, which I am sure the parties will discuss. The issue that I wish to raise as a citizen, is that an ancestor, Thornton Perry, placed beautiful stone walls, bridges, and other structures around the Hill Farm that raise the charm of Charles Town, and really have become part of our history. The roundabout as proposed would be right on top of stone gateway structures that lead into the Hill Farm as a country walking lane. If Alternative 1 is selected, these stone gateways must be rebuilt further into the land, below the new roundabout. I note that the Virginia Department of Transportation, which is underway with a major upgrade to the Route 9/Charles Town Pike through historic Hillsboro VA (called the "ReThink9" project), has taken great care and expended resources to use new stone retaining walls to maintain Hillsboro's historic integrity as they conduct a major upgrade with two new roundabouts. See "Walls that Retain the Roadway - and Hillsboro's Historic Integrity" at https://rethink9.com/retaining-walls/. That kind of care is exactly what we would need at The Hill Farm's stone structures which will be impacted by the new roundabout intersection. Of course the roundabout should also have substantial landscape screening installed to minimize viewshed disruption at The Hill Farm.
 - b.) It is not clear to me from the public presentation/plans whether there is walking access through and around the roundabout. If somebody wanted to walk, for instance, from the north side of MLK Jr. Boulevard, through the Y intersection, to walk along Summit Point Road, could they do that? Are there sidewalks/crosswalks at the roundabout? I would note that many in the Charles Town community appreciate the efficient traffic flow at the newish roundabout on Route 115 south of downtown where the 340 Bypass entrance/exits come in. However, many are also disappointed that this Route 115 roundabout has no pedestrian accommodations, crosswalks, or other walkability infrastructure in that design let's not do that again at Route 51.

- c.) This roundabout will essentially become the "western gateway" to downtown Charles Town, and it would be appropriate to design the roundabout so that it could have an attractive and high-quality entrance sign, public art, or other appropriate treatment in the center of the Roundabout. See, for instance, the new Hillsboro VA welcome signs in the roundabouts at the ReThink9 project at https://loudounnow.com/2021/03/30/hillsboro-unveils-roundabout-welcome-sign-as-traffic-resumes/. It would be appropriate now in this planning stage, for WV DOH and the consulting team to consider how such public signage and/or public art is not precluded by either the design, or by a failure to consider safety/sightline issues in planning for consideration of later amenity features.
- d.) This feasibility study has a public perception problem (more on that below), with some people seeming to have a perspective that a roundabout will back up traffic at the new feature even to the extent that cars will be backed onto the railroad at-grade crossing far to the west. Of course, the literature is very extensive on the benefits of roundabouts. For example, the Federal Highways Administration's studies say that a roundabout raises the capacity of intersections by 30-50% with less delays waiting at stop signs; and has fewer crashes and severe crashes with fatalities decreased by 90%, injuries reduced by 75%, and reductions of up to 40% in pedestrian/bicycle crashes. However, there are rumors among many in the Charles Town community that this roundabout will back up traffic. I urge DOT and its consultant team to provide some public education on the benefits of roundabouts, to share the experience of benefits in WV at other roundabout, to address with these public perceptions and concerns.
- 3.) <u>Alternative 3 has some clear advantages:</u> Although I believe the Alternative 1/Roundabout has some clear benefits as discussed above, there is one feature of the Alternative 3 / One-Way design that has major benefits the one-way routing west on Route 51/MLK and east on Summit Point provides ample room within the rights-of-way for a "complete street" approach to the neighborhood.

The approach of Alternative 3 would allow for a dedicated bike lane, more robust sidewalks/streetscapes, and additional on-street parking on Route 51 and also on Summit Point Road, which are all positives. Further, one-way streets are easier and safer for pedestrians to cross as compared to two-way traffic.

I emphasize that one aspect of Alternative 3 (and Alternative 2) is not desirable – the "western bypass" west of the railroad tracks in a peaceful and beautiful field on historic Altona Farm. The clear demarcation between "town and country" created by the Norfolk-Southern rail line at the western border of the town now is a desirable feature to our community. In some of the best communities in the nation (and world), urban growth boundaries provide a clear demarcation between the established community (like Charles Town) and the countryside. That is what the NS rail track does. If we build a bypass road out in that field, the edge of town is now forever compromised. Development could spring up on that new bypass roadway. Development could sprawl westward. The potential long-term consequences of this turnaround bypass will likely be negative.

I encourage the WV DOH and consultant team to consider, instead, the potential to create the "bypass" road needed to make the Alternative 3 one-way method work, on the <u>east side</u> of the Norfolk-Southern Railroad Tracks. The area between Route 51 and Summit Point Road, immediately east/inside of the NS tracks, has a gravel roadway and scrub land with no structures running along the route, which is approximately 117 feet wide. While most of that length is in N-S's parcel map, there is an old rail siding in one area, and part of the Griffith Energy Service's parking lot in one area – this corridor of space could be ideal for creation of the bypass needed for Alternative 3. It would require purchase/compensation, swap or other accommodations to acquire the property or easement from N-S and Griffith, much like the Altona Farm would need to be taken under existing Alternative 3. But the benefits of this approach could be significant, and I ask you to revisit this potential idea.

NEED TO BOOST PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

I understand that significant public engagement has taken place, but I do not believe that it has been sufficient, and many in the public area displeased. As I reviewed the public presentation/alternatives and considered the input I would provide, I reached out to several citizens in the neighborhood, and community organizations and leaders. Many were frustrated by what they perceived as insufficient public engagement. I encourage the City of Charles Town to take the lead on reinvigorating this public engagement, in coordination with HEPMPO and WV DOH. An outdoors or virtual "town hall meeting" with robust flier-distribution in the neighborhood beforehand and collaboration with community organizations, could hopefully dissipate some of the community frustration which, I fear, undermines the important work of gathering input on what alternatives can best bring this beneficial improvement project. I would be happy to assist the city in considering how to make this public engagement as robust and effective as possible.

Thank you for your consideration of my perspectives, and feel free to contact me if you have any questions, at 202.422.2411 or <u>matt.ward@strategiesdc.com</u>.

Sincerely,

MattWal

Matt Ward

Gina Balsamo

From:	Mullenax, Matt <mmullenax@washco-md.net></mmullenax@washco-md.net>
Sent:	Thursday, May 6, 2021 1:31 PM
То:	Patrick Park; Gina Balsamo
Cc:	Carr, Brian E; Daryl Hennessy
Subject:	Public Comment - FW: WV 51 Feasibility Study

Follow Up Flag:Follow upFlag Status:Flagged

From: Carol Kable <carolkable2465@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 1:29 PM
To: Mullenax, Matt <mmullenax@washco-md.net>
Cc: Steve Stolipher <stevestolipher@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: WV 51 Feasibility Study

WARNING!! This message originated from an **External Source**. Please use proper judgment and caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Any claims of being a County official or employee should be disregarded.

Dear Mr. Mullenax,

We have viewed your proposals for upgrading and changing Rt. 51 and Summit Point Road to help alleviate traffic. We cannot see that a circle will help the traffic all that much. The traffic slow down is the result of the traffic signal at the intersection of Washington Street and Augustine Avenue and the railroad crossing when being used, which is often.

Alternate #2 would seem to add even more traffic to Rt. 51 causing Summit Point Road entrance on Rt. 51 to be blocked.

Alternate #3 also seems to push more traffic onto 51 adding traffic lights and stop signs.

We don't see a crossing at Evitt's Run PARK would be that beneficial and could be possibly dangerous.

Sincerely submitted,

Marty and Carol Kable

Carol

--

Carol Kable 336 Rosemont Way Charles Town, WV 25414 304-283-8602 cell April 28, 2021

Matt Mullenax

mmullenax@hepmpo.net

240-313-2081

RE: WV DOH WV RT. 51 Feasibility Study comments

Matt,

Fixing the Rt. 51, Summit Point road entrances to Charles Town will not be an easy solution. The traffic on Rt. 51 seems to be getting busier all the time and most likely driven by development in the Inwood area. Most of this traffic does not have Charles Town as its destination but is seeking access to roads leading elsewhere. The Charles Town Comprehensive Plan shows an illustration of a bypass from Rt. 51 to 115 (old Rt.9). Has this proposal been considered in your plan? (Copy of plan attached) In addition, the Norfolk Southern rail crossing has not been considered and from my experience a 3-4 minute backup for the train can extend westward on Rt. 51 for 1/4 to 1/2 mile between 7-9am.

Of the options, the traffic circle near the present fork of Rt. 51 and the Summit Point Road would be the least disruptive and possibly the least costly but would not blend the traffic any better than now. It may even slow down the traffic.

These opinions are not based on the fact that two of the following routes would come across my land. The connector road between Summit Point and Rt. 51 would create two near 90 degree intersections where the road would join the current existing roads. At the very least, this would require a stop sign if not a traffic light at both ends.

Alternate #2: This would be unacceptable and totally overload Rt. 51. According to your traffic count statistics, this would add 135 additional vehicles between 7:30 & 8:30am. This plan would block the Summit Point road access to downtown Charles Town residents who have Charles Town as their destination.

Alternate #3: This plan would make the Summit Point Road and Rt. 51 one way and force traffic to use the connector and overload the intersections created by the connector road again with stop signs or lights.

The enhancements offering a traffic warning at Evitts Run park and a pedestrian cross walk would create a dangerous situation for both traffic and pedestrians.

My final suggestion would be to save the money for a bypass from Rt. 9 West to Rt. 340 South. If so, this could intersect the existing bypass and would make full use of the roads already there.

I will look forward to hearing from you and being kept up to date with any proposals or plans for the future.

Yours truly,

Henry B. & Faye B. Davenport, III

Altona Farm owners



Appendix E

Capacity Analysis



Existing Conditions Capacity Analysis

HCS7 All-Way Stop Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	W. Washington & MLK Jr							
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT							
Date Performed		East/West Street	W. Washington/MLK Jr							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	W. Washington Street							
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak - Existing									
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study										
Lanes										



Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

Approach		Factbound		Westbound				lorthhouse	4	Southbound		
Арргоаст		Eastbound			vvestbound	1	ľ	Vortribouri	1		Southbouh	u
Movement	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Volume		426	3	65	168		1		135			
% Thrus in Shared Lane												
Lane	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3
Configuration	TR			LT			LR					
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	466			253			148					
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0			3			0					
Departure Headway and Sei	vice Ti	me										
Initial Departure Headway, hd (s)	3.20			3.20			3.20					
Initial Degree of Utilization, x	0.414			0.225			0.131					
Final Departure Headway, hd (s)	4.60			4.94			4.96					
Final Degree of Utilization, x	0.596			0.348			0.204					
Move-Up Time, m (s)	2.0			2.0			2.0					
Service Time, ts (s)	2.60			2.94			2.96					
Capacity, Delay and Level of	Servic	e										
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	466			253			148					
Capacity	782			728			725					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	4.0			1.6			0.8					
Control Delay (s/veh)	14.2			10.6			9.2					
Level of Service, LOS	В			В			А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		14.2			10.6			9.2				
Approach LOS		В			В		A					
Intersection Delay, s/veh LOS			12	2.3					E	3		

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1100	/ Olg	nanzo	u mi	.01300		1030	11.5	oun	innar <u>-</u>	y				
General Inform	nation								Inte	ersect	ion Infe	ormatic	on	Į.	4 사수 (,	be l <u>u</u>
Agency		CMTran							Dur	ation,	h	0.250			44	
Analyst		СМС		Analys	is Date	e Apr 26	5, 2021		Area	a Type	;	Other		4		
Jurisdiction		WVDOT		Time Period AM Pe			eak		PHF	F	0.92			*-*	w‡s	<u>≁</u> 4
Urban Street	Street W. Washington Street			Analys	is Yea	r 2019			Ana	alysis F	Period 1> 7:00			*		4
Intersection		W. Washington Stre	et &	File Na	ame	2019	AM Pea	k.xus							4	
Project Descrip	tion	WV 51 - West Wasł	nington	Street F	treet Feasibility Study									5	4147	۳ (*
, ,			0		· · ·											
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	/B			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		Г	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			15	354	142	45	14	13	5	55	54	41	13	45	23
					_			_		1						
Signal Informa	tion				3	<u> </u>										\mathbf{A}
Cycle, s	80.0	Reference Phase	2		Ë'	1 SA	7								3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	45.0	25.0	0.0	0.0)	0.0	0.0			5		
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			Y		_ √ ∕~
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
					_							_				
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WB		WE	BT	NBL	-	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	e					2		\rightarrow	6	5			8			4
Case Number						8.0		_	6.	0			8.0			6.0
Phase Duration	l, S					50.0		_	50	.0			30.0			30.0
Change Period	, (Y+R)	c), S				5.0		\rightarrow	5.	0			5.0			5.0
Max Allow Hea	dway(/	MAH), s				3.3		$ \rightarrow$	3.	3			3.3			3.3
Queue Clearan	ce Time	e (g s), s				18.4		\rightarrow	21	.5			7.9			8.5
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge),s				1.8			1.	8			0.5			0.5
Phase Call Pro	bability					1.00			1.0	00			1.00			1.00
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00			0.0	00			0.00			0.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WE	3			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6		16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow I	Rate (v), veh/h			555		49	161	1			163		14	74	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n		1729		880	181	5			1590		1312	1763	
Queue Service	Time (g	g s), s			0.0		3.0	3.4				2.4		0.7	2.4	
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s			16.4		19.5	3.4				5.9		6.5	2.4	
Green Ratio (g	/C)				0.56		0.56	0.5	6			0.31		0.31	0.31	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1019		404	102	1			558		404	551	
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	itio(X)			0.545		0.121	0.15	8			0.292		0.035	0.134	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	/In (95 th percentile)			255.3		27.3	58.	5			104.7		9.2	44.7	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)		9.8		1.1	2.2	2			4.1		0.4	1.8	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	ile)		0.38		0.42	0.18	8			0.40		0.23	0.17	
Uniform Delay	(d1), s	/veh			11.2		17.5	8.4				20.9		23.4	19.7	
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh					0.3		0.0	0.0)			0.1		0.0	0.0	
Initial Queue D	elay (<i>d</i>	з), s/veh			0.0		0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/ve	əh			11.6		17.6	8.4	-			21.0		23.4	19.8	
Level of Service (LOS)					В		В	Α				С		С	В	
Approach Dela	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			11.6		В	10.6	3	В	3	21.0		С	20.4		С
Intersection De	lay, s/ve	eh / LOS				13	3.6							В		
Multimodel De	oulto				ED			\^/	2						CD	
Podostrian L OS	Soore	/1.08		1 65	EB	P	1 00		י	2	1.00		NB		30	P
				1.05	<u></u>		1.80))	B	2	0.70	-		1.05	· ·	
BICYCIE LOS SC	ore / LC	13		1.40		А	0.83		A	١	0.76		А	0.63		А

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS[™] Streets Version 7.8.5

HCS7 All-Way Stop Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	W. Washington & MLK Jr						
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT						
Date Performed		East/West Street	W. Washington/MLK Jr						
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	W. Washington Street						
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak - Existing								
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study									
Lanes									



Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

Approach		Eastbound			Westbound			Northboun	d	9	Southboun	d	
Movement	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Volume		294	2	158	579		3		138				
% Thrus in Shared Lane													
Lane	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	
Configuration	TR			LT			LR						
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	322			801			153						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0			0			0						
Departure Headway and Sei	rvice Ti	me											
Initial Departure Headway, hd (s)	3.20			3.20			3.20						
Initial Degree of Utilization, x	0.286			0.712			0.136						
Final Departure Headway, hd (s)	5.25			4.86			5.76						
Final Degree of Utilization, x	0.469			1.082			0.245						
Move-Up Time, m (s)	2.0			2.0			2.0						
Service Time, ts (s)	3.25			2.86			3.76						
Capacity, Delay and Level of	Servic	e											
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	322			801			153						
Capacity	685			740			625						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	2.5			21.6			1.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)	12.8			78.5			10.6						
Level of Service, LOS	В			F			В						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		12.8			78.5			10.6					
Approach LOS		В			F		В						
Intersection Delay, s/veh LOS			53	3.8					I	F			

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1100	7 Olg	nanze	u mu	61360		tesu	113 00	iiiiiai	y				
General Inform	ation								Intorso	tion Inf	ormatic	.	L D	4.4411	۽ لي
	lation	CMTran							Duration		0 250	<i>/</i> //		44	
Agency		CMC		Analya	ia Data	Apr 26	\$ 2021			1, 11 no	Othor		1		۲. گ
Analyst				Time Deried DMD			o, 2021 Area Typ			pe				wle	*
Jurisdiction		WVDUI	at				aĸ			Dariad	1> 7.0	0	-Y		* +
	n Street W. Washington Street				is rear	2019			Analysis	Penou	127.0	0			-
Project Description W// 51 West Weshington														*	
Project Descrip	uon	www.si-westwasi	ningion	Street F	treet Feasibility Study									in i line i li	- 1
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	B		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			12	329	75	72	48	5 15	156	63	76	8	108	77
X 7.														1	
Signal Informa	tion				. 2	_21.							_		
Cycle, s	80.0	Reference Phase	2		₿è		71						4)	ct x
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	45.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					4
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			\rightarrow		512
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
					T.										
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WB	L	WBT	NB	L	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	е					2			6			8			4
Case Number						8.0			6.0			8.0			6.0
Phase Duration	i, s					50.0			50.0			30.0			30.0
Change Period	, (Y+R	c), S				5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0
Max Allow Head	dway(<i>I</i>	<i>MAH</i>), s				3.3			3.3			3.4			3.4
Queue Clearan	ce Time	e (g s), s				13.6			17.9			25.0			25.6
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge), s				2.6			2.6	0.0		0.0			0.0
Phase Call Pro	bability					1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00			0.00			1.00			1.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	aults			FB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement		_	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	16	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h			452		78	543	-		321		9	201	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n		1790		935	1875	5		1112		1256	1768	
Queue Service	Time (d	α_s) s			0.0		4.3	14.3		_	16.0		0.5	7.1	
Cvcle Queue C	learance	e Time (a c), s			11.6		15.9	14.3	;		23.0		23.6	7.1	
Green Ratio (g	/C)	· · · · · · (9 ·), ·			0.56		0.56	0.56	;		0.31		0.31	0.31	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1053		480	105	5		416		121	552	
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	itio(X)			0.429		0.163	0.51	5		0.771		0.072	0.364	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	/In (95 th percentile))		194.7		41.1	233.	7		274.8		7.6	129.7	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)		7.7		1.6	9.3			11.0		0.3	5.2	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.29		0.63	0.73	;		1.06		0.19	0.48	
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh			10.2		14.8	10.8	;		28.8		38.3	21.3	
Incremental De	lay (<i>d</i> 2), s/veh			0.1		0.1	0.2			7.8		0.1	0.1	
Initial Queue De	elay(d	з), s/veh			0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh				10.3		14.9	11.0			36.6		38.4	21.5		
Level of Service (LOS)					В		В	В			D		D	С	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				10.3		В	11.5	5	В	36.	6	D	22.2	2	С
Intersection De	lay, s/ve	eh / LOS				17	.6						B		
Multimedal De	oulte				ED									<u>e</u> p	
Pedestrian LOS	Score	/1.05		1 65		B	1 00		R	1.04		NB			
Ricycle I OS So				1.00		Δ	1.00	,	R	1.9	2	Δ	0.82	,	Δ
Dicycle LOG SC				1.23		Λ	1.5		D	1.0	-	Λ	0.00	,	Λ

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS™ Streets Version 7.8.5

HCS7 All-Way Stop Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	W. Washington & MLK Jr						
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT						
Date Performed		East/West Street	W. Washington/MLK Jr						
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	W. Washington Street						
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak - Existing								
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study									
Lanes									



Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

Approach		Eastbound			Westbound	ł	٦	Northboun	d	9	Southboun	d	
Movement	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Volume		556	4	85	219		1		172				
% Thrus in Shared Lane													
Lane	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	
Configuration	TR			LT			LR						
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	609			330			188						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0			3			0						
Departure Headway and Sei	vice Ti	me											
Initial Departure Headway, hd (s)	3.20			3.20			3.20						
Initial Degree of Utilization, x	0.541			0.294			0.167						
Final Departure Headway, hd (s)	4.93			5.37			5.58						
Final Degree of Utilization, x	0.834			0.493			0.292						
Move-Up Time, m (s)	2.0			2.0			2.0						
Service Time, ts (s)	2.93			3.37			3.58						
Capacity, Delay and Level of	Servic	e											
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	609			330			188						
Capacity	730			670			645						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	9.3			2.7			1.2						
Control Delay (s/veh)	27.5			13.5			10.9						
Level of Service, LOS	D			В			В						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		27.5			13.5			10.9					
Approach LOS	D B						В						
Intersection Delay, s/veh LOS		20.6						c					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1100	7 Olg	nanze	u m	101300		1030	into c	Jum	Innar	y				
General Inform	nation								Inters	secti	on Infe	ormatic	on	D.	4741	له لړ
Agency		CMTran							Durat	tion, l	h	0.250			47	
Analyst		СМС		Analys	is Dat	e Apr 2	6, 2021		Area	Туре	;	Other		4		
Jurisdiction		WVDOT		Time F	Period	AM P	eak		PHF			0.92		*-*	w‡e	<u>≁</u> 4
Urban Street		W. Washington Stre	et	Analys	is Yea	ar 2039		_	Analy	/sis F	Period	1> 7:0)0	*		4
Intersection		W. Washington Stre	et &	File Na	ame	2039	AM Pea	k.xus								
Project Descrip	tion	WV 51 - West Wash	nington	Street F	easibi	ility Stud	y							ĥ	4144	۳ (*
· · ·						-	-									
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	'B			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	1		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			20	462	2 185	59	18	37	7	58	57	43	14	47	24
0	1 ¹			I			_	_			_	_				
Signal Informa	ation		-			빌겠되										\mathbf{A}
Cycle, s	80.0	Reference Phase	2		R'	° ∿1	2						1	♀ 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	45.0	25.0	0.0	0.0) (D.O	0.0			5		
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0	_				Ý
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Desults				EDI	_	EDT				r I						ODT
Assigned Dhee	_			EBL	-	EBI	VVB		VVB	-	NBL	-	NBI	SBL	-	SBI
Assigned Phase	e				-	2		\rightarrow	6	-			8		_	4
Case Number					-	8.0		\rightarrow	6.0	_			8.0		_	6.0
Phase Duration	hase Duration, s				-	50.0			50.0	, H		-	50.0		_	30.0
Change Period, (Y+R c), s					-	5.0		-	5.0	-			5.0		_	5.0
Max Allow Headway (MAH), s Queue Clearance Time (q_s), s						3.4			3.4				3.3			3.3
Queue Clearance Time (g_s) , s					-	27.0		-	32.0)			8.3 0.5		_	9.0
Green Extension Time (g e), s				<u> </u>		2.0	-	\rightarrow	2.3	_			0.5	<u> </u>	_	0.5
Phase Call Pro					-	1.00		-	1.00	,			1.00	<u> </u>	_	1.00
Max Out Proba	DIIILY					0.02			0.08				0.00			0.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	ults			EB			WE	3	Т		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	F	र	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	1	6	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate(<i>v</i>), veh/h			725		64	211				172		15	77	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n		1726	;	756	181	4			1588		1305	1763	
Queue Service	Time (g	g ₅), s			0.9		5.6	4.6	;			2.8		0.7	2.5	
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s			25.0		30.6	4.6	;			6.3		7.0	2.5	
Green Ratio (g	/C)				0.56		0.56	0.56	6			0.31		0.31	0.31	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1017	r	278	102	0			558		396	551	
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	itio(X)			0.713	3	0.230	0.20	7			0.308		0.038	0.140	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile)			373.1	1	44.7	79.2	2			110.7		10	46.8	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)		14.3		1.8	3.0				4.4		0.4	1.8	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.56		0.69	0.2	5			0.43		0.25	0.17	
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s/	/veh			13.1		24.6	8.7	·			21.0		23.7	19.8	
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh					2.0		0.2	0.0				0.1		0.0	0.0	
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh					0.0		0.0	0.0				0.0		0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh					15.2		24.8	8.7				21.1		23.7	19.8	
Level of Service (LOS)					В		С	A				С		С	В	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				15.2	2	В	12.5	5	В		21.1		С	20.5	5	С
Intersection De	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					1	5.8							В		
Multiment								14/5	,						0.0	
Dedestriars L CC	Multimodal Results			4.05	EB	P	4.00	VVE		-	1.00	NB	D	4.00	SB	D
Pedestrian LOS	o score			1.05	·	D	1.80	1	B		1.92			1.05	,	
BICYCIE LOS SC	icycle LOS Score / LOS					В	0.94	+	A		0.77		А	0.64		А

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS™ Streets Version 7.8.5

HCS7 All-Way Stop Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	W. Washington & MLK Jr						
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT						
Date Performed		East/West Street	W. Washington/MLK Jr						
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	W. Washington Street						
Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak - Existing								
Project Description	WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study								
Lanes									



Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

,													
Approach		Eastbound			Westbound	l 	1	Northboun	d	9	Southboun	d	
Movement	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Volume		384	3	206	755		4		176				
% Thrus in Shared Lane													
Lane	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	L1	L2	L3	
Configuration	TR			LT			LR						
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	421			1045			196						
Percent Heavy Vehicles	0			0			0						
Departure Headway and Se	rvice Ti	me											
Initial Departure Headway, hd (s)	3.20			3.20			3.20						
Initial Degree of Utilization, x	0.374			0.929			0.174						
Final Departure Headway, hd (s)	5.43			5.19			5.96						
Final Degree of Utilization, x	0.634			1.505			0.324						
Move-Up Time, m (s)	2.0			2.0			2.0						
Service Time, ts (s)	3.43			3.19			3.96						
Capacity, Delay and Level of	Servic	e											
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	421			1045			196						
Capacity	663			694			604						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	4.5			51.4			1.4						
Control Delay (s/veh)	17.4			249.8			11.8						
Level of Service, LOS	C			F			В						
Approach Delay (s/veh)	17.4 24					49.8 11.8							
Approach LOS	C F					В							
Intersection Delay, s/veh LOS		162.9						F					

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1100	r olg	nanzo	u iiit	61360		1030		Jam	innar <u>-</u>	,				
General Inform	nation								Inters	secti	on Infe	ormatic	n	P	지사하다	× L
Agency		CMTran							Durat	tion, I	n	0.250			44	
Analvst		СМС		Analys	is Date	Apr 26	6. 2021		Area			Other		14		
Jurisdiction		WVDOT		Time F	Period	PM Pe	eak		PHF			0.92		*-*	wļe	
Urban Street		W. Washington Stre	et	Analys	is Yea	2039			Analy	/sis F	eriod	1> 7:0	0	4 7		4
Intersection		W. Washington Stre	et &	File Na	ame	2039	PM Pea	k.xus							-t-	
Project Descrip	tion	WV 51 - West Wasł	nington	Street F	easibil	ity Study	/							1	41471	* (*
			Ū													
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	В			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	·	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			16	429	98	94	63	33	20	164	66	80	8	113	81
Cinnel Informe							_				_					
Signal morma		Deference Dhase	2			<u>_</u> 205								X		ተ
Cycle, s	0.06	Reference Phase	Z End		F, "	il:	71						1	Y 2	3	4
Unseet, s	Voc	Simult Con E/M	On	Green	45.0	25.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0	_		A		
Eorce Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Ped	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0		<u>).0</u>	0.0	-	5	¥	7	Y
T OFCE MODE	TIXCU	olindit. Cap N/C	OII	Tteu	1.0	1.0	0.0	10.0	, 10	5.0	10.0			Ŭ		
Timer Results				EBL		EBT	WB	L	WBT	г	NBL		NBT	SBL	_	SBT
Assigned Phase	е					2			6				8			4
Case Number						8.0		-	6.0				8.0			6.0
Phase Duration	I, S					50.0			50.0)			30.0			30.0
Change Period	Change Period, (Y+R c), s					5.0			5.0	1			5.0			5.0
Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s						3.3			3.3				3.4			3.4
Queue Clearance Time (g s), s						18.9			26.2	2			27.0			27.0
Green Extension Time ($g \in$), s						3.9			3.7				0.0			0.0
Phase Call Probability						1.00			1.00)			1.00			1.00
Max Out Proba	bility					0.01			0.05	5			1.00			1.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WE	3	7		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	F	र	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	1	6	3	8	18	7	4	14
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h			590		102	710				337		9	211	
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (s), veh/h/l	n		1780		826	187	5	-		1069		1247	1767	
Queue Service	Time (g	g ₅), s			0.0		7.3	21.3	3			17.5		0.0	7.5	
Cycle Queue C	learance	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s			16.9		24.2	21.3	3			25.0		25.0	7.5	
Green Ratio (g	/C)				0.56		0.56	0.56	3			0.31		0.31	0.31	
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1047		380	105	5			403		90	552	
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	tio(X)			0.564		0.269	0.67	3			0.837		0.097	0.382	
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile))		264.3		64.3	333.	1			310.8		7.8	136.9	
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)		10.4		2.5	13.2	2			12.4		0.3	5.5	
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.39		0.99	1.04	1			1.20		0.20	0.51	
Uniform Delay ((d 1), si	/veh			11.4		19.2	12.3	3			30.0		40.0	21.5	
Incremental De	remental Delay (d ₂), s/veh				0.4		0.1	1.4				13.5		0.2	0.2	
Initial Queue Delay (<i>d</i> ₃), s/veh					0.0		0.0	0.0	_	_		0.0		0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (d), s/veh					11.8		19.4	13.7	-			43.5		40.2	21.6	
Level of Service (LOS)					В		B	B		_		D		D	C	
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				11.8		В	14.4	ł	В		43.5		D	22.4	-	С
Intersection De	Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					19	9.5							В		
Multimodal Results					FR				3			NR			SB	
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS				1 65		В	1 88	3	B		1.92		В	1 60		В
Bicycle LOS So	core / I C)S		1.46		A	1.83	3	B		1.04		A	0.85	;	- A
	cycle LOS Score / LOS								5					0.00		

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS™ Streets Version 7.8.5

Alternative 1 Capacity Analysis

	HCS7 Roundabouts Report																
General Information	1				_	_	Sit	e Info	orm	natio	n		_	_			
Analyst	СМС									Inters	section			W.	Washing	gton & N	ILK Jr
Agency or Co.	CMTra	an					←			E/W S	Street Na	me		M.L	K. Jr. B	oulevard	
Date Performed					1				*	N/S S	Street Na	me		W.	Washing	gton Stre	et
Analysis Year	2039				◀ ↓		/∔E S	1		Analy	vsis Time	Period (hr	s)	0.2	5		
Time Analyzed	AM P	eak			*			1		Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.9	2		
Project Description	WV 5	1 - West	Washing	gton St			→ ▼ ¥	1		Jurisc	liction			WV	'DOT		
Volume Adjustments	s and $\$$	Site C	haract	teristic	s												
Approach		[B			١	WB				N	В				SB	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Assignment			Т	R	LT				·	LR							
Volume (V), veh/h	0		556	4	0 85 219			0	1		172						
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	0		4	0	0	3	6			0	0		4				
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	0		629	4	0	95	25	2		0	1		195				
Right-Turn Bypass		N	one			N	one				Nc	ne				None	
Conflicting Lanes			1				1					1					
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h			0				0				()					
Critical and Follow-U	Јр Неа	adway	/ Adju	stmen	t												
Approach				EB				WB				NB				SB	
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss L	.eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ISS	Left	Right	Bypass
Critical Headway (s)				4.9763				4.9763	Τ			4.9763					
Follow-Up Headway (s)				2.6087				2.6087				2.6087					
Flow Computations,	Capad	city aı	nd v/c	Ratio	5												
Approach				EB				WB				NB				SB	
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss L	.eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	iss	Left	Right	Bypass
Entry Flow (v _e), pc/h				633				347	Γ			196					
Entry Volume, veh/h				609				330	Τ			188					
Circulating Flow (v _c), pc/h				95				1				629				348	
Exiting Flow (v _{ex}), pc/h				824				253				0				99	
Capacity (c _{pce}), pc/h				1253				1379	Τ			727					
Capacity (c), veh/h				1205				1311	Τ			696					
v/c Ratio (x)				0.51				0.25				0.27					
Delay and Level of S	ervice	•															
Approach				EB				WB				NB				SB	
Lane			Left Right		Вура	ss L	.eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	iss	Left	Right	Bypass
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				8.5				4.9	Γ			8.4					
Lane LOS	Lane LOS A		А				А				А						
95% Queue, veh	95% Queue, veh 2.9		2.9				1.0				1.1						
Approach Delay, s/veh	Approach Delay, s/veh 8.5			8.5	8.5 4.9						8.4						
Approach LOS	Approach LOS A			А	A					А							
Intersection Delay, s/veh LO	ntersection Delay, s/veh LOS				7.5									А			

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 4/26/2021 9:34:23 AM

	HCS7 Roundabouts Report																
General Information					_		Sit	e Info	orn	natio	n		_	_			
Analyst	СМС	_	_							Inters	ection	_		W. 1	Washing	gton & N	ILK Jr
Agency or Co.	CMTra	an					+ -			E/W S	Street Na	me		M.L	.K. Jr. Bo	oulevard	
Date Performed					1			\ \	*	N/S S	Street Na	me		W. V	Washing	gton Stre	et
Analysis Year	2039				◀ ↓	a w	₽ ₽	1		Analy	vsis Time	Period (hr	rs)	0.25	5		
Time Analyzed	PM Pe	eak			*			1		Peak	Hour Fac	tor		0.92	2		
Project Description	WV 5	1 - West	: Washing	gton St			→ ▼ ¥	1		Jurisc	liction			WV	DOT		
Volume Adjustments	s and S	Site C	harac	teristio	s												
Approach			EB			١	VB				N	В				SB	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	F	t I	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Number of Lanes (N)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Assignment			Т	R				LT				LR					
Volume (V), veh/h	0		384	3	0	206	75	5		0	4		176				
Percent Heavy Vehicles, %	0		1	0	0	0	2			0	0		3				
Flow Rate (VPCE), pc/h	0		422	3	0	224	83	7		0	4		197				
Right-Turn Bypass		N	one			N	one				Nc	ne				None	
Conflicting Lanes			1				1				-	1					
Pedestrians Crossing, p/h			0				0				()					
Critical and Follow-U	Jp Hea	adwa	y Adju	stmen	t												
Approach				EB				WB				NB		Т		SB	
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss L	eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	iss	Left	Right	Bypass
Critical Headway (s)				4.9763				4.9763	Т			4.9763		Т			
Follow-Up Headway (s)				2.6087				2.6087	Τ			2.6087					
Flow Computations,	Capad	city a	nd v/c	Ratio	5												
Approach				EB				WB				NB		Т		SB	
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss L	eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ISS	Left	Right	Bypass
Entry Flow (v _e), pc/h				425				1061	Τ			201					
Entry Volume, veh/h				421				1045	T			195					
Circulating Flow (vc), pc/h				224				4				422				1065	
Exiting Flow (v _{ex}), pc/h				619				841				0				227	
Capacity (c _{pce}), pc/h				1098				1374				897					
Capacity (c), veh/h				1087				1353				872					
v/c Ratio (x)				0.39				0.77				0.22					
Delay and Level of S	ervice	•															
Approach				EB				WB				NB		Т		SB	
Lane			Left	Right	Вура	ss L	eft	Right	E	Bypass	Left	Right	Вура	ISS	Left	Right	Bypass
Lane Control Delay (d), s/veh				7.3				14.9				6.4					
Lane LOS	Lane LOS A			А				В				А					
95% Queue, veh 1.9			1.9				8.3				0.9						
Approach Delay, s/veh	Approach Delay, s/veh 7.3			7.3	7.3 14.9						6.4						
Approach LOS	Approach LOS A			A	A B						A						
Intersection Delay, s/veh LO	ntersection Delay, s/veh LOS				12.0									В			

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Generated: 4/26/2021 9:35:23 AM

Alternative 2 Capacity Analysis

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	MLK Jr Blvd & New Rd							
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT							
Date Performed		East/West Street	MLK Jr Boulevard							
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	New Road							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak - Alt 2 New Road	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study										
Lanes										



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

		The stand of the stand												6		
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		L	Т				LR					
Volume (veh/h)			556	4		85	219			1		172				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3				0		4				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	dways														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.13				6.40		6.24				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.23				3.50		3.34				
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						92					188					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						965					490					
v/c Ratio						0.10					0.38					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.3					1.8					
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.1					16.9					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					2.6				16.9							
Approach LOS									С							

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	MLK Jr Blvd & New Road							
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT							
Date Performed		East/West Street	MLK Jr Boulevard							
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	New Road							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak - Alt 2 New Road	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study										
Lanes										



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	Eastbound Westbound							North	bound			South	bound			
Movement		1	т	R		1	т	R			т	R	11	J	т	R
Priority	111	1	2	2	411	4	5	6	0	7	0	0	0	10	11	12
Priority	10	1	2	5	40	4	5	0		7	0	9		10		12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		L	T				LR					
Volume (veh/h)			384	3		206	755			4		176				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						0				0		3				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	dways														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.10				6.40		6.23				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.20				3.50		3.33				
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						224					196					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1149					552					
v/c Ratio						0.19					0.35					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.7					1.6					
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.9					15.1					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					1.9				15.1							
Approach LOS									С							

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Alternative 3 Capacity Analysis

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report									
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	MLK Jr Blvd & New Rd						
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT						
Date Performed		East/West Street	MLK Jr Boulevard						
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	New Road						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak - Alt 3 New Road	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Study									
Lanes									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

	Northbaund Coutble and																	
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound		Southbound					
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12		
Number of Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0		1	0	0		0	0	0		
Configuration				R		L	Т			L								
Volume (veh/h)				560		85	220			0								
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3				0								
Proportion Time Blocked																		
Percent Grade (%)										()							
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo															
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided													
Critical and Follow-up Headways																		
Base Critical Headway (sec)						5.3				7.1								
Critical Headway (sec)						5.33				6.40								
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.1				3.5								
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.13				3.50								
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	of Se	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						92				0								
Capacity, c (veh/h)						602				500								
v/c Ratio						0.15				0.00								
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.5				0.0								
Control Delay (s/veh)						12.1				12.2								
Level of Service (LOS)						В				В								
Approach Delay (s/veh)						3	.4											
Approach LOS																		

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report												
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	СМС	Intersection	MLK Jr Blvd & New Rd									
Agency/Co.	CMTran	Jurisdiction	WVDOT									
Date Performed		East/West Street	MLK Jr Boulevard									
Analysis Year	2039	North/South Street	New Road									
Time Analyzed	PM Peak - Alt 3 New Road	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	WV 51 - West Washington Street Feasibility Stu	ypr										
anes												



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

I

Approach		Eastb	ound			Westk	ound			North	bound		Southbound					
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12		
Number of Lanes	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0		1	0	0		0	0	0		
Configuration				R		L	Т			L								
Volume (veh/h)				387		206	759			0								
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						3				0								
Proportion Time Blocked																		
Percent Grade (%)										()							
Right Turn Channelized		N	0															
Median Type Storage				Undiv	vided													
Critical and Follow-up Headways																		
Base Critical Headway (sec)						5.3				7.1								
Critical Headway (sec)						5.33				6.40								
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.1				3.5								
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.13				3.50								
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	of Se	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						224				0								
Capacity, c (veh/h)						737				130								
v/c Ratio						0.30				0.00								
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						1.3				0.0								
Control Delay (s/veh)						12.0				32.7								
Level of Service (LOS)						В				D								
Approach Delay (s/veh)						2	.6											
Approach LOS																		

West Street Intersection Improvements Capacity Analysis

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1103	7 Olg	nanze	u m	10130	50		.530	1113	Soun	iiiiai	у						
Conoral Inform	nation									Int	torood	tion Inf	ormoti	L R	al Juda I	b. L			
												h	0 250	- 1	44				
Agency		CMTIAN		Analyz		ta A	20	2024		Du	iration,	n	0.250		1		1.		
Analyst			Analys	sis Da		20, 2				еатур Г	e	Other		÷*	"Ìc	*			
Jurisaiction				Time Period A			provements				1		0.92		14 AL 8	9 11 C			
Urban Street		W. Washington Stre	et	Analys	sis Yea	ar 2039)			An	nalysis	Period	1> 7:	00		5 10			
Intersection		W. Washington Stre	et &	File Na	ame	2039) Al	M Pea	k w Ir	npro	oveme	nts.xus				4144	1 4		
Project Descrip	tion	WV 51 - West Wasl	nington	Street F	treet Feasibility Study														
Demand Inform	nation				EB	}			W	/B		1	SB						
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R		L	1	Г	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R		
Demand (v), v	eh/h			20	462	2 185	5	59	18	37	7	58	57	43	14	47	24		
Signal Informa	tion				7	니시	3		Т										
Cycle, s	80.0	Reference Phase	2		Ë.	è" ry	12								e 1	3	кtя		
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	42.0	28.0	<u> </u>)	0.0	0.0)	0.0	0.0			T L				
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0		0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0					- N		
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0		0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8		
													_		_				
Timer Results				EBI	-	EBT	+	WBI		N	VBT	NBI	-	NBT	SBI	-	SBT		
Assigned Phase	e				_	2	4				6			8			4		
Case Number						6.0				6	5.0			6.0			6.0		
Phase Duration	, S					47.0				4	7.0			33.0			33.0		
Change Period,	, (Y+R)	c), S				5.0				5	5.0			5.0			5.0		
Max Allow Head	dway(/	MAH), s				3.4				3	3.4			3.3			3.3		
Queue Clearance Time ($g s$), s					27.9				33	3.8			7.1			6.1			
Green Extension Time (<i>g</i> _e), s					2.4				2	2.0			0.5			0.5			
Phase Call Probability						1.00	4			1.	.00			1.00			1.00		
Max Out Proba	bility					0.05				0.	.26			0.00			0.00		
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults	_		EB	_	Т	_	WE	3			NB	_		SB	_		
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	Т	L	Т		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R		
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	Т	1	6		16	3	8	18	7	4	14		
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		22	703		Т	64	211			63	109		15	77			
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1189	1737	7	Т	756	181	4		1343	1736		1305	1763			
Queue Service	Time (g	g s), S		0.8	25.9)	Т	5.9	5.0)		2.7	3.5		0.7	2.4			
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s		5.8	25.9			31.8	5.0)		5.1	3.5		4.1	2.4			
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.52	0.52	2		0.52	0.52	2		0.35	0.35		0.35	0.35			
Capacity (<i>c</i>), v	/eh/h			640	912			242	952	2		520	608		490	617			
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	itio(X)		0.034	0.77	1		0.265	0.22	21		0.121	0.179		0.031	0.125			
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	In (95 th percentile)		9.3	403.4	4		48.2	88.	5		37.3	62.8		8.9	43.7			
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	le)	0.4	15.5	5		1.9	3.4			1.5	2.5		0.4	1.7			
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)			tile)	0.19	0.60)	1	0.74	0.28	8		0.75	0.24		0.22	0.16			
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				11.8	15.2	2	4	27.9	10.2	2		19.4	18.0		19.5	17.7			
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh			0.0	3.7		+	0.2	0.0			0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0				
Initial Queue Delay (d ȝ), s/veh			0.0	0.0		4	0.0	0.0)		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0				
Control Delay (<i>d</i>), s/veh			11.8	18.9			28.1	10.3	3		19.4	18.1		19.5	17.7				
Level of Service (LOS)			В	В			С	В			В	В		В	B				
Approach Delay	y, s/veh	/LOS		18.7		В		14.4		В		18.6 B			18.0	В			
Intersection De	lay, s/ve	en / LOS				·	17.	(В				
Multimodal Re	sults				EB		T		WE	В			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS	S Score	/ LOS		1.89)	В	T	1.89			В	1.91		В	1.91		В		
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		1.68	3	В	T	0.94	-		A	0.77	7	A		1	А		

 $Copyright @ {\tt 2021} \ University \ of \ Florida, \ All \ Rights \ Reserved.$

HCS™ Streets Version 7.8.5

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

		1103	n olg	nanze	u m	leiset		vesu	ntə c	Jun	iiiiai	У					
Conoral Inform	nation								Intor	ooot	ion Inf		a 1.4. 1.1	* L			
General morn	lation	CMTrop							Duret	sect			- 1	44			
Agency		CMITAN		A a li	:- D-	ha A O	0.0004		Dura	uon,	n -	0.250		1		L	
Analyst			Analys	sis Da	te Apr 2	10, 2021		Area	туре	9	Other		- <u>-</u>		*		
Junsaiction	WVDOT			Ime Period PM Impr			vement	1 S	PHF			0.92		4 17 0	9 11 c		
Urban Street		W. Washington Stre	et	Analys	sis Yea	ar 2039			Analy	ysis I	Period	1> 7:0	00		5 10		
Intersection		W. Washington Stre	et &	File Na	ame	2039	PM Pea	ak w Ir	nprove	eme	nts.xus			h	41491	* (*	
Project Descrip	tion	WV 51 - West Wasl	hington	Street F	reet Feasibility Study												
Demand Inform	nation				EB	}		W	'B			NB		T	SB		
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	-	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Demand (v), v	eh/h			16	429	9 98	94	63	33	20	164	66	80	8	113	81	
Signal Informa	tion				7	211.								_			
Cycle, s	80.0	Reference Phase	2		Ë.	ê''' - 54	2							€ .	3	(† 1	
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	40.0) 30.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	_		K I			
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0			\rightarrow		512	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0) (0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8	
					_		_										
Timer Results				EBL	-	EBT	WB	L	WB	Т	NBI	-	NBT	SBI		SBT	
Assigned Phase	e					2	<u> </u>		6				8			4	
Case Number						6.0	<u> </u>						6.0			6.0	
Phase Duration	l, S					45.0	<u> </u>			45.0			35.0			35.0	
Change Period	, (Y+R)	c), S				5.0)			5.0			5.0	
Max Allow Head	dway(/	VAH), s				3.3				3.3		3.4				3.4	
Queue Clearance Time ($g s$), s					27.9			28.8	3			18.8			8.8		
Green Extension Time (g_e), s					3.3			3.2				1.1			1.3		
Phase Call Probability						1.00			1.00				1.00			1.00	
Max Out Proba	bility					0.19			0.22	2			0.02			0.00	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WE	3			NB			SB		
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	F	२	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	1	6	3	8	18	7	4	14	
Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		17	573		102	710)		178	159		9	211		
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	751	1810)	826	187	5		1189	1729		1247	1767		
Queue Service	Time (g	q s), S		1.5	18.5	;	8.3	24.4	1		10.0	5.1		0.4	6.8		
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time (g c), s		25.9	18.5	;	26.8	24.4	1		16.8	5.1		5.4	6.8		
Green Ratio (g	/C)			0.50	0.50)	0.50	0.50)		0.38	0.38		0.38	0.38		
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h			237	905		312	937	,		435	649		479	663		
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	atio (X)		0.073	0.63	3	0.328	0.75	7		0.410	0.245		0.018	0.318		
Back of Queue	(Q), ft/	/In (95 th percentile))	12.3	299.4	4	74.6	397.	9		124.9	88.7		5	121.6		
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/In (95 th percenti	ile)	0.5	11.8	;	2.9	15.8	3		5.0	3.5		0.2	4.9		
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	, tile)	0.25	0.45	5	1.15	1.24	1		2.50	0.34		0.13	0.45		
Uniform Delay (<i>d</i> 1), s/veh				26.5	14.6	;	24.4	16.1	1		23.7	17.2		19.1	17.7		
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh				0.0	1.1		0.2	3.2			0.2	0.1		0.0	0.1		
Initial Queue Delay (d ȝ), s/veh			0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/veh			26.6	15.7	·	24.7	19.3	3		23.9	17.3		19.1	17.8			
Level of Service (LOS)			С	В		С	В			С	В		В	В			
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				16.1		В	20.	0	В		20.8 C			17.9)	В	
Intersection De	lay, s/ve	eh / LOS				1	8.7							B			
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			WE	3		NB						
Pedestrian LOS	S Score	/ LOS		1.89)	В	1.8	9	В		1.91		В	1.91		В	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS			1.46	5	А	1.8	3	В		1.04		А	0.85	5	А		

Copyright © 2021 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS™ Streets Version 7.8.5

Appendix F

Signal Warrant Analysis and Turn Lane Calculations


2019 Signal Warrant - Rotated count data RTR

STUDY AND ANALYSIS INFORMA	TION	TRAFFIC SI	GNAL	WARRA	NT ANALYSIS FINDINGS	
Municipality: Traffic Volumes Obtained By:			Applicable?	Warrant Satisfied?	Notes and Comments:	
Charles Town	B & N	Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No		
District: Agency/ Company Name Performing Warrant Analysis:	CMTran	Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No		
Analysis Information		Warrant 3, Peak Hour	Yes	No	Signals installed under Warrant 3 should be traffic actuated.	Peak Hour 4:45 PM 5:45 PM
Data Collection Date: 6/12/2019						
Day of the Week: Wednesday		Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume	No		If this warrant is met, and a traffic control signal is justified by an engineering study, the traffic control signal shall be equipped with pedestrian signal heads complying with the provisions set forth in Chapter 4E of the MUTCD.	Peak Hour 4:45 PM 5:45 PM
Is the intersection in a built-up area of an isolated community	of <10,000 No	Warrant 5, School Crossing	No		N/A	
Existing Traffic Signal at intersection: No		Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System	No		(Shall not be used as the sole warrant in the and	alysis)
Total Number of Approaches at Intersection: 3		Warrant 7, Crash Experience	No		If this is the sole warrant, signal must be semi-actuated devices which provide proper coordination if install intersection within a coordinated system and normally traffic actuated if installed at an isolated interse	d with control led at an should be fully ection.
Major Street Information		Warrant 8, Roadway Network	No		(Shall not be used as the sole warrant in the and	alysis)
Major Street Name and Route Number: W. Washington Street/ML	K Jr. Boulevard	Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No		Figure 4C-9	
Major Street Approach Direction: E-Bound W-Bound		Multi-Way Stop Warrant	No		May be used as an interim measure if traffic signal w satisfied.	varrants are
Number of Thru Lanes on Each Major Street Approach: 1	LANE(S)	The satisfaction of a traffic sign	nal warran	t or warrants control	s shall not in itself require the installation signal.	of a traffic
Speed Limit or 85th Percentile Speed on the Major Street*: 25 *Unknown assumes below 45 mph	МРН					
Minor Street Information		I				
Minor Street Name and Route Number: W. Washington Street						
Minor Street Approach Configuration: 1 N-Bound S-Bound						
Number of Thru Lanes on Each Minor Street Approach:	D LANE(S)					
Apply Right Turn Lane Reduction*: Yes	. ,					
*Right Turn Lane Reduction Shall be used for Warrants 1, 2, & 3 for New		(Conclusion:	Do Not Insta	II New Traffic Signal	_
		Notes: 2019 Signal Warrant -	Assuming	traffic is distr	ibuted in order for the East/West legs to be	

MUTCD WARRANT 1, EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

Number of La on Ea	nes for Moving Traffic ach Approach
Major Street:	1 Lane
Minor Street:	1 Lane

Built up Isolated Community with Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 40 MPH on Major Street? No

*Only applicable after an adequate trial of other alternatives (See section 4C.02.06 of the 2012 MUTCD)

Lanes	Adju	sted		Condi	ition A			Condi	tion B				Co	mbina	tion A	/B*	-	
Major/	Volu	mes	40	0.00/	70	N0/	40	00/	70	0/	Con	d. A	Con	id. B	Con	id. A	Con	d. B
MINOr	Major	Minor	10 Mai	Min	/U Mai	Min	10 Mai	Min	70 Mai	Min	80 Mai	Min	80 Mai	Min	50 Mai	0% Min	50 Mai	0% Min
1/1	>	X	500	150	350	105	750	75	525	53	400	120	600	60	280	84	420	42
2+ / 1			600	150	420	105	900	75	630	53	480	120	720	60	336	84	504	42
2+ / 2+			600	200	420	140	900	100	630	70	480	160	720	80	336	112	504	56
1 / 2+			500	200	350	140	750	100	525	70	400	160	600	80	280	112	420	56
12:00 AM	70	2																
12:13 AM	57	3																
12:45 AM	56	2																
1:00 AM	50	2																
1:15 AM	41	2																
1:30 AM	37	1																
2:00 AM	36	2																
2:15 AM	45	2																
2:30 AM	52	3																
2:45 AM	61	4																
3:00 AM	103	4																
3:30 AM	137	12																
3:45 AM	172	15																
4:00 AM	210	18																
4:15 AM	238	21																
4:45 AM	304	21													1			
5:00 AM	325	25																
5:15 AM	360	24			1													
5:45 AM	398	27													1			
6:00 AM	450	31									1						1	
6:15 AM	476	40	1		1													
6:45 AM	590	55	Ľ					L	1	1			L		1	L		
7:00 AM	623	64									1		1	1			1	1
7:15 AM 7:30 AM	648 662	62	1		1													
7:45 AM	623	64							1	1					1			
8:00 AM	590	55			4						1						1	1
8:15 AM 8:30 AM	584 558	53	1		1													
8:45 AM	509	49													1			
9:00 AM	500	46			4						1						1	1
9:30 AM	470	50			1													
9:45 AM	521	51	1												1			
10:00 AM	532 556	50			1				1		1						1	1
10:30 AM	591	40																
10:45 AM	591	41	1												1			
11:00 AM 11:15 AM	609 624	39			1				1		1		1				1	
11:30 AM	646	43																
11:45 AM	657 670	46	1						1		1		1		1		1	1
12:00 PM	674	47			1				- 1		-						- 1	- 1
12:30 PM	667	43																
12:45 PM 1:00 PM	672 652	40	1						1		1		1		1		1	
1:15 PM	649	42			1													
1:30 PM	646	44	4															
1:45 PM 2:00 PM	637 637	46 44	1						1		1		1		1		1	1
2:15 PM	659	45			1													
2:30 PM	687	45 45	1												1			
3:00 PM	741	48							1		1		1				1	1
3:15 PM	806	46			1		1											
3:30 PM 3:45 PM	845 906	45 42	1												1			
4:00 PM	972	41							1		1		1				1	
4:15 PM	986 1032	47			1		1											
4:45 PM	1032	59	1					L					L		1	L		
5:00 PM	1030	56							1	1	1		1				1	1
5:15 PM 5:30 PM	982 899	50 45			1		1											
5:45 PM	813	41	1												1			
6:00 PM	763	44			4				1		1		1				1	1
6:30 PM	662	42																<u> </u>
6:45 PM	674	44	1												1			
7:00 PM	630	39	<u> </u>		1				1		1		1				1	
7:30 PM	627	29																
7:45 PM	555	23	1												1			
8:00 PM 8:15 PM	524 479	19 17			1						1						1	
8:30 PM	426	21			Ė													
8:45 PM	404	16													1			
9:00 PM 9:15 PM	368 317	16 14																
9:30 PM	277	8																
9:45 PM	237	9	14	0	16	0	2	0	12	2	15	0	10	1	17	0	15	0
WARRANTS	ATISEIE	-D2	N	0	N	/A	N	0	N	/Δ	13	N	0	_	17		0	3

Warrant Met: No

Notes:

Warrant 1

Page F3 of 28



MUTC		NT 3, PEAK HOUR			Но	our Vehicular	Volume		Actual	Actual	Required Reak Hour	Required
Number of Lanes for Moving Tra Approach	affic on Each	Peak Hour Start time	4:45 PM	Hour Interval	Major Street Combined	Highest Minor Street	Sum of Major Street and	Sum of Major Street and	Peak Hour Major	Hour Minor	Minor Traffic	Minor
Major Street: 1 Lane Minor Street: 1 Lane		Peak Hour End Time	5:45 PM	Beginning At	Vehicles Per Hour (VPH)	Vehicles Per Hour (VPH)	Highest Minor Street	Combined Minor Street	Volume	Traffic Volume	Volume for Fig. 4C-3	Volume for Fig. 4C-4
				6:00 AM	450	31	481	481	1033	59	156.01671	84.383806
	Built up Isolat	ted Community with Less Than 10,000	No	6:15 AM	476	40	516	516	I			
	Populati	ion or Above 40 MPH on Major Street?	2	6:30 AM	515	45	560	560	I			
lo this signal warrant being applied	for an unusual acco	auch as office complexes manufacturing		6:45 AM	590	55	645	645	I			
plants, industrial complexes, or h	igh-occupancy vehi	icle facilities that attract or discharge large	No.	7:00 AM	648	62	710	710	I			
F, F,		numbers of vehicles over a short time?	?	7:30 AM	662	62	724	724	I			
				7:45 AM	623	64	687	687	I			
Indicate whether all three	of the followir	ng conditions for the same 1 ho	our (any four	8:00 AM	590	55	645	645	I			
consecutive 15	-minute period	s) of an average day are presen	nt*	8:15 AM 8:30 AM	584 558	53	608	637	I			
Does the total stopped time delay ex	perienced by the tra	ffic on one minor-street approach (one		8:45 AM	509	49	558	558	I			
direction only) controlled by a STOP sign	n equal or exceed 4	vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach or 5	5	9:00 AM	500	46	546	546	I			
vehicle-n	iours for a two-lane	approacn?		9:15 AM	476	47	523	523	I			
Does the volume on the same minor-stre	eet approach (one di	irection only) equal or exceed 100 vehicles	No	9:45 AM	521	51	572	572	I			
per nour for one moving lane of	traffic or 150 venicle	es per nour for two moving lanes?		10:00 AM	532	50	582	582	I			
Does the total entering volume service	ed during the hour e	qual or exceed 650 vehicles per hour for	Voc	10:15 AM	556	48	604	604	I			
intersection with three approaches t	approaches?	our for intersections with four or more	165	10:45 AM	591	41	632	632	I			
*If applicable	e, attach all supporti	ing calculations and documentation.		11:00 AM	609	39	648	648	I			
	٨٠٠	the requirements for Warrent 2 met?	Ne	11:15 AM	624	41	665	665	I			
	Are	the requirements for warrant 5 met ?:		11:30 AM	646	43	689 703	689 703	I			
1200	Figure 4C-3	3. Warrant 3 Peak Hour		12:00 PM	670	40	717	717	I			
9			e r 8 1 Jano major	12:15 PM	674	46	720	720	I			
1000 [2+ lanes & 2+ l	lanes	12:30 PM	667	43	710	710	I			
\$ 5 800 ⊨		2+ lanes major Peak Hour	r & 1 lane minor	1:00 PM	652	40	692	692	I			
				1:15 PM	649	42	691	691	I			
j ≝ g 600 E				1:30 PM	646	44	690	690	I			
				2:00 PM	637	40	681	681	I			
Ap				2:15 PM	659	45	704	704	I			
200 E				2:30 PM 2:45 PM	687	45	732	732	I			
				3:00 PM	741	48	789	789	I			
0 500	1000	0 1500 2000	2500	3:15 PM	806	46	852	852	I			
	Maior Street - Tot	al of Both Approaches - vph	2000	3:45 PM	845 906	45	948	890 948	I			
	Warrant 2	Poak Hour (70% Easter)		4:00 PM	972	41	1013	1013	I			
COMMUNITY LES	SS THAN 10,000 POF	PULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR	STREET)	4:15 PM	986	47	1033	1033	I			
<u>a</u> 700		1 Jane & 1 Jane	1 1	4:45 PM	1032	59	1080	1080	I			
รู 600 -		2+ lanes & 1 lane	-	5:00 PM	1030	56	1086	1086	I			
1 1 1 500 E		2+ lanes & 2+ lanes winor &	1 lane major -	5:15 PM	982	50 45	1032 944	1032 944	I			
5 400		Peak Hour		5:45 PM	813	40	854	854	I			
				6:00 PM	763	44	807	807	I			
iii joo				6:30 PM	662	42	742	742	I			
1 in 200				6:45 PM	674	44	718	718	1			
후 100				7:00 PM	630	39	669	669	I			
0 ++				7:30 PM	627	29	656	656	I			
300 400 500 60	0 700 800 900	$1000^{1}100^{1}200^{1}300^{1}400^{1}500^{1}600^{1}7$	00180019002000	7:45 PM	555	23	578	578	1			
		Major Street	-0 -0 -0 -0	8:00 PM	524	19	543	543				
	Total o	of Both Approaches - vph		J								

2019 Volumes

Otert Time		Southb S	ound Appound	proach d			Westbound Ap Westbour	proach nd			Northbo	ound Approach	1		East	tbound Appro Eastbound	bach		NOTEO
Start Time	Right	Thru Le	ft U-1	Turn Peds	Арр	Right Thru	Left U	-Turn Peds	App	Right TI	nru Lef	t U-Turn	Peds A	pp Rigi	nt Thru	Left U-Turi	n Peds	App	NOTES:
12:00 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 11	3	0	10tel 14	1	0 0	0	10		6	0 0		10tal 6	It should be noted that if data is
12:15 AM 12:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 12	1	0	13 12	2	0 0	0		2 0	5	0 0		5	copied overtop of the Hourly
12:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 11	2	0	13	1	0 0	0		0	5	0 0		5	Totals or Approach Totals, that the 'AutoSum' Formula will be
Hourly Total 1:00 AM	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 43	9	0 0	52 6	5	0 <u>0</u>	0	0 8		<u>18</u> 6	0 0	0	18 6	lost. This should not affect the
1:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 8	2	0	10	3	0 0	0		3 0	3	0 0		3	actual totals if the data was copied from a program that
1:30 AM 1:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 6	2	0	7	0	0 0	0	(5	0 0		5 5	performs the calculations for the
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 26	5	0 0	31	4	0 0	0	0 4		19	0 0	0	19	user.
2:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 2	0	0	2	1	0 0	0			7	0 0		7	
2:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 4	0	0	4	0	0 0	0		0 0	9	0 0		9	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 12	2	0 0	14	4	0 0	0	0 4		22	0 0	0	22	
3:00 AM 3:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	0	0	0	2	0 <u>0</u>	0			12 13	0 0		12 13	
3:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 1	2	0	3	4	0 0	0	4	۱ <u> </u>	19	0 0		19	
3:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 5	5	0 0	13	9	0 0	0	0 9		68	0 0	0	68	
4:00 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 2	3	0	5	9	0 0	0		0	29	0 0		29	
4:15 AM 4:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 7	3	0	6	15	0 0	0	1	0 0	40 51	0 0		40 51	
4:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 7	2	0	9	11	0 0	0	1	1 0	60	0 0		60	
5:00 AM	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 21	9 4	0 0	30	45 14	0 <u>0</u> 01	0	0 4	5 0	180 54	0 0	0	180 54	
5:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 14	9	0	23	11	0 1	0	1	2 0	66	0 0		66	
5:30 AM 5:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 9	5	0	12	15	0 0	0	1	5 <u>0</u> 7 0	72	0 0		72	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 37	21	0 0	58	57	0 2	0	0 5	9 0	267	0 0	0	267	
6:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 24	3	0	25	21	0 0	0	2	1 0	71	0 0		71	
6:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 17	8	0	25	15	0 1	0	1	6 0	90	0 0		90 101	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 92	29	0 0	121	74	0 1	0	0 7	5 0	329	0 0	0	329	
7:00 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 23	16	0	39	36	0 0	0	3	6 0	84	0 0		84 100	
7:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 57	14	0	71	28	0 0	0	2	8 1	118	0 0		119	
7:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 40	14 54	0	54 199	44	0 0	0	4	4 1	120	0 0	0	121	
8:00 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 33	19	0	52	29	0 1	0	3	0 0	96	0 0		96	
8:15 AM 8:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 38	18 14	0	56 55	34		0	3	4 1	92 96	0 0		93 96	
8:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 43	14	0	57	39	0 0	0	3	9 0	85	0 0		85	
Hourly Total 9:00 AM	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 155	65 14	0 0	220 57	134 23	0 1 0 1	0	0 10	85 1 4 0	369 85	0 0	0	370 85	
9:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 36	15	0	51	28	0 0	0	2	8 2	70	0 0		72	
9:30 AM 9:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 45	8	0	53 70	28	0 0	0	2	8 0	49 63	0 0		49 63	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 170	61	0 0	231	109	0 2	Ő	0 1	1 2	267	0 0	0	269	
10:00 AM 10:15 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 45	17	0	62	26	0 1	0	2	7 1	55	0 0	<u> </u>	56 56	
10:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 56	20	0	76	27	0 1	0	2	8 0	77	0 0		77	
10:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 53	21	0 0	74 273	30	00	0	0 12	0 0	70 258	0 0	0	259	
11:00 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 60	25	0	85	24	0 0	0	2	4 0	57	0 0		57	
11:15 AM 11:30 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 54	26	0	80 92	29	00	0	1	8 0	72 61	0 0		72 61	
11:45 AM	0	0 0		0	0	0 67	24	0	91	25	0 0	0	2	5 0	71	0 0		71	
Hourly Total 12:00 PM	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 241 0 57	25	0 0	348 82	26	0 <u>0</u> 01	0	0 9	6 0 7 1	261 74	0 0	0	261 75	1
12:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 75	28	0	103	25	0 0	0	2	5 0	71	0 0		71	
12:30 PM 12:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 62	28	0	90 84	27	0 1 0 0	0	2	4 0 7 1	74 90	0 0		74 91	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 250	109	0 0	359	111	0 2	0	0 1	3 2	309	0 0	0	311	
1:00 PM 1:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 63	45	0	108	18	0 0	0	2	6 1 8 0	52	0 0		53 50	
1:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 68	25	0	93	25	0 1	0	2	6 0	76	0 0		76	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 274	134	1 0	409	97	0 0	0	0 9	8 1	242	0 0	0	243	l
2:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 77	21	0	98	30	0 0	0	3	0 1	59	0 0		60	
2:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 88	22	0	110	31	0 0	0	3	1 1	49	0 0		50	
2:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 71	30	0	101	25	0 0	0	2	5 1	53	0 0	0	54	
3:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 96	29	0	125	29	0 1	0	3	0 0	55	0 0	U	55	
3:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 93	33	0	126	25	0 0	0	2	5 0	66 58	0 0		66 58	
3:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 78	34	0	112	30	0 1	0	3	1 0	65	0 0		65	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 369	128	0 0	497	115	0 2	0	0 1'	6 1	244	0 0	0	244	
4:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 113	33	0	146	21	0 0	0	2	1 0	85	0 0		85	
4:30 PM 4:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 137	49 38	0	186 177	25	0 0	0	2	5 0 0 1	67	0 0		67 66	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 520	174	0 0	694	102	0 0	0	0 10	02 2	276	0 0	0	278	
5:00 PM 5:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 152	37 39	0	189 198	38	U 1 0 1	0	3	9 <u>0</u> 7 0	70 79	0 0		70 79	
5:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 129	44	0	173	34	0 1	0	3	5 1	80	0 0		81	
5:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 108	47	0 0	155 715	132	0 0	0	0 10	4 1 35 2	84 313	0 0	0	85 315	
6:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 99	45	0	144	24	0 0	0	2	4 2	65	0 0		67	
6:15 PM 6:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 99	34 26	0	105	24 26	0 1	0	2	6 0	63	0 0		61 63	
6:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 93	33	0	126	29	0 1	0	3	0 1	63	0 0		64	
Total 7:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 370	138 34	0 0	508 111	20	0 2	0	0 10	0 0	251	0 0	0	205 37	
7:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 74	44	0	118	24	0 1	0	2	5 1	37	0 0		38	
7:30 PM 7:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 96	32	0	96	17	0 2 0 1	0	2	8 0	52	0 0		52 50	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 312	141	0 0	453	86	0 4	0	0 9	0 1	176	0 0	0	177	
8:00 PM 8:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 72	25	0	102	7	0 0	0	1	7 0	40	0 0		40 48	
8:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 42	25	0	67	13	0 1	0	1	4 0	41	0 0		41	
8:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 55	105	0 0	351	44	0 0	0	0 4	5 0	173	0 0	0	173	
9:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 55	27	0	82	10	0 0	0	1	0 0	21	0 0		21	
9:15 PM 9:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 55	19	0	72	3	0 0	0	1	3 0	14	0 0		20 14	
9:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 38	18	0	56	9	0 0	0		0	23	0 0	0	23	
10:00 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 202	8	0	33	6	0 0	0	0 3		19	0 0	U	19	
10:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 29	8	0	37	2	0 0	0	2	2 0	23	0 0		23	
10:30 PM 10:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 29	6	0	24	6	0 0	0		0	5	0 0		5	
Hourly Total	0	0 0		0 0	0	0 101	30	0 0	131	18	0 0	0	0 1	8 0	56	0 0	0	56	
11:15 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 24	7	0	34	3	0 0	0		3 0	7	0 0		7	
11:30 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 18	1	0	19	1	0 0	0		0	9	0 0		9	
11:45 PM	0	0 0		0	0	0 15	6	0	21	-	0 0	0		0	6	0 0		0	

Page F6 of 28

2039 Signal Warrant - Rotated count data RTR

STUDY AND ANALYSIS INFORMATION		TRAFFIC SI	GNAL	WARRA	ANT ANALYSIS FINDINGS	
Municipality: Traffic Volumes Obtained By:		1	Applicable?	Warrant Satisfied?	Notes and Comments:	
Charles I own	B & N	Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No		
District: Agency/ Company Name Performing Warrant Analysis:	CMTran	Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No		
Analysis Information		Warrant 3, Peak Hour	Yes	No	Signals installed under Warrant 3 should be traffic actuated.	Peak Hour 5:00 PM
Data Collection Date: 6/12/2019						0:00 PIVI
Day of the Week: Wednesday		Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume	No		If this warrant is met, and a traffic control signal is justified by an engineering study, the traffic control signal shall be equipped with pedestrian signal heads complying with the provisions set forth in Chapter 4E of the MUTCD.	Peak Hour 4:30 PM 5:30 PM
Is the intersection in a built-up area of an isolated community of <10,000	No	Warrant 5, School Crossing	No		N/A	
Existing Traffic Signal at intersection: No		Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System	No		(Shall not be used as the sole warrant in the an	alysis)
Total Number of Approaches at Intersection: 3		Warrant 7, Crash Experience	No		If this is the sole warrant, signal must be semi-actuate devices which provide proper coordination if instal intersection within a coordinated system and normally traffic actuated if installed at an isolated interse	d with control led at an should be fully ection.
Major Street Information		Warrant 8, Roadway Network	No		(Shall not be used as the sole warrant in the an	alysis)
Major Street Name and Route Number: W. Washington Street/MLK Jr. Boulev	vard	Warrant 9, Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No		Figure 4C-9	
Major Street Approach Direction: E-Bound W-Bound		Multi-Way Stop Warrant	No		May be used as an interim measure if traffic signal w satisfied.	varrants are
Number of Thru Lanes on Each Major Street Approach: 1 LANE(S)		The satisfaction of a traffic sig	nal warran	t or warrant control	s shall not in itself require the installation signal.	of a traffic
Speed Limit or 85th Percentile Speed on the Major Street*: 25 *Unknown assumes below 45 mph						
Minor Street Information		I				
Minor Street Name and Route Number: W. Washington Street						
Minor Street Approach Configuration: 1 N-Bound S-Bound						
1 2 3 4 5 Number of Thru Lanes on Each Minor Street Approach: 1 LANE(S)						
Apply Right Turn Lane Reduction*: Yes *Right Turn Lane Reduction Shall be used for Warrants 1, 2, & 3 for New				_		
		(- Notes: 2039 Signal Warrant	Conclusion: Assumina	Do Not Insta traffic is distr	all New Traffic Signal ibuted in order for the East/West leas to be	1
		the mainline and the N	lorthbound	approach to	be the side street and right turn reductions.	

MUTCD WARRANT 1, EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

Number of La	nes for Moving Traffic											
on Each Approach												
Major Street:	1 Lane											
Minor Street:	1 Lane											

Built up Isolated Community with Less Than 10,000 Population or Above 40 MPH on Major Street? No

*Only applicable after an adequate trial of other alternatives (See section 4C.02.06 of the 2012 MUTCD)

Lanes	Adju	sted		Condi	ition A			Condi	tion B				Co	mbina	tion A	/B*	-	
Major/	Volu	imes	40	0.00/	70	N0/	40	00/	70	0/	Con	d. A	Con	id. B	Con	id. A	Con	d. B
WIITOr	Major	Minor	10 Mai	Min	/U Mai	Min	10 Mai	Min	/U Mai	Min	80 Mai	Min	80 Mai	Min	5t Mai	0% Min	50 Mai	0% Min
1/1)	X	500	150	350	105	750	75	525	53	400	120	600	60	280	84	420	42
2+ / 1			600	150	420	105	900	75	630	53	480	120	720	60	336	84	504	42
2+ / 2+			600	200	420	140	900	100	630	70	480	160	720	80	336	112	504	56
1 / 2+			500	200	350	140	750	100	525	70	400	160	600	80	280	112	420	56
12:00 AM	93	3																
12:15 AM	83	3																
12:30 AM	70	3																
1:00 AM	67	2																
1:15 AM	55	2																
1:30 AM	50	1																
1:45 AM	49	1																
2:00 AM 2:15 AM	48	2																
2:30 AM	69	4																
2:45 AM	81	6																
3:00 AM	107	5																
3:15 AM	136	9																
3:30 AM	180	16																
4:00 AM	275	23																
4:15 AM	310	27													1			
4:30 AM	361	26			1													
4:45 AM	396	28	<u> </u>															
5:00 AM 5:15 AM	424 470	32									1				1		1	
5:30 AM	480	34			1										Ė			
5:45 AM	519	35	1															
6:00 AM	587 622	44							1		1		1		1		1	1
6:30 AM	672	64	L	L	1	L	L		L				Ľ	L	Ľ			
6:45 AM	770	78	1				1	1										
7:00 AM	812	90 87							1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
7:30 AM	862	87			1									1				
7:45 AM	811	90	1				1	1										
8:00 AM	768	78							1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1
8:30 AM	700	73			1									1				
8:45 AM	664	62	1															
9:00 AM	652	58							1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1
9:30 AM	613	63			1									-				
9:45 AM	679	63	1															
10:00 AM	693	62							1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1
10:15 AM	724	52			1		1							-				
10:45 AM	770	52	1															
11:00 AM	794	50							1		1		1		4		1	1
11:30 AM	844	55			1		1											
11:45 AM	859	58	1															
12:00 PM	876	66							1	1	1		1		4		1	1
12:13 PM	870	54			1		1						-		-			
12:45 PM	876	50	1															
1:00 PM	850	51							1		1		4		4		1	1
1:30 PM	843	56			1		1						-		-			
1:45 PM	829	58	1															
2:00 PM	829	56							1	1	1		4		4		1	1
2:15 PM 2:30 PM	896	57 57			1		1						1		1			
2:45 PM	938	57	1															
3:00 PM	967	61							1	1	1		-				1	1
3:30 PM	1102	58 56			1		1											
3:45 PM	1181	53	1															
4:00 PM	1267	52	<u> </u>						1		1		4		4		1	1
4:15 PM 4:30 PM	1285	59 68			1		1											
4:45 PM	1345	73	1															
5:00 PM	1341	79							1	1	1		-				1	1
5:30 PM	1171	57			1		1											
5:45 PM	1060	52	1															
6:00 PM	994	55							1	1	1						1	1
6:15 PM 6:30 PM	910 861	53			1		1						1		1			
6:45 PM	877	55	1															
7:00 PM	820	49							1		1						1	1
7:15 PM 7:30 PM	821 818	47			1		1						1		1			
7:45 PM	724	29	1		Ľ		Ľ	L	L	L			L	L	L	L		
8:00 PM	685	24							1		1		<u> </u>				1	
8:15 PM 8:30 PM	626 556	21			1								1		1			
8:45 PM	527	20	1					L	L	L			L	L	L	L		
9:00 PM	479	20									1						1	
9:15 PM	413	18			1										1			
9:45 PM	309	11	L	L		L		L		L		L	L		L	L		
HOURS MET			16	0	18	0	12	2	15	9	17	0	15	5	18	1	17	14
WARRANT S	ATISFIE	-D?	N	0	N	/A	N	0	N	/Δ		N	0			Y	ES	

Warrant Met: No

Notes:

Warrant 1

Page F8 of 28



MU.	TCD WARRA	NT 3, PEAK HOUR			Но	our Vehicular	Volume		Actual	Actual Peak	Required Reak Hour	Required Peak Hour
Number of Lanes for Moving Approach	Traffic on Each	Peak Hour Start time	5:00 PM	Hour	Major Street Combined	Highest Minor Street	Sum of Major Street and	Sum of Major Street and	Peak Hour Major	Hour	Minor	Minor
Major Street: 1 Lane		Book Hour End Timo	6:00 PM	Beginning	Vehicles Per	Approach Vehicles Per	Highest Minor	Combined	Traffic	Traffic	Volume for	Volume for
Minor Street: 1 Lane		Feat Hour Life Time	0.00 F W	At	Hour (VPH)	Hour (VPH)	Street	Minor Street	volume	Volume	Fig. 4C-3	Fig. 4C-4
_				6:00 AM	587	44	631	631	1341	79	100	75
	Built up Isola Bopulat	ited Community with Less Than 10,00	No No	6:15 AM	622	57	679	679				
L	Fopulat			6:30 AM	770	78	848	848				
Is this signal warrant being appli	ed for an unusual case	e, such as office complexes, manufacturir	g	7:00 AM	812	90	902	902				
plants, industrial complexes, o	or high-occupancy veh	icle facilities that attract or discharge larg	ge No	7:15 AM	844	87	931	931				
		humbers of vehicles over a short time	11	7:30 AM 7:45 AM	862 811	87 90	949	949				
Indicate whether all thr	ee of the followi	ng conditions for the same 1 h	our (any four	8:00 AM	768	78	846	846				
consecutive	15-minute period	is) of an average day are prese	ent*	8:15 AM	760	75	835	835				
Does the total stopped time delay	experienced by the tra	affic on one minor-street approach (one		8:45 AM	664	62	799	799 726				
direction only) controlled by a STOP	sign equal or exceed 4	vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach or	5	9:00 AM	652	58	710	710				
vehicl	e-nours for a two-lane	approacn?		9:15 AM 9:30 AM	621 613	60 63	681 676	681 676				
Does the volume on the same minor-s	street approach (one d	irection only) equal or exceed 100 vehicle as per hour for two moving lanes?	No	9:45 AM	679	63	742	742				
Does the total entering volume serv	viced during the hour e	es per neur ler two moving lance.		10:00 AM	693	62	755	755				
intersection with three approache	es or 800 vehicles per h	nour for intersections with four or more	Yes	10:30 AM	724	52	821	821				
* 17 1'	approaches?			10:45 AM	770	52	822	822				
Tit applica	ible, attach all suppor	ting calculations and documentation.		11:00 AM 11:15 AM	794 814	50 51	844	844 865				
	Are	e the requirements for Warrant 3 met	?: No	11:30 AM	844	55	899	899				
	Figure 4C-3	3. Warrant 3 Peak Hour		11:45 AM	859 876	58	917	917				
		1 lane & 1 lar	ne	12:15 PM	881	58	939	939				
j 1000 - E		2+ lanes min 2+ lanes & 2·	or & 1 lane major _ + lanes	12:30 PM	870	54	924	924				
2 5 800		2+ lanes maj	or & 1 lane minor	12.45 PM	876	50	926	926				
Here and the second sec				1:15 PM	845	53	898	898				
j≝g 600				1:30 PM 1:45 PM	841 829	56 58	897 887	897 887				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				2:00 PM	829	56	885	885				
<i>₩</i> ⁴ 200				2:15 PM 2:30 PM	859 896	57 57	916 953	916 953				
				2:45 PM	938	57	995	995				
				3:00 PM 3:15 PM	967 1051	61 58	1028	1028				
0 50	00 100	0 1500 200	U 2500	3:30 PM	1102	56	1158	1158				
	Major Street - To	tal of Both Approaches - vph		3:45 PM 4:00 PM	1181 1267	53 52	1234 1319	1234 1319				
(COMMUNITY)	Warrant 3 LESS THAN 10 000 PO	Peak Hour (70% Factor)		4:15 PM	1285	59	1344	1344				
토 700 E				4:30 PM 4:45 PM	1345	68 73	1413	1413 1418				
ਤੂ 600 -		2+ lanes & 1 lar	ie –	5:00 PM	1341	79	1420	1420				
ta 2 500		2+ lanes & 2+ la 2+ lanes minor	ines & 1 Iane major –	5:15 PM 5:30 PM	1280	70 57	1350 1228	1350 1228				
400 400		Peak Hour		5:45 PM	1060	52	1112	1112				
300				6:00 PM 6:15 PM	994 910	55	1049 963	1049 963				
≥ 00				6:30 PM	861	53	914	914				
100 H				6:45 PM 7:00 PM	877 820	55 49	932 869	932 869				
Ť				7:15 PM	821	47	868	868				
300 400 500	600 700 800 900	100 110 120 120 140 150 160 1	720 180 100 200	7:30 PM 7:45 PM	818 724	37 29	855 753	855 753				
		Maior Street	100.000,300-000	8:00 PM	685	24	709	709				
	Total	of Both Approaches - vph										

2019 Raw Count Data

		So	uthbound	d Approac	ch			We	stbound	d Approac	ch			Nort	hbound Appro	bach				Eastboun	d Approad	ch		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Арр	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	App	Right	Thru	Left U-Tur	n Peds	App	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Арр	NOTES:
12:00 AM	1					otal 0	,	11	3			l otal 14	1		0		l otal 1	0	6				l otal 6	It should be noted that if data is
12:15 AM 12:30 AM						0 0		12 9	1			13 12	2		0		- 2 1	0	5				5 2	copied overtop of the Hourly
12:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	0		13	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	5	the 'AutoSum' Formula will be
1:00 AM	l Č	0	0	0		0	0	6	0	Ŭ		6	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	Ŭ		6	lost. This should not affect the actual totals if the data was
1:15 AM 1:30 AM	1					0		6	2			10 8	0		0		0	0	3 5				3 5	copied from a program that
1:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 26	1 5	0	0	7 31	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	5 19	0	0	0	5 19	user.
2:00 AM	1					0		3	0			3	0		0		- 0	0	0				0 7	
2:30 AM						0		4	0			4	0		0			0	9				9	
2:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 12	2	0	0	5 14	3	0	0 0	0	3 4	0	22	0	0	0	6 22	
3:00 AM 3:15 AM	1				<u> </u>	0 0		2.00%	0.00%		<u> </u>	0.02 3	1		0		- 1 2	0	12				12 13	
3:30 AM	1					0		1	2			3	4		0		4	0	19 24				19 24	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8.02	5	0	0	13.02	9	0	0 0	0	9	0	68	0	0	0	68	
4:00 AM 4:15 AM	1					0		7	3			5 10	9 15		0		15	0	29 40				29 40	
4:30 AM 4:45 AM	1				<u> </u>	0 0		5	1			6 9	10 11		0		- 10 - 11	0	51 60				51 60	
Hourly Total 5:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21 4	9 4	0	0	30 8	45	0	0 0	0	45 15	0	180 54	0	0	0	180 54	
5:15 AM						0		14	9			23	11		1		12	0	66				66	
5:30 AM 5:45 AM	1					0		9 10	5			12	15		0		- 15 - 17	0	75				72	
Hourly Total 6:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37 24	21 6	0	0	58 30	57	0	2 0	0	59 13	0	267 67	0	0	0	267 67	
6:15 AM 6:30 AM	1					0		22 17	3			25 25	21 15		0		21	0	71 90				71 90	
6:45 AM	0	0	0	0		0	0	29	12	0	0	41	25	0	0	0	25	0	101	0	0		101	
7:00 AM	1	U	U	U	0	0	U	23	16	U	U	39	36	U	0	U	36	0	84	U	U	0	84	
7:15 AM 7:30 AM	1					0		25 57	10 14			35 71	33 28		0		33 28	0	100 118				100 119	
7:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40 145	14 54	0	0	54 199	44 141	0	0 0	0	44 141	1	120 422	0	0	0	121 424	
8:00 AM			-			0	-	33	19	-		52	29		1	J. J	30	0	96				96 93	
8:30 AM						0		41	14			55	32		0		32	0	92				95 96	
8:45 AM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43 155	14 65	0	0	57 220	39 134	0	0 1 0	0	39 135	0	85 369	0	0	0	85 370	
9:00 AM 9:15 AM						0 0		43 36	14 15			57 51	23 28		1		- 24 28	0	85 70				85 72	
9:30 AM	1					0		45	8			53	28		0		28	0	49				49	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	170	61	0	0	231	109	0	2 0	0	111	2	267	0	0	0	269	
10:00 AM 10:15 AM	1					0 0		45 46	17 15			62 61	26 35		0		- 27 35	1	55 56				56 56	
10:30 AM 10:45 AM	1					0		56 53	20 21			76 74	27		1		28 30	0	77				77 70	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	73	0	0	273	118	0	2 0	0	120	1	258	0	0	0	259	
11:15 AM						0		54	25			80	18		0		18	0	72				72	
11:30 AM 11:45 AM						0		60 67	32 24			92 91	29		0		- 29 25	0	61 71				61 71	
Hourly Total 12:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	241 57	107 25	0	0	348 82	96 26	0	0 0	0	96 27	0	261 74	0	0	0	261 75	
12:15 PM	1					0		75	28			103	25		0		25 34	0	71 74				71 74	
12:45 PM		0	0	0		0	0	56	28	0		84	27	0	0	0	27	1	90	0	0		91	
Houriy Total 1:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250 63	109 45	0	0	108	26	U	2 0	0	26	2	309 52	0	0	0	53	
1:15 PM 1:30 PM	1					0 0		77 68	40 25			117 93	18 25		0		- 18 - 26	0	50 76				50 76	
1:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66 274	24 134	1	0	91 409	28 97	0	0	0	28	0	64 242	0	0	0	64 243	
2:00 PM						0		77	21			98 107	30		0		30	1	59 56				60 57	
2:30 PM						0		88	22			110	31		0		31	1	49				50	
2:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	312	30 104	0	0	101 416	25 110	0	0 0	0	25 110	4	53 217	0	0	0	54 221	
3:00 PM 3:15 PM	1					0 0		96 93	29 33			125 126	29 25		0		- 30 25	0	55 66				55 66	
3:30 PM 3:45 PM	1					0		102 78	32 34			134 112	31 30		0		31 31	0	58 65				58 65	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	369	128	0	0	497	115	0	2 0	0	117	0	244	0	0	0	244	
4:00 PM 4:15 PM						0		113	33			146	20		0		20	0	59 85				85	
4:30 PM 4:45 PM						0		137	49 38			186 177	25 30		0		25 30	0	67 65				67 66	
Hourly Total 5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	520 152	174 37	0	0	694 189	102 38	0	0 0	0	102 39	2	276 70	0	0	0	278 70	
5:15 PM	1					0		159	39 44			198 173	36		1		37	0	79 80				79 81	
5:45 PM				<u>^</u>		0		108	47			155	24	<u>,</u>	0	<u>,</u>	24	1	84				85	
6:00 PM	1	0	U	U	0	0	0	99	45	U	0	144	24	U	<u> </u>	U	24	2	65	0	U	0	67	
6:15 PM 6:30 PM	1				<u> </u>	0 0		99 79	34 26		<u> </u>	133 105	24		1 0		- 25 26	1	60 63				61 63	
6:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	93 370	33 138	0	0	126 508	29 103	0	1 2 0	0	30 105	1	63 251	0	0	0	64 255	
7:00 PM	Ľ		•	0		0	-	77	34	Ŭ		111	20	Ū	0	0	20	0	37	0			37	
7:15 PM 7:30 PM	1					0		96	32			128	24		2		25	0	52				38 52	
7:45 PM Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65 312	31 141	0	0	96 453	17 86	0	1 4 0	0	18 90	0	50 176	0	0	0	50 177	
8:00 PM 8:15 PM						0 0		77 72	25 33			102 105	15 7		0		15 7	0	46 48				46 48	
8:30 PM						0		42	25			67 77	13		1		14	0	41				41 38	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	246	105	0	0	351	44	0	1 0	0	45	0	173	0	0	0	173	
9:00 PM 9:15 PM						0		55 56	27			82 80	10		1		10	0	21 20				21 20	
9:30 PM 9:45 PM						0 0		53 38	19 18			72 56	3		0		3 9	0	14 23				14 23	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	202	88 8	0	0	290	36	0	1 0	0	37	0	78 19	0	0	0	78 19	
10:15 PM						0		29	8			37	2		0		2	0	23				23	
10:30 PM 10:45 PM						0		29 18	8			37 24	4		0		- 4	0	9				9 5	
Hourly Total 11:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101 24	30 10	0	0	131 34	18	0	0 0	0	18 2	0	56 10	0	0	0	56 10	
11:15 PM	1					0		24	7			31 19	3		0		3	0	7				7	
11:45 PM	1	0	0	0		0	0	15	6	0	0	21	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	6	

Page F11 of 28

Count Data Grown

	Saut	thhound		1	1	1	1.52	38%		1	1		1.363	32%		1	1		1.52	238%	
Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn			Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn			Right	Thru L	Left	U-Turn			Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn
0:00	0	0 0	0 0			0	14	4				1	0	0	0		<u> </u>	0	8	0	(
12:30	0	0 0		0		0	10	4		, ,		1	0	0	0			0	3	0	(
12:45	0	0 (0 0)		0	14	3	i C			1	0	0	0			0	7	0	(
1:00	0	0 0	0 0)		0	8	0	0 0)		1	0	0	0			0	8	0	(
1:15	0	0 (0 0	0		0	10	3	0			4	0	0	0			0	0 4	0	(
1:30	0	0 0)		0	8	3				0	0	0	0			0	0 7	0	(
1.40	0	0 (<u> </u>											0					, ,		
2:00	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	4	0	0 0)		0	0	0	0			0	0 0	0	(
2:15	0	0 0)		0	3	0				1	0	0	0			0	9 9	0	(
2:45	0	0 0	0 0)		0	4	3	C)		4	0	0	0			0	8	0	(
2:00	0	0				0	0	0				1	0	0	0			0	16	0	
3:15	0	0 0)		0	3	1				3	0	0	0			0	10 17	0	-
3:30	0	0 () ()		0	1	3	C C)		5	0	0	0			0	25	0	
3:45	0	0 0) ()		0	7	3	C)		3	0	0	0			0	31	0	
4:00	0	0 0	0 0)		0	3	4	. C)		11	0	0	0			0	38	0	
4:15	0	0 () ()		0	9	4	. C)		19	0	0	0			0	52	0	
4:30	0	0 0)		0	7	1				13	0	0	0			0	67	0	
4.45	0			, 		0		5				17	Ū	0	0				10		
5:00	0	0 () ()		0	5	5	0)		18	0	1	0			0	70	0	
5:15	0	0 0)		0	18	12				14	0	1	0			0	86	0	
5:45	0	0 0)		0	13	7)		22	0	0	0			0	98	0	
0.00																			-		
6:00	0	U (y (л Л		0	31	8				17	0	0	0			0	87	0	
6:30	0	0 0				0	29	4				19	0	1	0			0	. 93 0 117	0	
6:45	0	0 0	0 0)		0	38	16	i C			32	0	0	0			0	132	0	
7:00	0	0 0				0	30	21	-			46	0	0	0			0	110	0	
7:15	0	0 0		0		0	33	13				40	0	0	0			0	130	0	
7:30	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	74	18	6 C			36	0	0	0			1	154	0	_
7:45	U	<u>v</u> (<u> </u>	, 		0	52	18		1		56	0	0	0				157	0	
8:00	0	0 0	0 0			0	43	25	i c			37	0	1	0			0	125	0	
8:15	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	50	23	6 C			43	0	0	0			1	120	0	_
8:30 8:45	0	u () 0 ()			+	0	53 56	18				41	0	0	0			0	125	0	
		<u> </u>	Ľ_										Ŭ					Ľ			
9:00	0	0 (0 0	0		0	56	18	0			29	0	1	0			0	111	0	
9:15	0	0 0) () (0	47	20				36	0	0	0			3	91 91	0	
9:45	0	0 0		0		0	<u>60</u>	31				38	0	1	0			0	82	0	
10:00	0	0							<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
10:00	0	0 (,)	+	0	59 60	22		1		33	0	1	0		<u> </u>	1	72	0	
10:30	0	0 0	0 0	0	1	0	73	26				34	0	1	0			0	100	0	
10:45	0	0 (0 0)		0	69	27	· C			38	0	0	0			0	91	0	
11:00	0	0 (о (0	78	33	, c			31	0	0	0			0	74	0	
11:15	0	0 0	0 0)		0	70	34				23	0	0	0			0	94	0	
11:30	0	U () ()) I		0	78	42				37	0	0	0			0	80	0	
11.40	0	<u> </u>	1	1	1	0	0/	31	1	1		32	U	0	0	1	1		, 93	0	
12:00	0	0 (0 0	0		0	74	33	0			33	0	1	0			1	97	0	
12:15	0	0 0) ()	1		0	98 81	37				32	0	0	0			0	93	0	
12:45	0	0 0		0		0	73	37				34	0	0	0			1	117	0	
4.00	0	0					-											F .			
1:00	0	0 0) ()	1		0	82	59				33	0	0	0			1	68	0	
1:30	0	0 0		0		0	89	33				32	0	1	0			0	99	0	
1:45	0	0 0	0 0)		0	86	31	1	-		36	0	0	0			0	84	0	
2:00	0	0 0				0	100	27	-			3.0	0	0	0			1	77	0	
2:15	0	0 0		0		0	99	40				31	0	0	0			1	73	0	
2:30	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	115	29	0			39	0	0	0			1	64	0	
2:45	U	<u>v</u> (<u> </u>	, 		0	93	39	<u> </u>	1		32	0	0	0				69	0	
3:00	0	0 0	00	0		0	125	38	(<u> </u>			37	0	1	0			0	72	0	
3:15	0	0 (0 0)		0	121	43	0			32	0	0	0		<u> </u>	0	86	0	
3:45	0	0 0))		0	133	42		1		39	0	1	0			0	, 76 85	0	
		Ì	Ì		1	Ĵ		7	Ì	1			Ű		Ĵ			Ľ	55	ľ	
4:00	0	0 0)		0	171	70				33	0	0	0		<u> </u>	1	77	0	
4:30	0	0 0		0	1	0	147	43		í –		32	0	0	0			0	87	0	
4:45	0	0 0	0 0)		0	181	50	0)		38	0	0	0			1	85	0	
5:00	0	0 0				0	109	48	l .			49	0	1	0			0	01	0	
5:15	0	0 0		0		0	207	51				46	0	1	0			0	103	0	
5:30	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	168	57	0			43	0	1	0			1	104	0	_
5:45	U	<u>v</u> (<u> </u>	, 		0	141	61		1		31	0	0	0				110	0	
6:00	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	129	59				31	0	0	0			3	8 85	0	
6:15	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	129	44	. C			31	0	1	0			1	78	0	_
6:45	0	0 0	0 0		+	0	103	34				33	0	0	0		<u> </u>	1	82	0	
							121					57							52		
7:00	0	0 0	0 0	0		0	100	44	C			25	0	0	0			0	48	0	
7:15	0	0 0	0 0			0	97 125	57				31	0	1	0			1	48	0	
7:45	0	0 0		0		0	85	40				22	0	1	0			0	65	0	
0.00																					
8:00	0	U () () J	+	0	100	33				19	0	0	0			0	60	0	
8:30	0	0 0		0	L	0	55	43				17	0	1	0				53	0	
8:45	0	0 0	0 0)		0	72	29	0			11	0	0	0		[0	50	0	
Q-00	0	0 4	-				70	95				10	0	~							
9:15	0	0 0		0		0	73	35		i		13	0	1	0			0	27	0	
9:30	0	0 0	0 0)		0	69	25	i c)		4	0	0	0			0	18	0	
9:45	0	0 (0 0)	+	0	50	23	6 C)		11	0	0	0			0	30	0	
10:00	0	0 (0 0			0	33	10	0			8	0	0	0			0	25	0	
10:15	0	0 0	00)		0	38	10				3	0	0	0			0	30	0	
10:30	0	0 (0 0)		0	38	10	0			5	0	0	0		<u> </u>	0	12	0	
10:45	U	v (, (+	0	23	8	' 	1		8	U	0	0		<u> </u>	0	7	0	
11:00	0	0 0	0()		0	31	13	C			3	0	0	0			0	13	0	
11:15	0	0 (0 0)		0	31	9	0			4	0	0	0		<u> </u>	0	9	0	
11:30	V	v (4 0	7		0	23	1	_ C	4	1	1	U	0	0	1	1	0	12	0	

2019 2039

Collection Year Design Year

Page F12 of 28

2039 Final Count Data

	Sc	uthbound App	roach		We	estbound A	pproach			Northbound A	pproach			East	tbound App	oroach		
Start Time		Southbound	A A	App	D: 11 TI	Westbou	nd	Арр	D: 11 T	Nouthbou	ind	App	D : 11	-	Eastbound		App	NOTES:
12:00 444	Right Thru	Left U-I	urn Peds T	otal	Right Ihru	Left	J-Turn Peds	Total	Right If	nru Left L	-Turn Peds	Total	Right	Ihru	Left U-1	urn Peds	Total	
12:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 14	1	0	18	3 (0	0	- 3	0	7	0 0)	7	It should be noted that if data is
12:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 12	4	0	16	1 (0	0	1	0	3	0 0)	3	Totals or Approach Totals, that
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 56	12	0 0	68	6 (0 0	0 0	6	0	25	0 0) 0	25	the 'AutoSum' Formula will be
1:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 8	0	0	8	1 (0	0	1	0	8	0 0)	8	actual totals if the data was
1:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 10	3	0	13	0 0	0	0	- 4	0	7	0 0)	7	copied from a program that
1:45 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 8	1	0	9	0 (0 0	0	0	0	7	0 0)	7	user.
2:00 AM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 34	0	0 0	41	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	20	0 0)	26	
2:15 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 3	0	0	3	1 (0	0	1	0	9	0 0)	9	
2:30 AM 2:45 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 5	3	0	5 7	4 (0	0	- 4	0	8	0 0)	12	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 16	3	0 0	19	5 (0	0 0	5	0	29	0 0	0	29	
3:00 AM 3:15 AM	0 0	0 0	<u> </u>	0	0 0	0	0	0 4	3 (0 0	0	- 1 - 3	0	16	0 0)	16 17	
3:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 1	3	0	4	5 (0 0	0	5	0	25	0 0)	25	
3:45 AM Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 7	3	0	10	3 (0 0	3	0	31 89	0 0) 0	31 89	
4:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 3	4	0	7	11 (0	0	11	0	38	0 0)	38	1
4:15 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 9	4	0	13 8	19 (0	19	0	52	0 0)	52 67	
4:45 AM	0 0	0 0	<u> </u>	0 I	0 9	3	0	12	14 (0	0	14	0	78	0 0)	78	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 28	12	0 0	40	57 (0 0	0 0	57	0	235	0 0) 0	235	1
5:15 AM	0 0	0 0	<u> </u>	0	0 18	12	0	30	14 (0 1	0	15	0	86	0 0)	86	
5:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 12	4	0	16 20	19 (0 0	0	19	0	94	0 0)	94	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 48	28	0 0	76	73 () 2	0 0	75	0	348	0 0) 0	348	J
6:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 31	8	0	39	17 (0	17	0	87	0 0)	87	
6:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 22	10	0	32	19 () 1	0	20	0	93 117	0 0)	93 117	
6:45 AM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 38	16	0	54	32 (0 0	0	32	0	132	0 0		132	
7:00 AM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 120	21	0	51	46 (0 0	0	46	0	429 110	0 0)	429	
7:15 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 33	13	0	46	42 0	0 0	0	42	0	130	0 0)	130	
7:30 AM 7:45 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 74	18	0	92 70	56 (0 0	0	- 36	1	154	0 0)	155	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 189	70	0 0	259	180 0	0	0 0	180	2	551	0 0	0 0	553	
8:00 AM 8:15 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 43 0 50	25	0	68 73	43 () 1) 0	0	- 38 - 43	0	125	0 0)	125 121	
8:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 53	18	0	71	41 (0 0	0	41	0	125	0 0)	125	
8:45 AM Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 56	18 84	0 0	286	50 0 171 0) 0	0 0	50 172	1	481	0 0) 0	482	J
9:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 56	18	0	74	29 (0 1	0	30	0	111	0 0)	111	1
9:15 AM 9:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 47	20	0	67 69	36 (0	- 36 - 36	3	91 64	0 0)	94 64	
9:45 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 60	31	0	91	38 () 1	0	39	0	82	0 0)	82	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 222	79	0 0	301 81	33 () <u>2</u>) 1	0 0	141	3	348	0 0) 0	351	1
10:15 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 60	20	0	80	45 (0 0	0	45	0	73	0 0)	73	
10:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 73	26	0	99	34 () 1	0	35	0	100	0 0)	100	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 261	95	0 0	356	150 () 2	0 0	152	1	336	0 0) 0	337	1
11:00 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 78	33	0	111	31 (0	0	31	0	74	0 0)	74	1
11:15 AM 11:30 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 70	34 42	0	104 120	37 (0	0	- 23 37	0	94 80	0 0)	94 80	
11:45 AM	0 0	0 0		0	0 87	31	0	118	32 (0 0	0	32	0	93	0 0)	93	
Hourly Total 12:00 PM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 313	33	0 0	453 107	33 () 0) 1	0 0	123 34	0	341 97	0 0) 0	341 98	1
12:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 98	37	0	135	32 0	0 0	0	32	0	93	0 0)	93	
12:30 PM 12:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 81	37	0	118 110	42 (<u>) 1</u>	0	43	0	97	0 0)	97 118	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 326	144	0 0	470	141 (2	0 0	143	2	404	0 0) 0	406	
1:00 PM	0 0	0 0	<u> </u>	0	0 82	59	0	141	33 (0 0	0	33	1	68	0 0)	69	
1:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 89	33	0	122	32 () 1	0	33	0	99	0 0)	99	
1:45 PM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 86	31	1	118	36 (0 0	0	36	0	84	0 0)	84	J
2:00 PM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 100	27	0	127	38 0	0 0	0 0	38	1	77	0 0)	78	1
2:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 99	40	0	139	31 (0	0	31	1	73	0 0)	74	
2:30 PM 2:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 93	39	0	144	39 (0	0	39	1	69	0 0)	70	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 407	135	0 0	542	140 (0	0 0	140	4	283	0 0	0	287	
3:00 PM 3:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 125	43	0	163	32 (0 0	0	38	0	86	0 0)	86	
3:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 133	42	0	175	39	0	0	39	0	76	0 0)	76	
3:45 PM Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 102 0 481	44 167	0 0	146 648	38 (146 () 1) 2	0 0	39 148	0	85 319	0 0) 0	85 319	
4:00 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 171	70	0	241	33 (0 0	0	33	1	77	0 0)	78	1
4:15 PM 4:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 147	43 64	0	190 243	32 0	0 0	0	- 32	0	87	0 0)	111 87	
4:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 181	50	0	231	38 (0	0	38	1	85	0 0		86	
Hourly Total 5:00 PM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 678	227 48	0 0	905 246	48 () 0) 1	0 0	130 49	2	360 91	0 0) 0	362 91	
5:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 207	51	0	258	46 0	0 1	0	47	0	103	0 0)	103	
5:30 PM 5:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 168	57 61	0	225 202	43 () <u>1</u>) 0	0	- 44 31	1	104	0 0)	105 111	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 714	217	0 0	931	168) 3	0 0	171	2	408	0 0) 0	410	
6:00 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 129	59 44	0	188 173	31 (0 0	0	31 32	3	85 78	0 0)	88 79	
6:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 103	34	0	137	33	0	0	33	0	82	0 0)	82	
6:45 PM Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 121	43	0 0	164 662	37 (0 0	38	1	82 327	0 0) 0	83	
7:00 PM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 100	44	0	144	25 (0 0	0	25	0	48	0 0)	48	
7:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 97	57	0	154	31 () 1	0	32	1	48	0 0)	49	
7:30 PM 7:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 85	40	0	125	22) <u>1</u>	0	23	0	65	0 0)	65	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 407	183	0 0	590	110 (5	0 0	115	1	229	0 0) 0	230	
8:00 PM 8:15 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 100	43	0	133	9 (0 0	0	- 9	0	63	0 0)	60	
8:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 55	33	0	88	17	1	0	18	0	53	0 0)	53	
8:45 PM Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 72 0 321	138	0 0	101 459	56 () 0) 1	0 0	11 57	0	226	0 0) 0	50 226	
9:00 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 72	35	0	107	13 (0	0	13	0	27	0 0)	27	
9:15 PM 9:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 73	31 25	0	104 94	18 () 1	0	- 19 - 4	0	26 18	0 0)	26 18	
9:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 50	23	0	73	11 (0 0	0	11	0	30	0 0)	30	
Hourly Total 10:00 BM	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 264	114	0 0	378	46 0		0 0	47	0	101	0 0) 0	101	
10:15 PM	0 0	0 0		Ő	0 38	10	0	48	3 (0 0	0	3	0	30	0 0)	30	
10:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 38	10	0	48	5 (0	- 5	0	12	0 0)	12	
Hourly Total	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 132	38	0 0	170	24 (0 0	24	0	74	0 0	0	74	
11:00 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 31	13	0	44	3 (0 0	0	3	0	13	0 0)	13	
11:15 PM 11:30 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 31	9	0	40 24	4 (0 0	0	4	0	9	0 0)	9 12	
11:45 PM	0 0	0 0		0	0 20	8	0	28	1 (0	0	1	0	8	0 0)	8	

Page F13 of 28



2-Lane Highway Left Turn Lane Warrant (= < 40 mph or 70 kph Posted Speed)



	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Pea	Turn Lane Volume	85	VPH	
	Advancing Traffic	304	VPH	
	Opposing Volume	560	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	28%		
2	Location Type	Intersection		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	2		
	Turn Lane Length	150		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Ť	Turn Lane Volume	206	VPH	
	Advancing Traffic	961	VPH	
Č	Opposing Volume	387	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	21%		
>	Location Type	Intersection		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	4		
	Turn Lane Length	225		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
Is Left Turn Warrant Met		Yes	See Above	



2-Lane Highway Right Turn Lane Warrant (= < 40 mph or 70 kph Posted Speed)



	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
×	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
ea	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
	Turn Lane Volume	4	VPH	
D	Advancing Traffic	560	VPH	
V	Right Turn Percentage	1%		
	Location Type	Intersection		
A	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	1		
	Turn Lane Length	100		* Turn Lane Length
	Design Speed	30	mph	includes 50 ft diverging
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		taper
×	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
g	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
O	Turn Lane Volume	3	VPH	
d	Advancing Traffic	387	VPH	
V	Right Turn Percentage	1%		
	Location Type	Intersection		
d	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	1		
	Turn Lane Length	100		* Turn Lane Length
Is Right Turn Warrant Met		No	No Right Turn Lane Required	includes 50 ft diverging taper



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
$\mathbf{\prec}$	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
σ	Turn Lane Volume	1	VPH
e O	Advancing Traffic	173	VPH
Δ_	Left Turn Percentage	1%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
4	Condition	A	
4	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
$\mathbf{\sim}$	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
J	Turn Lane Volume	4	VPH
Q	Advancing Traffic	180	VPH
Δ_	Left Turn Percentage	2%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
\leq	Condition	А	
Δ_	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
$\mathbf{\prec}$	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
<u>o</u>	Turn Lane Volume	172	VPH
Δ_	Advancing Traffic	173	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	99%	
4	Location Type	Intersection	
4	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	3	
	Turn Lane Length	200	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
\checkmark	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
O	Turn Lane Volume	176	VPH
D	Advancing Traffic	180	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	98%	
\leq	Location Type	Intersection	
Δ_	Condition	A	
	Vehicles/Cycle	3	
	Turn Lane Length	200	



2-Lane Highway Left Turn Lane Warrant (= < 40 mph or 70 kph Posted Speed)



	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Ť	Turn Lane Volume	85	VPH	
l Pea	Advancing Traffic	304	VPH	
	Opposing Volume	560	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	28%		
>	Location Type	Through Road		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	2		
	Turn Lane Length	150		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Ť	Turn Lane Volume	206	VPH	
	Advancing Traffic	961	VPH	
Č	Opposing Volume	387	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	21%		
>	Location Type	Through Road		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	4		
	Turn Lane Length	225		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
Is Left Turn Warrant Met		Yes	See Above	



2-Lane Highway Left Turn Lane Warrant (= < 40 mph or 70 kph Posted Speed)



	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Peak	Turn Lane Volume	65	VPH	
	Advancing Traffic	233	VPH	
	Opposing Volume	429	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	28%		
2	Location Type	Through Road		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	2		
	Turn Lane Length	150		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
Ť	Turn Lane Volume	158	VPH	
	Advancing Traffic	737	VPH	
Č	Opposing Volume	296	VPH	
	Left Turn Percentage	21%		
5	Location Type	Through Road		
	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	3		
	Turn Lane Length	200		* Turn Lane Length
	Offset Width	12		includes 50 ft diverging
	Approach Taper	180		taper
Is Left Turn Warrant Met		Yes	See Above	



2-Lane Highway Right Turn Lane Warrant (= < 40 mph or 70 kph Posted Speed)



	Design Speed	30	mph	
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		
×	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
ea	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
	Turn Lane Volume	4	VPH	
D	Advancing Traffic	560	VPH	
V	Right Turn Percentage	1%		
	Location Type	Through Road		
A	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	1		
	Turn Lane Length	100		* Turn Lane Length
	Design Speed	30	mph	includes 50 ft diverging
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized		taper
×	Cycle Length	Unsignalized		
g	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60	
O	Turn Lane Volume	3	VPH	
d	Advancing Traffic	387	VPH	
V	Right Turn Percentage	1%		
<	Location Type	Through Road		
d	Condition	А		
	Vehicles/Cycle	1		
	Turn Lane Length	100		* Turn Lane Length
Is Right Turn Warrant Met		No	No Right Turn Lane Required	includes 50 ft diverging taper



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\mathbf{X}	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
g	Turn Lane Volume	20	VPH
O	Advancing Traffic	667	VPH
Δ.	Left Turn Percentage	3%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
\leq	Condition	А	
4	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\sim	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
J	Turn Lane Volume	16	VPH
O	Advancing Traffic	543	VPH
Δ_	Left Turn Percentage	3%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
\leq	Condition	А	
Δ_	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
$\mathbf{\prec}$	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
O	Turn Lane Volume	185	VPH
Δ.	Advancing Traffic	667	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	28%	
4	Location Type	Intersection	
\triangleleft	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	4	
	Turn Lane Length	225	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
\checkmark	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
Ð	Turn Lane Volume	98	VPH
D	Advancing Traffic	543	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	18%	
\leq	Location Type	Intersection	
Δ_	Condition	A	
	Vehicles/Cycle	2	
	Turn Lane Length	150	



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\mathbf{X}	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
g	Turn Lane Volume	59	VPH
Ð	Advancing Traffic	253	VPH
Δ.	Left Turn Percentage	23%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
4	Condition	А	
\triangleleft	Vehicles/Cycle	2	
	Turn Lane Length	150	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Traffic Control Cycle Length	Signalized - 2 Phase Known	
~	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
ak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH
eak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13%	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
A Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13% Intersection	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
M Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13% Intersection A	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
PM Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13% Intersection A 3	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
PM Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle Turn Lane Length	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13% Intersection A 3 200	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH
PM Peak	Traffic Control Cycle Length Cycles Per Hour Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle Turn Lane Length Offset Width	Signalized - 2 Phase Known 45 94 747 13% Intersection A 3 200 12	Enter Cycles Per Hour VPH VPH



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
$\mathbf{\prec}$	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
<u>o</u>	Turn Lane Volume	7	VPH
Δ_	Advancing Traffic	253	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	3%	
4	Location Type	Intersection	
\triangleleft	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
\checkmark	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
O	Turn Lane Volume	20	VPH
D	Advancing Traffic	747	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	3%	
\leq	Location Type	Intersection	
Δ_	Condition	A	
	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	



	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\mathbf{X}	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
g	Turn Lane Volume	58	VPH
O	Advancing Traffic	158	VPH
Δ	Left Turn Percentage	37%	
~	Location Type	Intersection	
	Condition	А	
4	Vehicles/Cycle	2	
	Turn Lane Length	150	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\checkmark	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
		-	
(0	Turn Lane Volume	164	VPH
e	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic	164 310	VPH VPH
Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage	164 310 53%	VPH VPH
A Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type	164 310 53% Intersection	VPH VPH
M Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition	164 310 53% Intersection A	VPH VPH
PM Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle	164 310 53% Intersection A 4	VPH VPH
PM Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle Turn Lane Length	164 310 53% Intersection A 4 225	VPH VPH
PM Pea	Turn Lane Volume Advancing Traffic Left Turn Percentage Location Type Condition Vehicles/Cycle Turn Lane Length Offset Width	164 310 53% Intersection A 4 225 12	VPH VPH



Peak	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
	Turn Lane Volume	43	VPH
	Advancing Traffic	158	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	27%	
2	Location Type	Intersection	
\triangleleft	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
PM Peak	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
	Turn Lane Volume	80	VPH
	Advancing Traffic	310	VPH
	Right Turn Percentage	26%	
	Location Type	Intersection	
	Condition	A	
	Vehicles/Cycle	2	
	Turn Lane Length	150	



~	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
g	Turn Lane Volume	14	VPH
O	Advancing Traffic	85	VPH
Δ.	Left Turn Percentage	16%	
<	Location Type	Intersection	
4	Condition	А	
4	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	
	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Signalized - 2 Phase	
	Cycle Length	Known	
\sim	Cycles Per Hour	45	Enter Cycles Per Hour
J	Turn Lane Volume	8	VPH
PM Pe	Advancing Traffic	202	VPH
	Left Turn Percentage	4%	
	Location Type	Intersection	
	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
	Offset Width	12	
	Approach Taper	180	



Peak	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
	Turn Lane Volume	24	VPH
	Advancing Traffic	85	VPH
<	Right Turn Percentage	28%	
4	Location Type	Intersection	
4	Condition	А	
	Vehicles/Cycle	1	
	Turn Lane Length	100	
~	Design Speed	30	mph
	Traffic Control	Unsignalized	
	Cycle Length	Unsignalized	
σ	Cycles Per Hour	60	Assume 60
PM Pe	Turn Lane Volume	81	VPH
	Advancing Traffic	202	VPH
	Right Turn Percentage	40%	
	Location Type	Intersection	
	Condition	A	
	Vehicles/Cycle	2	
	Turn Lane Length	150	

Appendix G

Crash Diagrams and Crash Rate Calculations





 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

Page G2 of 6



 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0



 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

Page G4 of 6

MLK/Washington Intersection

Summit Point EV	1,778 (3,555 ADT-2019)	A crash rate is the number of crashes that occur at a riven location during a specified time period (usually three to five years) divided hy a measure of expression for
Washington EV	6,361 (12,721 ADT-2019)	the same period. Typical measures of exposure for intersections and roadway segments are identified below.
MLK EV	4,817 (9,634 ADT-2019)	 Intersections – the measure of exposure is the total number of vehicles entering the intersection during the specified time period – usually one year. The total
Crash #	16	number or vehicles entering internetsection to called total cherring Vehicles (LCV) in intersection trainic coults are not available to calculate an ECV, average annual daily trainic (AADT) volumes on each approach roadway can be used instead. Because the number of vehicles entering an intersection throughout the
Crash Year #	5	year can be quite karge, me 1e V's usually expressed as Million Entering Vehicles (MEV). MEV is used as a scaling factor and is calculated by dividing the total number of vehicles per day per year by 1,000,000.
Crash Years	2015-2019	The equation for MEV is
		$MEV = \frac{TEV per day \times 365 \times number of years}{1,000,000}$
MEV=	23.645	
I/S Crash Rate=	0.677	 Segments – the measure of exposure is the total number of vehicles traveling on the road segment during the specified time period. This is called vehicle miles of travel (VMT) VMT is usually expressed as Million Vehicle Miles (MVM).

"1.0-1.5/MEV as begin to watch (possibly begin to consider low cost safety improvements)" 1.5-2.0/MEV consider safety and operational improvements especially low cost options Greater than 2.0 considered of concern (signal evaluations, more extensive operational improvements, etc.) The equation for MVM is: MVM = AADT X segment length X 365 X number of years

1,000,000 Note: 1) AADT stands for Annual Average Daily Traffic.

Crash rates are then calculated by dividing the number of crashes by the measure of exposure. The equations are

Intersections (Crash Rates for n years):

Intersection Crash Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of Crashes in the n Year Period}}{\text{MEV for the n Year Period}}$

WV51 (RR - West Street)

Crash #	44	 Segments – the measure of exposure is the total number of vehicles traveling on the road segment during the specified time period. This is called vehicle miles of travel (VMT). VMT is usually expressed as Million Vehicle Miles (MVM)
Crash Years	2015-2019	
Crash year #	5	The equation for MVM is:
Segment Length (mi)	0.45	$MVM = AADT \times segment length \times 365 \times number of years$
ADT (2019)	12,721	1,000,000
Million Vehicle Miles (MVM)	10.447	
Crash Rate	4.212	Note: 1) AAD I stands for Annual Average Daily Italfic.

421 crashes per 100 MVMT > 163 crashes per 100 MVMT (2013 Statewide Average)

Crash rates are then calculated by dividing the number of crashes by the measure of exposure. The equations are:

• Intersections (Crash Rates for n years):

Intersection Crash Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of Crashes in the } n \text{ Year Period}}{\text{MEV for the } n \text{ Year Period}}$

Segments (Crash Rates for n years):

Segment Crash Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of Crashes in the } n \text{ Year Period}}{\text{MVM for the } n \text{ Year Period}}$

Appendix H Concept Plans



Appendix H

Alternative 1
















WEST STREET TRUCK MOVEMENTS



WEST STREET TRUCK MOVEMENTS



Alternative 2



ENLARGEMENT 2A.1



ENLARGEMENT 2A.2

Page H16 of 28





Page H17 of 28







Alternative 3



ENLARGEMENT 3A.1



ENLARGEMENT 3A.2

Page H24 of 28











Appendix I Cost Estimates



WV-51 Traffic Study Cost Estimate (Roundabout)

Roadway Improvements - Alternate 1

Description	Quantity	Units		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Clearing and Grubbing	1	LUMP	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00
Tree Planted (Tree Grate Included)	30	EACH	\$	1,500.00	\$	45,000.00
Pavement Removed	3200	SY	\$	15.00	\$	48,000.00
Sidewalk Removed	15760	SF	\$	15.00	\$	236,400.00
Curb Removed	1500	FT	\$	20.00	\$	30,000.00
Earthwork	1	LUMP	\$	75,000.00	\$	75,000.00
Asphalt Milling and Overlay	8400	SY	\$	25.00	\$	210,000.00
Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt)	3700	SY	\$	65.00	\$	240,500.00
Sidewalk	14150	SF	\$	15.00	\$	212,250.00
Stamped Concrete	5850	SF	\$	20.00	\$	117,000.00
Concrete Curb	4950	FT	\$	25.00	\$	123,750.00
Concrete Traffic Island	225	SY	\$	55.00	\$	12,375.00
Drainage*	1	LUMP	\$	600,000.00	\$	600,000.00
Lighting	1	LUMP	\$	310,000.00	\$	310,000.00
Signage	1	LUMP	\$	17,500.00	\$	17,500.00
Stop Line	115	FT	\$	7.50	\$	862.50
Center Line	0.70	MILE	\$	4,000.00	\$	2,800.00
Edge Line	0.80	MILE	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,000.00
Crosswalk Line	200	FT	\$	8.00	\$	1,600.00
Channelizing Line	300	FT	\$	2.50	\$	750.00
Lane Arrow	4	EACH	\$	80.00	\$	320.00
Transverse Line	1350	FT	\$	2.50	\$	3,375.00
Parking Stall Line	550	FT	\$	2.50	\$	1,375.00
Dotted Line	200	FT	\$	2.50	\$	500.00
Bike Lane Symbol	18	EACH	\$	370.00	\$	6,660.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Work	1	LIMD	¢	100 000 00	¢	100,000,00
(Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.)	1	LOWI	φ	100,000.00	φ	100,000.00
		Subt	otal		\$	2,423,020.00
Maintenance of Traffic	1	LUMP	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000.00
Field Office	1	LUMP	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
Construction Layout Stakes	1	LUMP	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00
Erosion Control	1	LUMP	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Mobilization	1	LUMP	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000.00
	Incidentals Subtotal					290,000.00
	Contingency (30%)				\$	814,000.00
	Construction Subtotal				\$	3,527,020.00
	-					

Engineering Design (15% Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10% Right-of-Way* Subtotal)\$)\$ *\$	529,100.00 352,800.00 22,800.00 4,431,800.00
Inflation*** (10%) \$	443,200.00
Total	\$	4,875,000.00

Note: Cost estimate does not include utility relocation costs.

*Drainage estimate based on the assumption of a complete storm sewer replacement.

**See RW Cost Estimate for details.

***Inflation based on 2025 Construction



WV-51 Traffic Study Right of Way Cost Estimate Alternate 1 - Roundabout

Parcel 02 1000010000000 Parcel 03 4001400010000

Total Acreage		13.37	10.96
Total Value Today	\$	4,800.00	\$ 133,100.00
Per Acre Cost	\$	359.01	\$ 12,144.16
Estimated Take (ac)		0.26	0.39
	•		
Estimate value today	\$	93.34	\$ 4,736.22
Relocation Costs	\$	-	\$ -
Consultant Labor Costs	\$	4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
Subtotal	\$	4,093.34	\$ 8,736.22
Adj. for Admin Settlement	\$	736.80	\$ 1,572.52
Adj. for Appropriations	\$	614.00	\$ 1,310.43
Adj. for Incidentals	\$	92.10	\$ 196.57
Subtotal	\$	5,600.00	\$ 11,900.00
Contingency (30%)	\$	1,700.00	\$ 3,600.00
Subtotal	\$	7,300.00	\$ 15,500.00
Total			\$ 22,800.00



WV-51 Traffic Study Cost Estimate (New Connector Road - 2-Way Traffic)

Roadway Improvements - Alternate 2

Clearing and Grubbing 1 LUMP \$ 8,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 Tree Planted (Tree Grate Included) 30 EACH \$ 1,500.00 \$ 45,000.00 Sidevalk Removed 12700 SY \$ 1500 \$ 45,000.00 Sidevalk Removed 1500 FT \$ 20,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 Earthwork 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Asphait Milling and Overlay 14250 SY \$ 65,000 \$ 50,000.00 Simped Concrete 6050 SF \$ 120,000.00 \$ 121,000.00 Simped Concrete 6050 SF \$ 120,000.00 \$ 129,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 142,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 120,000.00 \$ 1,25,000 \$ 1,25,000 \$ 1,27,500 \$ <t< th=""><th>Description</th><th>Quantity</th><th>Units</th><th></th><th>Unit Cost</th><th></th><th>Total Cost</th></t<>	Description	Quantity	Units		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Tree Planted (Tree Grate Included) 30 EACH \$ 1,5000 \$ 4,5000.00 Sidewalk Removed 2700 SF \$ 15.00 \$ 25,600.00 Sidewalk Removed 1500 SF \$ 15.00 \$ 25,600.00 Carb Removed 10 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 Aphalt Milling and Overlay 12230 SY \$ 25,000.00 \$ 31,3250.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 15,000 \$ 31,3250.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 15,000.00 \$ 31,3250.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 12,000.00 \$ 124,500.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 25,000 \$ 124,500.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 25,000 \$ 124,500.00 Sidewalk 141 LUMP \$ 25,000 \$ 124,500.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ <	Clearing and Grubbing	1	LUMP	\$	8,000.00	\$	8,000.00
Pavement Removed 2700 SY \$ 1500 \$ 40,00.00 Sidewalk Removed 13760 SF \$ 15.00 \$ 236,400.00 Carb Removed 1300 FT \$ 20.00 \$ 30,000.00 Earthwork 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 331,250.00 Aphalt Milling and Overlay 13250 SY \$ 25,000 \$ 331,250.00 Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt) 14450 SY \$ 06,000 \$ 121,000.00 Sidewalk 14400 SF \$ 20.00 \$ 112,000.00 Concrete 66050 SF \$ 20.00 \$ 112,000.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 25,000 \$ 112,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 250,000 \$ 122,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 250,000 \$ 123,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 250,000 \$ 123,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 250,000 \$ 126,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 2,500.00 \$ 1,500.00	Tree Planted (Tree Grate Included)	30	EACH	\$	1,500.00	\$	45,000.00
Sidewalk Removed 15760 SF \$ 100 \$ 30,000.00 Carh Removed 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Asphalt Milling and Overlay 13250 SY \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Sidewalk 143600 SY \$ 65,00 \$ 965,250.00 Sidewalk 144300 SF \$ 10,000 \$ 965,250.00 Sidewalk 144300 SF \$ 11,000 \$ 965,250.00 Sidewalk 144300 SF \$ 12,000.00 \$ 11,000.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 2,500 \$ 11,20,00.00 Signage 1 IUMP \$ 650,000.00 \$ 12,200.00 Signage 1 IUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 12,200.00 Signage 1 IUMP \$ 12,000.00 \$ 12,200.00 Signage 1 IUMP \$ 28,000.00 \$ 12,200.00 Signage 1 IUMP \$ 2,500.00 \$ 1,267.00 Contert Line 2.90 K 1,200.00 \$ 1,	Pavement Removed	2700	SY	\$	15.00	\$	40,500.00
Curb Removed 1500 FT \$ 2000 \$ 30,000.00 Earthwork 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 30,000.00 Barthwork 13250 SY \$ 25,00 \$ 331,250.00 Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt) 14850 SY \$ 65,00 \$ 331,250.00 Simped Concrete 6650 SF \$ 20,00 \$ 121,000.00 Concrete Curb 6650 SF \$ 20,00 \$ 116,250.00 Lighting 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 5 5,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,250.00 Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7,50 \$ 1,275.00 Conservalk Line 2,00 FT \$ 8,00 \$ 1,200.00 Conservalk Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,575.00 <	Sidewalk Removed	15760	SF	\$	15.00	\$	236,400.00
Earthwork 1 LUMP \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 Asphalt Milling and Overlay 13250 SY \$ 6,500 \$ 0,552.000 Sidewalk 148800 SY \$ 6,500 \$ 0,552.50.00 Stamped Concrete 14900 SF \$ 12,000.00 \$ 124,500.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 2,000 \$ 121,000.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 2,000 \$ 12,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 650,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 24,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Signage 1/t LUMP \$ 2,500.00 \$ 1,600.00 Contert Line 14075 <	Curb Removed	1500	FT	\$	20.00	\$	30,000.00
Asphalt Milling and Overlay 13250 SY \$ 25,00 \$ 33,1250,00 Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt) 144800 SY \$ 05,00 \$ 065,25,000 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 15,000 \$ 011,000,000 Concrete Curb 6050 SF \$ 20,000 \$ 021,000,000 Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 050,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 02,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,000,000 \$ 050,000,000 Center Line 1.50 MILE \$ 4,000,000 \$ 0,000,000 Corsswalk Line 200 FT \$ 2,500 \$ 1,500,000 Crasswalk Line 1000 FT \$ 2,500 \$ 1,275,000 Tansverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,500 \$ 1,275,000 Otted Line 12000,000 FT <	Earthwork	1	LUMP	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt) 14850 SY \$ 65.00 \$ 965.250.00 Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 15.00 \$ 214.500.00 Stamped Concrete 6050 SF \$ 20.00 \$ 121.000.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 23.00 \$ 116.250.00 Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 650.000.00 \$ 650.000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12.500.00 \$ 12.500.00 Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7.50 \$ 1.250.00 Center Line 1.00 FT \$ 2.500.00 \$ 7.375.00 Consardk Line 2.00 FT \$ 8.00 \$ 1.200.00 Channelizing Line 1.475 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3.3675.00 Channelizing Line 1.400 ST \$ 2.450.00 \$ 1.200.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3.000.00 \$	Asphalt Milling and Overlay	13250	SY	\$	25.00	\$	331,250.00
Sidewalk 14300 SF \$ 15,00 \$ 24,500.00 Stamped Concrete 6650 SF \$ 22,000 \$ 121,000.00 Oncrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 25,000 \$ 125,000.00 Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 650,000.00 \$ 650,000.00 Lighting 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 128,00.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 26,000.00 \$ 128,00.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 26,000.00 \$ 128,00.00 Contert Line 170 FT \$ 26,000.00 \$ 12,75.00 Cater Line 2.90 MLLE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 1,275.00 Channelizing Line 2.90 MLE \$ 2,000.00 \$ 1,600.00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 500 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 100 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 100 FT \$ 2,50 \$ <	Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt)	14850	SY	\$	65.00	\$	965,250.00
Stamped Concrete 6050 SF \$ 20.00 \$ 121.000.00 Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 25.00 \$ 116.250.00 Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 250,000 \$ 280,000.00 \$ 280,000.00 Lighting 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 280,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 28,000.00 \$ 280,000.00 Stop Line 1.00 NILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Crosswalk Line 2.95 MILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 12,500.00 Crosswalk Line 2.90 FT \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 200 FT \$ 2,500 \$ 3,687.50 Lane Arrow 15 EACH \$ 8.000 \$ 1,237.00 Crosswalk Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,375.00 Drainage Stall Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,300.00	Sidewalk	14300	SF	\$	15.00	\$	214,500.00
Concrete Curb 4650 FT \$ 25,00 \$ 16,250,00 Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 65,0,00,00 \$ 280,000,00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000,00 \$ 280,000,00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 280,000,00 \$ 280,000,00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,00,00 \$ 280,000,00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,00,00 \$ 280,000,00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,00,00 \$ 12,50,00 Center Line 2.05 MILE \$ 2,00,00 \$ 12,57,00 Cherter Line 2.05 MILE \$ 2,50,00 \$ 1,375,00 Cosswalk Line 2.00 FT \$ 8,000 \$ 1,200,00 Chanelzing Line 1475 ET \$ 8,000 \$ 1,200,00 Tansverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375,00 Dotted Line 100 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000,000 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 30,000,000 \$ 10,000,000 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (<i>Concret steps, retaining walls, etc.</i>) 1 LUMP	Stamped Concrete	6050	SF	\$	20.00	\$	121,000.00
Drainage 1 LUMP \$ 650,000.00 \$ 650,000.00 Lighting 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 280,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,500.00 \$ 12,500.00 Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7,50 \$ 1,275,00 Center Line 1.50 MILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 6,000.00 Edge Line 2.00 FT \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 2.00 FT \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 ChaneArrow 15 EACH \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687,50 Date Arrow 15 EACH \$ 3,000.00 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 3,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ <td>Concrete Curb</td> <td>4650</td> <td>FT</td> <td>\$</td> <td>25.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>116,250.00</td>	Concrete Curb	4650	FT	\$	25.00	\$	116,250.00
Lighting 1 LUMP \$ 280,000.00 \$ 280,000.00 Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,500.00 \$ 12,500.00 Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7,50 \$ 1,275.00 Center Line 1.50 MILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 6,000.00 Edge Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 1475 FT \$ 2,500.00 \$ 1,600.00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,200.00 Crosswalk Line 11 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,200.00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,200.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dited Line Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 <td>Drainage</td> <td>1</td> <td>LUMP</td> <td>\$</td> <td>650,000.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>650,000.00</td>	Drainage	1	LUMP	\$	650,000.00	\$	650,000.00
Signage 1 LUMP \$ 12,500.00 \$ 12,500.00 Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7,50 \$ 1.275.00 Center Line 1,50 MILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 6,000.00 Crosswalk Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 200 FT \$ 8.000 \$ 1,600.00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687.50 Tansverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,270.00 Tansverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,270.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 3,300.00 \$ 23,680.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 Construction Layou	Lighting	1	LUMP	\$	280,000.00	\$	280,000.00
Stop Line 170 FT \$ 7,50 \$ 1,275,00 Center Line 1.50 MILE \$ 4,000,00 \$ 6,000,00 Edge Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500,00 \$ 7,375,00 Crosswalk Line 200 FT \$ 8,000 \$ 1,600,00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687,50 Lane Arrow 15 EACH \$ 80,00 \$ 1,200,00 Parking Stall Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500,00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375,00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000,00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370,00 \$ 23,680,00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Feld Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 Feld Office 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 Forsion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 </td <td>Signage</td> <td>1</td> <td>LUMP</td> <td>\$</td> <td>12,500.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>12,500.00</td>	Signage	1	LUMP	\$	12,500.00	\$	12,500.00
Center Line 1.50 MILE \$ 4,000.00 \$ 6,000.00 Edge Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687.50 Lane Arrow 115 EACH \$ 80.00 \$ 1,200.00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,200.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP<	Stop Line	170	FT	\$	7.50	\$	1,275.00
Edge Line 2.95 MILE \$ 2,500.00 \$ 7,375.00 Crosswalk Line 200 FT \$ 8.00 \$ 1,600.00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687.50 Lane Arrow 15 EACH \$ 80.00 \$ 1,200.00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 Foroin Control 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 Freid Office 1 LUMP \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00 \$ 5,000.00	Center Line	1.50	MILE	\$	4,000.00	\$	6,000.00
Crosswalk Line 200 FT \$ 8.00 \$ 1,600.00 Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3,687.50 Lane Arrow 13 EACH \$ 8.000 \$ 1,200.00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 1,200.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 10	Edge Line	2.95	MILE	\$	2,500.00	\$	7,375.00
Channelizing Line 1475 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,687,50 Lane Arrow 15 EACH \$ 80,00 \$ 1,200,00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500,00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375,00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000,00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370,00 \$ 23,680,00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Vertex and the steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000,00 \$ 60,000,00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 250,000,00 \$ 25,000,00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 4,559,650,00 \$ 30,000,00	Crosswalk Line	200	FT	\$	8.00	\$	1,600.00
Lane Arrow 15 EACH \$ 80.00 \$ 1,200.00 Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 2,368.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Waintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.000 \$ 50,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Browing Control 1 LUMP \$ 100,000	Channelizing Line	1475	FT	\$	2.50	\$	3,687.50
Transverse Line 3000 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 7,500.00 Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Vertexture Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.0	Lane Arrow	15	EACH	\$	80.00	\$	1,200.00
Parking Stall Line 550 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 1,375.00 Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2,50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Waintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 060,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 050,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Euretinst (urgs) \$ 684,	Transverse Line	3000	FT	\$	2.50	\$	7,500.00
Dotted Line 1200 FT \$ 2.50 \$ 3,000.00 Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Waintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 66,000.00 \$ 66,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Good Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Kooin Control 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Good Construction Layout Stakes Inforcitentals \$	Parking Stall Line	550	FT	\$	2.50	\$	1,375.00
Bike Lane Symbol 64 EACH \$ 370.00 \$ 23,680.00 Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) \$ 100,000.00 Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) Image: Subtrate steps, retaining walls, etc.) \$ 3,257,350.00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Misce steps, etc. Steps, etc. \$ 4,559,650.00 \$ 456,000.00 \$ 33,000.00 \$ 33,000.00 \$ 33,0	Dotted Line	1200	FT	\$	2.50	\$	3,000.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Work (Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Subtransition of Traffic Subtransition of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 3,257,350.00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 000,000.00 \$ 000,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 000,000.00 \$ 000,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 000,000.00 \$ 000,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 000,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 000,000.00 \$ 000,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Mise Engineering Design (15%) \$ 4,559,650.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 \$ 35,000.00 \$ 35,000.00 </td <td>Bike Lane Symbol</td> <td>64</td> <td>EACH</td> <td>\$</td> <td>370.00</td> <td>\$</td> <td>23,680.00</td>	Bike Lane Symbol	64	EACH	\$	370.00	\$	23,680.00
(Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.) 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Subtotal \$ 3,257,350,00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 3,257,350,00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 0,000,00 \$ 60,000,00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000,00 \$ 15,000,00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000,00 \$ 25,000,00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000,00 \$ 50,000,00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 100,000,00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000,00 \$ 1,052,300,00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300,00 \$ 1,052,300,00 \$ 1,052,300,00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 53	Miscellaneous Concrete Work		LUMD	¢	100.000.00	¢	100 000 00
Subtoal \$ 3,257,350.00 Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 00,000.00 \$ 00,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 00,000.00 \$ 00,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 00,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 00,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 00,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 00,000.00 \$ 1,052,300.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Environments (10%) \$ 4,56,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 \$ 53,000.00 \$ 53,000.00	(Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.)	1	LUMP	ф	100,000.00	þ	100,000.00
Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Construction Subtotal \$ 250,000.00 \$ 1,052,300.00 \$ 1,052,300.00 Construction Subtotal \$ 4,559,650.00 \$ 4,559,650.000 \$ 3,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 456,000.00 \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00 \$ 50,752,700.00 \$ 50,752,700.00		Subtotal			\$	3.257.350.00	
Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Construction Subtotal \$ 4,559,650.00 \$ 4,559,650.00 \$ 4,559,650.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 4,56,000.00 \$ 3,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00 \$ 5,752,700.00 \$ 5,752,700.00						1	0, 0, 00
Maintenance of Traffic 1 LUMP \$ 60,000.00 \$ 60,000.00 Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00							
Field Office 1 LUMP \$ 15,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00	Maintenance of Traffic	1	LUMP	\$	60,000.00	\$	60,000.00
Construction Layout Stakes 1 LUMP \$ 25,000.00 \$ 25,000.00 Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 4,559,650.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00	Field Office	1	LUMP	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
Erosion Control 1 LUMP \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 International (INTernational) (INTERNATION	Construction Layout Stakes	1	LUMP	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00
Mobilization 1 LUMP \$ 100,000.00 \$ 100,000.00 Incidentals Subtotal \$ 250,000.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Contingency (30%) \$ 4,559,650.00 Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 684,000.00 Subtotal \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00	Erosion Control	1	LUMP	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Incidentals Subtotal\$250,000.00Contingency (30%)\$1,052,300.00Construction Subtotal\$4,559,650.00Engineering Design (15%)\$684,000.00Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%)\$456,000.00Right-of-Way\$53,000.00Subtotal\$5,752,700.00	Mobilization	1	LUMP	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000.00
Contingency (30%) \$ 1,052,300.00 Construction Subtotal \$ 4,559,650.00 Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 456,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00		In	cidentals	s Sul	ototal	\$	250,000.00
Construction Subtotal\$4,559,650.00Engineering Design (15%)\$684,000.00Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%)\$456,000.00Right-of-Way\$53,000.00Subtotal\$53,752,700.00			(Cont	ingency (30%)	\$	1,052,300.00
Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 456,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00		Cor	nstructio	n St	ıbtotal	\$	4,559,650.00
Engineering Design (15%) \$ 684,000.00 Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 456,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00			- •		D • (a()		<i>(</i>)
Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 456,000.00 Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00			Engine	erin	g Design (15%)	\$ ¢	684,000.00
Right-of-Way \$ 53,000.00 Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00 Inflation* (10%) \$ 5,752,000.00	Environmental, Geotechnical, Mis	cellaneous F	ederal Re	equi	rements (10%)	\$	456,000.00
Subtotal \$ 5,752,700.00 Inflation* (10%) \$ 575,000,00					Right-of-Way	\$	53,000.00
Inflation* (10%) ¢ = === 000.00					Subtotal	\$	5,752,700.00
				T۰	flation* (10%)	¢	E7E 200 00

Note: Cost estimate does not include utility relocation costs.

*Drainage estimate based on the assumption of a complete storm sewer replacement.

**See RW Cost Estimate for details.

***Inflation based on 2025 Construction

6,328,000.00

Total

\$



WV-51 Traffic Study Right of Way Cost Estimate Alternate 2 (New Connector Road - 2-Way Traffic)

	Parcel 02 11000900000000 Parcel 03 14000100000000		Parcel 02 11003000020000		
Total Acreage	251.63	17.97	67.41		
Total Value Today	\$ 1,296,300.00	\$ 216,800.00	\$ 34,800.00		
Per Acre Cost	\$ 5,151.61	\$ 12,064.55	\$ 516.24		
Estimated Take (ac)	2.24	0.51	0.32		
Estimate value today	\$ 11,539.61	\$ 6,152.92	\$ 165.20		
Relocation Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Consultant Labor Costs	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00		
Subtotal	\$ 15,539.61	\$ 10,152.92	\$ 4,165.20		
	·				
Adj. for Admin Settlement	\$ 2,797.13	\$ 1,827.53	\$ 749.74		
Adj. for Appropriations	\$ 2,330.94	\$ 1,522.94	\$ 624.78		
Adj. for Incidentals	\$ 349.64	\$ 228.44	\$ 93.72		
	·				
Subtotal	\$ 21,100.00	\$ 13,800.00	\$ 5,700.00		
Contingency (30%)	\$ 6,400.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 1,800.00		
Subtotal	\$ 27,500.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 7,500.00		
Total			\$ 53,000.00		



WV-51 Traffic Study Cost Estimate (New Connector Road - 1-Way Traffic)

Roadway Improvements - Alternate 3

Description	Quantity	Units		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Clearing and Grubbing	1	LUMP	\$	8,000.00	\$	8,000.00
Tree Planted (Tree Grate Included)	30	EACH	\$	1,500.00	\$	45,000.00
Pavement Removed	3135	SY	\$	15.00	\$	47,025.00
Sidewalk Removed	15760	SF	\$	15.00	\$	236,400.00
Curb Removed	1500	FT	\$	20.00	\$	30,000.00
Earthwork	1	LUMP	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Asphalt Milling and Overlay	16530	SY	\$	25.00	\$	413,250.00
Full Depth Pavement (Asphalt)	13750	SY	\$	65.00	\$	893,750.00
Sidewalk	14250	SF	\$	15.00	\$	213,750.00
Stamped Concrete	7440	SF	\$	20.00	\$	148,800.00
Concrete Curb	4675	FT	\$	25.00	\$	116,875.00
Concrete Traffic Island	33	SY	\$	55.00	\$	1,815.00
Drainage*	1	LUMP	\$	650,000.00	\$	650,000.00
Lighting	1	LUMP	\$	280,000.00	\$	280,000.00
Signage	1	LUMP	\$	12,500.00	\$	12,500.00
Stop Line	170	FT	\$	7.50	\$	1,275.00
Center Line	1.08	MILE	\$	4,000.00	\$	4,320.00
Edge Line	4.05	MILE	\$	2,500.00	\$	10,125.00
Crosswalk Line	190	FT	\$	8.00	\$	1,520.00
Channelizing Line	1200	FT	\$	2.50	\$	3,000.00
Lane Arrow	18	EACH	\$	80.00	\$	1,440.00
Transverse Line	3000	FT	\$	2.50	\$	7,500.00
Parking Stall Line	760	FT	\$	2.50	\$	1,900.00
Dotted Line	960	FT	\$	2.50	\$	2,400.00
Bike Lane Symbol	59	EACH	\$	370.00	\$	21,830.00
Miscellaneous Concrete Work		LUMD	<i>.</i>	100.000.00	¢	100.000.00
(Concrete steps, retaining walls, etc.)	1	LUMP	\$	100,000.00	ን	100,000.00
		Subtotal			\$	3,302,480.00
Maintenance of Traffic	1	LUMP	\$	60,000.00	\$	60,000.00
Field Office	1	LUMP	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00
Construction Layout Stakes	1	LUMP	\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00
Erosion Control	1	LUMP	\$	50,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Mobilization	1	LUMP	\$	100,000.00	\$	100,000.00
	Incidentals Subtotal				\$	250,000.00
	Contingency (30%) Construction Subtotal				\$	1,065,800.00
					\$	4,618,280.00
		- ·			4	
		Engine	erin	g Design (15%)	\$	692,800.00

Environmental, Geotechnical, Miscellaneous Federal Requirements (10%) \$ 461,900.00 Right-of-Way** \$ 32,400.00

.00
.00

Note: Cost estimate does not include utility relocation costs.

*Drainage estimate based on the assumption of a complete storm sewer replacement.

**See RW Cost Estimate for details.

***Inflation based on 2025 Construction



WV-51 Traffic Study Right of Way Cost Estimate Alternate 3 - New Connector Road - 1-Way Traffic)

	Parcel 02 11000900000000	Parcel 03 1400010000000 Parcel 02 11003000020000		Parcel 03 4001400010000
		-		-
Total Acreage	251.63	17.97	67.41	10.96
Total Value Today	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 133,100.00	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 133,100.00
Per Acre Cost	\$ 19.08	\$ 7,406.79	\$ 71.21	\$ 12,144.16
Estimated Take (ac)	1.99	0.23	0.27	0.03
Estimate value today	\$ 37.96	\$ 1,703.56	\$ 19.23	\$ 364.32
Relocation Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Consultant Labor Costs	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
				-
Subtotal	\$ 4,037.96	\$ 5,703.56	\$ 4,019.23	\$ 4,364.32
Adj. for Admin Settlement	\$ 726.83	\$ 1,026.64	\$ 723.46	\$ 785.58
Adj. for Appropriations	\$ 605.69	\$ 855.53	\$ 602.88	\$ 654.65
Adj. for Incidentals	\$ 90.85	\$ 128.33	\$ 90.43	\$ 98.20
Subtotal	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 7,800.00	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 6,000.00
Contingency (30%)	\$ 1,700.00	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 1,700.00	\$ 1,800.00
Subtotal	\$ 7,200.00	\$ 10,200.00	\$ 7,200.00	\$ 7,800.00
Total				\$ 32,400.00